



Centric Architects

Traffic and Parking Impact Assessment Report

Bungaree Street, Maitland

1 March 2024

ENGINEERING PLANNING SURVEYING CERTIFICATION PROJECT MANAGEMENT



© Copyright Barker Ryan Stewart Pty Ltd 2024 All Rights Reserved

| Project No. | 221081 |
|-------------|--------|
| Author | JH |
| Checked | AN |
| Approved | AN |

| Rev No. | Status | Date | Comments |
|---------|--------|------------|----------|
| 1 | Draft | 19/01/2024 | |
| 2 | FINAL | 05/02/2024 | |
| 3 | FINAL | 01/03/2024 | |

COPYRIGHT

Barker Ryan Stewart reserves all copyright of intellectual property in any or all of Barker Ryan Stewart's documents. No permission, licence or authority is granted by Barker Ryan Stewart to any person or organisation to use any of Barker Ryan Stewart's documents for any purpose without the written consent of Barker Ryan Stewart.

REPORT DISCLAIMER

This report has been prepared for the client identified in section 1.0 only and cannot be relied on or used by any third party. Any representation, statement, opinion or advice, expressed or implied in this report is made in good faith but on the basis that Barker Ryan Stewart are not liable (whether by reason of negligence, lack of care or otherwise) to any person for any damage or loss whatsoever which has occurred or may occur in relation to that person taking or not taking (as the case may be) action in any respect of any representation, statement, or advice referred to above.



SYDNEY P (02) 9659 0005 E sydney@brs.com.au CENTRAL COAST P (02) 4325 5255 E coast@brs.com.au HUNTER P (02) 4966 8388 E hunter@brs.com.au COFFS HARBOUR P (02) 5642 4222 E coffs@brs.com.au NORTHERN RIVERS P (02) 6681 6696 E northernrivers@brs.com.au SOUTH EAST QUEENSLAND P (07) 5582 6555 E seqld@brs.com.au

www.brs.com.au



Table of Contents

| 1 | Introduction | 4 |
|---|--|----|
| 2 | Existing Conditions | 5 |
| | 2.1 Site Location | 5 |
| | 2.2 Existing Development | 6 |
| | 2.3 Existing Road Conditions | 6 |
| | 2.4 Public Transport, Pedestrians and Cyclists | 7 |
| 3 | Proposed Development | 9 |
| | 3.1 Development Description | 9 |
| | 3.2 Access | 9 |
| | 3.3 Parking | 9 |
| | 3.4 Service / Heavy Vehicles | 10 |
| 4 | Car Parking Assessment | 11 |
| | 4.1 Parking Requirements | 11 |
| 5 | Traffic Assessment | |
| | 5.1 Trip Generation | |
| | 5.1.1 Existing Development | |
| | 5.1.2 Proposed Development | |
| | 5.1.3 SIDRA Intersection Modelling Assessment | |
| | 5.1.4 SIDRA Intersection Results | 16 |
| 6 | Conclusion/Recommendations | 19 |
| 7 | References | 20 |
| | | |

Appendix A – Site Plan Appendix B – Swept Path Analysis Appendix C – SIDRA Assessment

SYDNEY P (02) 9659 0005 E sydney@brs.com.au CENTRAL COAST P (02) 4325 5255 E coast@brs.com.au HUNTER P (02) 4966 8388 E hunter@brs.com.au COFFS HARBOUR P (02) 5642 4222 E coffs@brs.com.au NORTHERN RIVERS P (02) 6681 6696 E northernrivers@brs.com.au SOUTH EAST QUEENSLAND P (07) 5582 6555 E seqld@brs.com.au

1 Introduction

Barker Ryan Stewart have been engaged by Centric Architects to prepare a Traffic and Parking Impact Assessment in accordance with the requirements of Maitland City Council DCP 2011 (C11) and the NSW Government's 'Guide to Traffic Generating Developments' to accompany a Development Application for alterations and additions at Maitland Heritage Mazda.

The purpose of this report is to assess and address traffic, access, car parking and pedestrian impacts generated by the proposed development. This can be briefly outlined as follows:

- The expected traffic generation to/from the proposed development.
- The impact of the proposed development on the road network.
- Intersection analysis based on traffic counts.
- Vehicle parking provisions.
- Access design requirements.
- Delivery and Waste Collection.
- Provision for pedestrians.
- Availability of public transport.

This Traffic and Parking Impact Assessment Report concludes that the subject site is suitable for the proposed development in relation to traffic impact, car parking provision, vehicle and pedestrian access and safety considerations.

2 Existing Conditions

2.1 Site Location

The Maitland Heritage Mazda site is on 19 Bungaree Street, Maitland, otherwise known as Lot 22 DP746311.

The site has two accesses to Bungaree Street – a left-only entry north of the site and an entry-exit further south.

The site is bound by bulky retail in the west, residential lots and a fast food development to the north and local retail in the east. Figure 2.1 shows the site and its surrounds.



Figure 2.1: Aerial Photo of Site (Nearmap 2023)

2.2 Existing Development

The site is currently still operational as a car showroom for Mazda, Suzuki and Mitsubishi, totalling 685.10m² GFA. The development also includes a 1,596.20m² GFA service centre, a 385.11m² GFA shed, 175 parking spaces for display/ sale vehicles and 69 spaces for parking.

2.3 Existing Road Conditions

A schedule of surrounding roads is shown below.

| Road Name | Use Class | Speed | No of Lanes | Parking Restrictions |
|-----------------|--------------|--------|-------------|-------------------------|
| New England Hwy | Sub-Arterial | 80km/h | 4 | No Stopping |
| Bungaree St | Collector | 50km/h | 2 | No Stopping |
| High St | Collector | 50km/h | 2 | No Stopping |
| Johnson St | Local | 50km/h | 2 | Permitted |

Table 2.1: Schedule of Surrounding Roads

BRS had commissioned a traffic survey at the site's main access onto Bungaree Street on Tuesday 12 December 2023. The entry-only access north of the main access has been excluded, as this would only allow left-in entry and would not have any significant effect on the wider traffic network.

The results of the survey have been shown in Figure 2.2 and Figure 2.3.

| | | В | unga | aree | St | | | | | | | |
|----|----------|-----|-------------|----------|--------------|----|----|--|--------------|---------------|---------|------|
| | | ↑ | | | 273 | | | | | | | |
| | | 281 | | | \downarrow | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 14 | 1 | HV | | | | | |
| | | | | | 248 | 10 | LV | | | | | |
| | | ц, | | L, | \downarrow | | | | 13 | \rightarrow | | |
| | | • | $ \rangle$ | \vdash | | | | | | | Site Ac | cess |
| | <u> </u> | | | Ý | 1 | 4 | 0 | | \leftarrow | 8 | | |
| LV | 266 | 2 | | | ┢ | 4 | 0 | | | | | |
| ΗV | 11 | 0 | | | | LV | HV | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | ↑ | | | 266 | | | | | | | |
| | | 279 | | | \downarrow | | | | | | | |
| | | Bi | unga | aree | St | | | | | | | |

Figure 2.2: Intersection Survey Results (Existing AM Peak)



Figure 2.3: Intersection Survey Results (Existing PM Peak)

2.4 Public Transport, Pedestrians and Cyclists

The area is well connected to public transport through public bus connections located in close proximity to the site, including the Telarah train station 420m from the site, and bus stops along New England Highway, Bungaree Street and High Street service the 178, 179, 180, 181, 182 and 183 bus routes. A schedule of public transport is shown below.

| Travel Mode | Route Code | Route Description | AM Peak Frequency | PM Peak Frequency |
|-------------|------------|---|----------------------|----------------------|
| Train | HUN | Hunter Line – Newcastle to Dungog | 1 per 20 minutes | 1 per 20 minutes |
| | 178 | Rutherford to Anambah Rd via Rutherford Industrial Estate (Loop) | 1 per 1 hour | 1 per 1 hour |
| | 179 | North Rothbury to Green hills Shopping Centre via Maitland | 1 per 30 minutes | 1 per 30 minutes |
| Bus | 180 | Singleton Heights to Green Hills Shopping Centre via Maitland | 9:29AM | 5:29PM |
| | 181 | Rutherford to Woodbury via Maitland, Green Hills Shopping Centre & Beresfield | 1 per hour | 1 per hour |
| | 182 | Rutherford to Thornton via Maitland, Green Hills Shopping Centre & Ashtonfield | 1 per 1 hour | 1 per 1 hour |

Table 2.2: Schedule of Public Transport



Figure 2.4: Surrounding Public Transport (Nearmap 2023)

3 Proposed Development

3.1 Development Description

The proposal includes alterations and additions to the existing development, totalling a (existing & proposed) showroom of 2,091.58m² GFA and a 2,039.81m² GFA workshop. In detail, the development includes:

- A proposed Kia Showroom
- A proposed Mazda Showroom
- Refurbished existing Mitsubishi and Suzuki Showrooms
- Extension of the existing Mitsubishi Showroom
- Extension to the workshop with additional work bays and service drop-off awnings
- Reconfiguration of parking and driveways, and
- External hardstand increased with new retaining walls & ramp to suit proposed car parking configuration.
- 143 visitor or overflow workshop parking spaces, and
- 47 showroom or workshop storage parking spaces

3.2 Access

The existing accesses will be retained for use, including the northern left-only entry, and the main access. It is noted that the northern entry is not likely to affect road speeds or safety and can only serve to improve access queueing or conflicts, and has mostly been excluded from traffic impact assessments.

The entry/exit driveways comply with AS/NZS 2890.1-2004 Parking Facilities – Off Street Car Parking, AS 2890.2-2018 Parking Facilities – Off Street Commercial Vehicle Facilities.

The proposed driveway locations comply with Figure 3.3 – Minimum Sight Distance for Pedestrian Safety AS/NZS 2890.1 and the proposed driveway gradients comply with AS/NZS 2890.1.

3.3 Parking

The proposed development will include 141 visitor & workshop parking spaces, and 42 showroom or workshop storage parking spaces. The 42 spaces will be parked exclusively by staff and be internally managed and will be linemarked.

The proposed parking facilities have been designed in accordance with the requirements of AS/NZS 2890.1, AS 2890.2 and AS/NZS 2890.6 – Off-street Parking for People with Disabilities. It is noted that existing parking spaces are already operational, and no change is proposed.

- User Class = 3 (short-term parking)
- Parking bays: 2.60m x 5.40m
- Small car bays: 2.60m x 5.00m
- Aisle Widths: 5.80m
- Accessible Parking Spaces: 2.40m x 5.40m plus a 2.40m x 5.40m shared space

A swept path review of the site alongside a design review has been undertaken, and has been shown in Appendix B.



Figure 3.1: Extract from Ground Floor Plan and Parking

3.4 Service / Heavy Vehicles

Commercial vehicle access and circulation is as existing, which is operational. The existing arrangement involve cars being delivered from port to a pre-delivery centre off-site, and sale cars either being driven a short distance to the site, or shipped via a single car carrier, which is the size of an 8.8m Medium Rigid Vehicle (MRV).

Similarly, an HRV needs to access the waste collection area. It is noted that waste collection will primarily be done at the back of the workshop (primary waste collection area) but can also be done when required along the corner of the KIA showroom and workshop (secondary waste collection area).

It is noted that a HRV stopping in the secondary waste collection area will affect contraflow on the roadway. However, this is unlikely to affect flow in practice, as:

- Waste collection in this corner is modest compared to the primary waste collection area and obstruction would be for a very short amount of time,
- Waste collection will occur far outside of peak times (likely before operating times) and is unlikely to encounter any vehicle conflict.
- On the odd occasion where conflict may occur, considering it would occur outside of peak times, vehicles can either use the car parking spaces to maneuver around, or use a parking space as a turning bay and go the other direction.

Both MRV and HRV have been reviewed in the swept path plans.

4 Car Parking Assessment

4.1 Parking Requirements

The proposed access and car parking provision has been assessed against the requirements of the Maitland DCP 2011 Party C.11(DCP 2011) and the RMS Guide to Traffic-Generating Developments 2002 (GTGD 2002).

Maitland DCP 2011

The DCP 2011 requires the following parking provisions:

- Vehicle sale or hire premises:
 - 1 space per 130m²
- Ancillary/ associated vehicle servicing facilities:
 - 6 spaces per work bay

'Guide to Traffic Generating Developments'

The 'Guide to Traffic Generating Developments' requires the following parking provision:

- Motor showroom:
 - 0.75 customer/ visitor spaces per 100m²
- Ancillary/associated vehicle servicing facilities:
 - 6 spaces per work bay

4.2.1 Parking Calculation Methodology

Table 4.1 outlines the minimum parking requirements as per the DCP and GTGD.

Table 4.1: Assessment of Parking Calculation

| Source | Use Case | Size | Parking Rate | Parking Requirement |
|---|---------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|--|
| Maitland DCP 2011 | Vehicle Showroom | 2,091.58m ² GFA | 1 space per 130m ² | 16.09 (rounded up to 17 as per DCP) |
| | Car Workshop | 26 bays | 6 spaces per work bay | 156 spaces |
| | TOTAL | | | 173 spaces |
| Guide to Traffic Generating Developments 2002 | Vehicle Showroom | 2,091.58m ² GFA | 0.75 space per 100m ² | 15.69 (rounded up to 16 as per GTGD) |
| | Car Workshop | 26 bays | 6 spaces per work bay | 156 spaces |
| | TOTAL | | | 172 spaces |

172-173 spaces are required as a minimum, with 16-17 spaces allocated to the showroom and 156 spaces allocated to the car service shop. It is noted that no distinction is made between visitor parking and inter/ post-servicing parking for the car service shops.

The development has 141 spaces exclusively allocated to visitor parking, and 42 spaces allocated for staff use including demonstration vehicles and storing inter/ post-servicing vehicles. This amounts to 183 spaces provided in total. This is some 10 spaces greater than the minimum rate outlined in Maitland DCP.

BRS assess that the provision of additional parking will ultimately be able to accommodate both staff and visitor needs.

5 Traffic Assessment

5.1 Trip Generation

5.1.1 Existing Development

The assessment of the existing trips generated by the site has been based on the traffic surveys that were conducted for the site entrance. However, this has been compared with the TfNSW GTGD 2002 rate to validate. The GTGD 2002 rate is 0.7 per 100m² GFA.

The existing development size is a 685.10m² GFA. The development also includes a 1,596.20m² GFA service centre, a 385.11m² GFA shed. It has been presumed that the shed would generate no significant traffic. For a 2281.3m² total GFA, the site would be expected to generate 16 trips per hour.

The peak periods as recorded by the traffic survey conducted on Tuesday 12/12/2023 were 8:15AM to 9:15AM in the AM peak, and 4:00PM to 5:00PM in the PM peak.

During the AM peak, a total of 17 trips were recorded (13 in and 4 out. In the PM peak, a total of 24 trips were recorded (4 in and 20 out). Based on an existing GFA of 2666.41m² this equates to a trip rate of:

- 0.7 trips per hour / 100m² GFA in the AM, and:
- 1.1 trips per hour/ 100m² GFA in the PM peak,

These rates are generally in agreement with GTGD 2002 values and have been adopted for the proposed development.

In terms of existing in/out, the intersection survey indicates that:

- For the AM peak period:
 - 55% of trips enter the site and:
 - 45% of trips exit the site
- For the PM peak period:
 - 17.5% of trips enter the site, and:
 - 82.5% of trips exit the site

In terms of existing north-south, the intersection survey indicates that:

- Generally, in both peak periods:
 - 75% of trips are to/ from the north, and
 - 25% of trips are to/ from the south

5.1.2 Proposed Development

The proposed development would include a showroom of 2,091.58m² GFA and a 2,039.81m² GFA workshop. This represents an increase of 1850.09m² GFA. Using the above-surveyed rates, the proposed development would be expected to generate:

- 13 trips in the AM peak, and
- 20 trips in the PM peak.

It has been presumed that in/ out and directional distribution will be the same as existing/ operational. Subsequently, the traffic distribution is tabulated in Table 5.1 and shown in Figure 5.1 and Figure 5.2.

| Traffic Type | % Share | Traffic Volume | | | | | |
|--------------|---------|----------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | AM Peak | | | | | | |
| Total | 100% | 13.0 | | | | | |
| In | 55% | 7.2 | | | | | |
| In (North) | 41% | 5.4 | | | | | |
| In (South) | 14% | 1.8 | | | | | |
| Out | 45% | 5.9 | | | | | |
| Out (North) | 34% | 4.4 | | | | | |
| Out (South) | 8% | 1.1 | | | | | |
| | PM Peak | | | | | | |
| Total | 100% | 20.0 | | | | | |
| In | 18% | 3.5 | | | | | |
| In (North) | 13% | 2.6 | | | | | |
| In (South) | 4% | 0.9 | | | | | |
| Out | 83% | 16.5 | | | | | |
| Out (North) | 62% | 12.4 | | | | | |
| Out (South) | 15% | 3.1 | | | | | |

Table 5.1: Development Traffic Distribution





Figure 5.1: Development Traffic Distribution (AM)

Figure 5.2: Development Traffic Distribution (PM)

5.1.3 SIDRA Intersection Modelling Assessment

BRS have created a model of the site access along Bungaree Road for the 2024 period and the 2034 10year projection. The 10-year projection has been modelled assuming a 2% per-annum growth rate for the background volume.

In summary, four scenarios have been assessed:

- 1. 2024 Base
- 2. 2024 Base + Development
- 3. 2034 Base
- 4. 2034 Base + Development

These volumes have been shown in Figure 2.2, Figure 2.3, Figure 5.3, Figure 5.4 and Figure 5.5.



Figure 5.3: 2024 Base + Development Volumes (Left: AM, Right: PM)



Figure 5.4: 2034 Base Volumes (Left: AM, Right: PM)



Figure 5.5: 2034 Base + Development Volumes (Left: AM, Right: PM)

5.1.4 SIDRA Intersection Results

The results of the analysis have been shown in Table 5.2 and Table 5.3.

| Peak Period | Leg | DOS | Average Delay | 95% Queue | LOS | | |
|--------------|---------------------|-------|------------------|-----------|-----|--|--|
| 1. 2024 Base | | | | | | | |
| AM | S – Bungaree St | 0.155 | 6.9 | 0.2 | А | | |
| | E – Site Access | 0.011 | 9.5 | 0.3 | А | | |
| | N – Bungaree St | 0.146 | 5.6 | 0.0 | А | | |
| | TOTAL | 0.011 | 9.5 | 0.3 | Α | | |
| PM | S – Bungaree St | 0.118 | 7.6 | 0.1 | 0.1 | | |
| | E – Site Access | 0.032 | 10.3 | 0.8 | 0.8 | | |
| | N – Bungaree St | 0.209 | 5.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | |
| | TOTAL | 0.032 | 10.3 | 0.8 | 0.8 | | |
| | 2. 2024 Development | | | | | | |

Table 5.2: 2024 SIDRA Intersection Results

221081, Maitland Heritage Mazda, Maitland

| AM | S – Bungaree St | 0.157 | 8.0 | 0.3 | А |
|----|--------------------|-------|------|-----|---|
| | E – Site Access | 0.019 | 9.6 | 0.5 | А |
| | N – Bungaree St | 0.009 | 5.6 | 0.0 | А |
| | TOTAL | 0.019 | 9.6 | 0.5 | Α |
| PM | S – Bungaree St | 0.119 | 7.6 | 0.2 | А |
| | E – Site Access | 0.059 | 10.5 | 1.5 | А |
| | N – Bungaree St | 0.003 | 5.5 | 0.0 | А |
| | TOTAL | 0.059 | 10.5 | 1.5 | Α |

Table 5.3: 2034 SIDRA Intersection Results

| Peak Period | Leg | DOS | Average Delay | 95% Queue | LOS |
|-------------|--------------------|---------|------------------|-----------|-----|
| | | 1. 2 | 2024 Base | | |
| AM | S – Bungaree St | 0.189 | 7.4 | 0.2 | А |
| | E – Site Access | 0.015 | 11.0 | 0.4 | А |
| | N – Bungaree St | 0.008 | 5.6 | 0.0 | А |
| | TOTAL | 0.015 | 11.0 | 0.4 | Α |
| PM | S – Bungaree St | 0.144 | 8.4 | 0.1 | А |
| | E – Site Access | 0.048 | 12.3 | 1.2 | А |
| | N – Bungaree St | 0.002 | 5.5 | 0.0 | А |
| | TOTAL | 0.048 | 12.3 | 1.2 | Α |
| | | 2. 2024 | Development | | |
| AM | S – Bungaree St | 0.191 | 7.5 | 0.4 | А |
| | E – Site Access | 0.025 | 11.1 | 0.6 | А |
| | N – Bungaree St | 0.011 | 5.6 | 0.0 | А |
| | TOTAL | 0.025 | 11.1 | 0.6 | Α |
| PM | S – Bungaree St | 0.145 | 8.5 | 0.2 | А |
| | E – Site Access | 0.081 | 12.5 | 2.0 | А |

| N – Bungaree St | 0.004 | 5.5 | 0.0 | А |
|--------------------|-------|------|-----|---|
| TOTAL | 0.081 | 12.5 | 2.0 | Α |

As shown, it can be observed that the intersection will experience very negligible increase in delay as a result of the additional development and will continue to operate without significant delay in 2034.

6 Conclusion/Recommendations

This Traffic and Parking Impact Assessment has been prepared in accordance with the requirements of Maitland DCP 2011 and the NSW Government's 'Guide to Traffic Generating Developments' to accompany a Development Application for alterations and additions to the car dealership at 19 Bungaree Street, otherwise known as Maitland Heritage Mazda.

The proposal includes alterations and additions to the existing car dealership including increasing the existing dealership from 685.10m² GFA to 2,091.58m² GFA, and the existing workshop from 1,596.20m² GFA to 2,039.81m² GFA. This is associated with 183 car parking spaces, including 42 marked parking spaces exclusive for staff use. This is shown in Appendix A.

All existing accesses will be retained and have been assessed on swept path plans shown in Appendix B.

An assessment of the parking requirements provided in the Maitland DCP 2011 and TfNSW Guide to Traffic-Generating Developments 2002 indicate that the site would require 172-173 car parking spaces. The site provides an additional 10 spaces; however it is noted that many of these spaces are intended for staff/ showroom/ mechanic use only, and not intended to be used by visitors. Hence, it is expected that parking will be sufficient for visitor use without oversupply, and the additional supply will be used for staff/ commercial use only (as required).

The proposed additional facilities are expected to generate an additional 13 trips in the AM peak, and 20 trips in the PM peak. This has been assessed in SIDRA Intersection 9, which indicates that the existing site access onto Bungaree Rd is at LOS A and will continue to operate at LOS A after development and after 10 years, assuming normal growth behaviour.

The Traffic and Parking Impact Assessment concludes that the subject site is suitable for the proposed development in relation to the impact of traffic, car parking provision, vehicle and pedestrian access and safety considerations.

7 References

Australian Standards, 'AS/NZS 2890.1:2004 Off-Street Car Parking'.

Australian Standards, 'AS 2890.2:2018 Off-Street Commercial Vehicle Facilities'.

Australian Standards, 'AS/NZS 2890.6:2002 Off-Street Parking for People with Disabilities'.

Roads and Maritime Services, 'Guide to Traffic Generating Developments' Version 2.2 dated October 2002.

NSW Department of Planning, 'SEPP (Infrastructure) 2007'

Maitland DCP 2011

Attachment A - Site Plan



 LEGEND

 BOUNDARY LINES

 SITE FENCES

 EXISTING LEVEL

 NOMINAL ARCHITECTURAL LEVEL

 EXISTING DISPLAY PARKING

 PROPOSED DISPLAY PARKING

 PROPOSED DISPLAY PARKING

 DEPICTS RELEVANT EXISTING TREES TO REMAIN INDICATIVELY LOCATED FROM GOOGLE AERIAL IMAGERY

NOTES

I. ALL FINAL LEVELS TO BE CONFIRMED ON SITE BY THE BUILDER AND TO BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH COUNCIL CONSENT AND STATUTORY AUTHORITY REQUIREMENTS.

2. LINES OF BOUNDARY AND ALL SITE EXTENTS BASED FROM SURVEY BY "SCOPE SURVEYING (02-4934 3612)", DATED 13/06/2022.

3. WHERE OFFSETS ARE CRITICAL THEY SHOULD BE VERIFIED FURTHER BY A REGISTERED SURVEYOR. FURTHER IF CONSTRUCTION ON OR NEAR BOUNDARIES IS REQUIRED THAT THE BOUNDARIES OF THE LAND BE MARKED WITH A PEG-OUT SURVEY BY A REGISTERED SURVEYOR.

WORD ABBREVIATION

| вок | BOTTOM OF KERB |
|------|------------------------------|
| COL | COLUMN |
| CONC | CONCRETE |
| DP | DOWNPIPE |
| DW | DRIVEWAY |
| EX | EXISTING |
| FP | FOOTPATH |
| HYD | HYDRANT |
| LP | LIGHT POLE |
| Р | PIT |
| RL | RELATED LEVEL |
| RWB | RETAINING WALL BOTTOM |
| RVV | RETAINING WALL |
| RWT | RETAINING WALL TOP |
| TOS | TOP OF SOIL |
| | |

| PROJECT NAME: | NEWCASTLE H | ERITAGE | |
|---------------|--------------|---------------|-----|
| SITE ADDRESS: | BUNGAREE ST, | MAITLAND, NS | w |
| CLIENT: | EAGERS AUTON | OTIVE PTY LTD | |
| ARCHITECT: | D.D | DRAWN: | к.т |
| | | | |

Do not scale this drawing. Use figured dimensions only. Confirm all dimensions and levels on site prior to commencement of any work, preparation of shop drawings or fabrication of components. This drawing is protected under the Copyright Act 1968 and is the copyright of Centric Architects. Do not reproduce, modify or transmit this drawing without the permission of Centric Architects.

PROJECT PHASE: PRE-DA SUBMISSION

DATE LAST PRINTED: 21/2/2024

| PARKING ALL | OCATION | | | |
|--|---|---|----------------------|------------------------------|
| LEGEND | AREA | REQUIRED PARKING (DCP) | ACTUAL PARKING | STORAGE / DISPLAY PARKING |
| ENTIRE SHOWROOM (EXISTING + PROPOSED) | 2091.58 m ² | 17 (1/130 m ²) (16.09 rounded up to 17 as per DCP) | | |
| ENTIRE WORKSHOP (EXISTING + PROPOSED) | 2,039.81 m ² (26 work ways) | 156 (6/work bays) | | |
| TOTAL | | 173 | I40 (LINE MARKED) | 42 (SHOWN DASHED) |

Attachment B – Swept Path Analysis

Appendix C – SIDRA Layout and Output

SITE LAYOUT V Site: 101 [1. Bungaree St/ Site Access EX 2024 AM (Site Folder: EX 2024)]

New Site Site Category: (None) Give-Way (Two-Way)

Layout pictures are schematic functional drawings reflecting input data. They are not design drawings.

Organisation: BARKER RYAN STEWART | Licence: NETWORK / 1PC | Created: Monday, 15 January 2024 12:32:49 PM Project: C:\Users\jason\OneDrive - BARKER RYAN STEWART PTY LIMITED\0. TRAF\Projects\22\221081\PlanEngSurv\BRS Documentation \Survey\221081-Maitland Mazda SIDRA.sip9

USER REPORT FOR SITE

All Movement Classes

Project: 221081-Maitland Mazda SIDRA

V Site: 101 [1. Bungaree St/ Site Access EX 2024 AM (Site Folder: EX 2024)]

New Site Site Category: (None) Give-Way (Two-Way)

| Vehi | /ehicle Movement Performance | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------|------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------|---------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| Mov ID | Turn | INF VOLU [Total veh/h | PUT JMES HV] veh/h | DEM/ FLO [Total veh/h | AND WS HV] % | Deg. Satn v/c | Aver. Delay sec | Level of Service | 95% B/ QU [Veh. veh | ACK OF EUE Dist] m | Prop. Que | Effective Stop Rate | Aver. No. Cycles | Aver. Speed km/h |
| South | n: Bung | aree St | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | T1 | 277 | 11 | 292 | 4.0 | 0.155 | 0.0 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 59.9 |
| 3 | R2 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0.0 | 0.155 | 6.9 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 55.4 |
| Appro | bach | 279 | 11 | 294 | 3.9 | 0.155 | 0.1 | NA | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 59.9 |
| East: | Site Ad | ccess | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | L2 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0.0 | 0.011 | 6.4 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.44 | 0.60 | 0.44 | 47.6 |
| 6 | R2 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0.0 | 0.011 | 9.5 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.44 | 0.60 | 0.44 | 37.9 |
| Appro | bach | 8 | 0 | 8 | 0.0 | 0.011 | 7.9 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.44 | 0.60 | 0.44 | 44.0 |
| North | : Bung | aree St | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 7 | L2 | 11 | 1 | 12 | 9.1 | 0.007 | 5.6 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.57 | 0.00 | 40.1 |
| 8 | T1 | 262 | 14 | 276 | 5.3 | 0.146 | 0.0 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 59.9 |
| Appro | bach | 273 | 15 | 287 | 5.5 | 0.146 | 0.2 | NA | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 59.4 |
| All Ve | hicles | 560 | 26 | 589 | 4.6 | 0.155 | 0.3 | NA | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 59.4 |

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab). Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Minor Road Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

NA: Intersection LOS and Major Road Approach LOS values are Not Applicable for two-way sign control since the average delay is not a good LOS measure due to zero delays associated with major road movements.

Delay Model: SIDRA Standard (Geometric Delay is included).

Queue Model: SIDRA Standard.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

V Site: 101 [1. Bungaree St/ Site Access EX 2024 PM (Site Folder: EX 2024)]

New Site Site Category: (None) Give-Way (Two-Way)

| Vehi | ehicle Movement Performance | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------|-----------------------------|------------------|---------------|------------------|-----------|--------------|----------------|---------------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|-------------------|--------------|----------------|
| Mov ID | Turn | INF VOLL | PUT JMES | DEM/ FLO | AND WS | Deg. Satn | Aver. Delay | Level of Service | 95% BA QUI | ACK OF EUE | Prop. Que | Effective Stop | Aver. No. | Aver. Speed |
| | | [Total veh/h | HV] veh/h | [Total veh/h | HV] % | v/c | sec | | [Veh. veh | Dist] m | | Rate | Cycles | km/h |
| Sout | h: Bung | aree St | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | T1 | 214 | 3 | 225 | 1.4 | 0.118 | 0.0 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 59.9 |
| 3 | R2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.0 | 0.118 | 7.6 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 55.4 |
| Appr | oach | 215 | 3 | 226 | 1.4 | 0.118 | 0.1 | NA | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 59.9 |
| East | Site Ad | ccess | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | L2 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0.0 | 0.032 | 6.9 | LOS A | 0.1 | 0.8 | 0.54 | 0.71 | 0.54 | 46.1 |
| 6 | R2 | 14 | 0 | 15 | 0.0 | 0.032 | 10.3 | LOS A | 0.1 | 0.8 | 0.54 | 0.71 | 0.54 | 35.9 |
| Appr | oach | 19 | 0 | 20 | 0.0 | 0.032 | 9.4 | LOS A | 0.1 | 0.8 | 0.54 | 0.71 | 0.54 | 39.7 |
| North | n: Bung | aree St | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 7 | L2 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0.0 | 0.002 | 5.5 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.58 | 0.00 | 40.6 |
| 8 | T1 | 384 | 6 | 404 | 1.6 | 0.209 | 0.0 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 59.9 |
| Appr | oach | 387 | 6 | 407 | 1.6 | 0.209 | 0.1 | NA | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 59.8 |
| All Ve | ehicles | 621 | 9 | 654 | 1.4 | 0.209 | 0.3 | NA | 0.1 | 0.8 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 59.3 |

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab). Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Minor Road Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

NA: Intersection LOS and Major Road Approach LOS values are Not Applicable for two-way sign control since the average delay is not a good LOS measure due to zero delays associated with major road movements.

Delay Model: SIDRA Standard (Geometric Delay is included).

Queue Model: SIDRA Standard.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

V Site: 101 [1. Bungaree St/ Site Access DEV 2024 AM (Site Folder: DEV 2024)]

New Site Site Category: (None) Give-Way (Two-Way)

| Vehi | ehicle Movement Performance | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------|-----------------------------|------------------|---------------|------------------|-----------|--------------|----------------|---------------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|-------------------|--------------|----------------|
| Mov ID | Turn | INF VOLL | PUT JMES | DEM/ FLO | AND WS | Deg. Satn | Aver. Delay | Level of Service | 95% BA QUI | ACK OF EUE | Prop. Que | Effective Stop | Aver. No. | Aver. Speed |
| | | [Total veh/h | HV] veh/h | [Total veh/h | HV] % | v/c | sec | | [Veh. veh | Dist] m | | Rate | Cycles | km/h |
| Sout | h: Bung | aree St | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | T1 | 277 | 11 | 292 | 4.0 | 0.157 | 0.0 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 59.8 |
| 3 | R2 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0.0 | 0.157 | 7.0 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 55.3 |
| Appr | oach | 281 | 11 | 296 | 3.9 | 0.157 | 0.1 | NA | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 59.7 |
| East | Site Ad | ccess | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | L2 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0.0 | 0.019 | 6.4 | LOS A | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.47 | 0.64 | 0.47 | 47.1 |
| 6 | R2 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 0.0 | 0.019 | 9.6 | LOS A | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.47 | 0.64 | 0.47 | 37.3 |
| Appr | oach | 13 | 0 | 14 | 0.0 | 0.019 | 8.4 | LOS A | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.47 | 0.64 | 0.47 | 42.4 |
| North | n: Bung | aree St | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 7 | L2 | 16 | 1 | 17 | 6.3 | 0.009 | 5.6 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.57 | 0.00 | 40.3 |
| 8 | T1 | 262 | 14 | 276 | 5.3 | 0.146 | 0.0 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 59.9 |
| Appr | oach | 278 | 15 | 293 | 5.4 | 0.146 | 0.3 | NA | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 59.2 |
| All Ve | ehicles | 572 | 26 | 602 | 4.5 | 0.157 | 0.4 | NA | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 59.1 |

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab).

Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Minor Road Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

NA: Intersection LOS and Major Road Approach LOS values are Not Applicable for two-way sign control since the average delay is not a good LOS measure due to zero delays associated with major road movements.

Delay Model: SIDRA Standard (Geometric Delay is included).

Queue Model: SIDRA Standard.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

V Site: 101 [1. Bungaree St/ Site Access DEV 2024 PM (Site Folder: DEV 2024)]

New Site Site Category: (None) Give-Way (Two-Way)

| Vehi | ehicle Movement Performance | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------|-----------------------------|---------|-------------|---------|-----------|--------------|----------------|---------------------|--------|--------|-------|-------------------|--------|----------------|
| Mov D | Turn | | PUT IMES | DEM/ | AND WS | Deg. Satn | Aver. Delav | Level of Service | 95% BA | | Prop. | Effective Stop | Aver. | Aver. Speed |
| | | [Total | HV] | [Total | HV] | Call | Delay | 0011100 | [Veh. | Dist] | Quo | Rate | Cycles | opeed |
| | | veh/h | veh/h | veh/h | % | v/c | sec | | veh | m | | | | km/h |
| Sout | h: Bung | aree St | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | T1 | 214 | 3 | 225 | 1.4 | 0.119 | 0.0 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 59.8 |
| 3 | R2 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0.0 | 0.119 | 7.6 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 55.3 |
| Appr | oach | 216 | 3 | 227 | 1.4 | 0.119 | 0.1 | NA | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 59.8 |
| East | Site Ad | ccess | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | L2 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 0.0 | 0.059 | 7.0 | LOS A | 0.2 | 1.5 | 0.55 | 0.74 | 0.55 | 45.9 |
| 6 | R2 | 26 | 0 | 27 | 0.0 | 0.059 | 10.5 | LOS A | 0.2 | 1.5 | 0.55 | 0.74 | 0.55 | 35.6 |
| Appr | oach | 34 | 0 | 36 | 0.0 | 0.059 | 9.6 | LOS A | 0.2 | 1.5 | 0.55 | 0.74 | 0.55 | 39.1 |
| North | n: Bung | aree St | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 7 | L2 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 0.0 | 0.003 | 5.5 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.58 | 0.00 | 40.6 |
| 8 | T1 | 384 | 6 | 404 | 1.6 | 0.209 | 0.0 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 59.9 |
| Appr | oach | 390 | 6 | 411 | 1.5 | 0.209 | 0.1 | NA | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 59.7 |
| All Ve | ehicles | 640 | 9 | 674 | 1.4 | 0.209 | 0.6 | NA | 0.2 | 1.5 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.03 | 58.8 |

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab). Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Minor Road Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

NA: Intersection LOS and Major Road Approach LOS values are Not Applicable for two-way sign control since the average delay is not a good LOS measure due to zero delays associated with major road movements.

Delay Model: SIDRA Standard (Geometric Delay is included).

Queue Model: SIDRA Standard.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

V Site: 101 [1. Bungaree St/ Site Access EX 2034 AM (Site Folder: EX 2034)]

New Site Site Category: (None) Give-Way (Two-Way)

| Vehi | ehicle Movement Performance | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------|-----------------------------|------------------|---------------|------------------|-----------|--------------|----------------|---------------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|-------------------|--------------|----------------|
| Mov ID | Turn | INF VOLI | PUT JMES | DEM/ FLO | AND WS | Deg. Satn | Aver. Delay | Level of Service | 95% BA QUI | ACK OF EUE | Prop. Que | Effective Stop | Aver. No. | Aver. Speed |
| | | [Total veh/h | HV] veh/h | [Total veh/h | HV] % | v/c | sec | | [Veh. veh | Dist] m | | Rate | Cycles | km/h |
| Sout | h: Bung | aree St | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | T1 | 337 | 13 | 355 | 3.9 | 0.189 | 0.0 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 59.9 |
| 3 | R2 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0.0 | 0.189 | 7.4 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 55.4 |
| Appr | oach | 339 | 13 | 357 | 3.8 | 0.189 | 0.1 | NA | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 59.9 |
| East: | Site Ad | ccess | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | L2 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0.0 | 0.015 | 6.6 | LOS A | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.50 | 0.64 | 0.50 | 46.7 |
| 6 | R2 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0.0 | 0.015 | 11.0 | LOS A | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.50 | 0.64 | 0.50 | 36.7 |
| Appr | oach | 10 | 0 | 11 | 0.0 | 0.015 | 8.8 | LOS A | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.50 | 0.64 | 0.50 | 43.0 |
| North | n: Bung | aree St | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 7 | L2 | 13 | 1 | 14 | 7.7 | 0.008 | 5.6 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.57 | 0.00 | 40.2 |
| 8 | T1 | 319 | 17 | 336 | 5.3 | 0.178 | 0.0 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 59.9 |
| Appr | oach | 332 | 18 | 349 | 5.4 | 0.178 | 0.2 | NA | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 59.4 |
| All Ve | ehicles | 681 | 31 | 717 | 4.6 | 0.189 | 0.3 | NA | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 59.4 |

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab).

Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Minor Road Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

NA: Intersection LOS and Major Road Approach LOS values are Not Applicable for two-way sign control since the average delay is not a good LOS measure due to zero delays associated with major road movements.

Delay Model: SIDRA Standard (Geometric Delay is included).

Queue Model: SIDRA Standard.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

V Site: 101 [1. Bungaree St/ Site Access EX 2034 PM (Site Folder: EX 2034)]

New Site Site Category: (None) Give-Way (Two-Way)

| Vehi | Vehicle Movement Performance | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------|------------------------------|-------------|-------------|---------|-----------|--------------|----------------|---------------------|--------|--------|-------|-------------------|--------|----------------|
| Mov ID | Turn | INF Volu | PUT IMES | DEM/ | AND WS | Deg. Satn | Aver. Delav | Level of Service | 95% BA | | Prop. | Effective Stop | Aver. | Aver. Sneed |
| | | [Total | HV] | [Total | HV] | Call | Delay | 0011100 | [Veh. | Dist] | Quo | Rate | Cycles | opeed |
| | | veh/h | veh/h | veh/h | % | v/c | sec | | veh | m | | | | km/h |
| Sout | h: Bung | aree St | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | T1 | 261 | 4 | 275 | 1.5 | 0.144 | 0.0 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 59.9 |
| 3 | R2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.0 | 0.144 | 8.4 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 55.4 |
| Appr | oach | 262 | 4 | 276 | 1.5 | 0.144 | 0.1 | NA | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 59.9 |
| East | Site Ad | cess | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | L2 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 0.0 | 0.048 | 7.4 | LOS A | 0.2 | 1.2 | 0.60 | 0.78 | 0.60 | 44.6 |
| 6 | R2 | 17 | 0 | 18 | 0.0 | 0.048 | 12.3 | LOS A | 0.2 | 1.2 | 0.60 | 0.78 | 0.60 | 34.0 |
| Appr | oach | 23 | 0 | 24 | 0.0 | 0.048 | 11.0 | LOS A | 0.2 | 1.2 | 0.60 | 0.78 | 0.60 | 37.9 |
| North | n: Bung | aree St | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 7 | L2 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0.0 | 0.002 | 5.5 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.58 | 0.00 | 40.6 |
| 8 | T1 | 468 | 7 | 493 | 1.5 | 0.255 | 0.0 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 59.9 |
| Appr | oach | 472 | 7 | 497 | 1.5 | 0.255 | 0.1 | NA | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 59.8 |
| All Ve | ehicles | 757 | 11 | 797 | 1.5 | 0.255 | 0.4 | NA | 0.2 | 1.2 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 59.2 |

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab). Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Minor Road Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

NA: Intersection LOS and Major Road Approach LOS values are Not Applicable for two-way sign control since the average delay is not a good LOS measure due to zero delays associated with major road movements.

Delay Model: SIDRA Standard (Geometric Delay is included).

Queue Model: SIDRA Standard.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

V Site: 101 [1. Bungaree St/ Site Access DEV 2034 AM (Site Folder: DEV 2034)]

New Site Site Category: (None) Give-Way (Two-Way)

| Vehi | ehicle Movement Performance | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------|-----------------------------|---------|-------|----------------|------------|-------|-------|----------|--------|---------------|-------|-----------|--------|-------|
| Mov | Turn | INF | TUT | DEM | AND | Deg. | Aver. | Level of | 95% BA | ACK OF | Prop. | Effective | Aver. | Aver. |
| ID | | VOLU | | FLO [Total | WS LIVI | Satn | Delay | Service | | EUE Diet 1 | Que | Stop | NO. | Speed |
| | | veh/h | veh/h | veh/h | % | v/c | sec | | veh | m | | Nate | Cycles | km/h |
| Sout | h: Bung | aree St | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | T1 | 337 | 13 | 355 | 3.9 | 0.191 | 0.0 | LOS A | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 59.8 |
| 3 | R2 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0.0 | 0.191 | 7.5 | LOS A | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 55.3 |
| Appr | oach | 341 | 13 | 359 | 3.8 | 0.191 | 0.1 | NA | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 59.8 |
| East: | Site Ad | ccess | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | L2 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 0.0 | 0.025 | 6.7 | LOS A | 0.1 | 0.6 | 0.52 | 0.68 | 0.52 | 46.2 |
| 6 | R2 | 9 | 0 | 9 | 0.0 | 0.025 | 11.1 | LOS A | 0.1 | 0.6 | 0.52 | 0.68 | 0.52 | 36.0 |
| Appr | oach | 15 | 0 | 16 | 0.0 | 0.025 | 9.3 | LOS A | 0.1 | 0.6 | 0.52 | 0.68 | 0.52 | 41.4 |
| North | n: Bung | aree St | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 7 | L2 | 18 | 1 | 19 | 5.6 | 0.011 | 5.6 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.57 | 0.00 | 40.3 |
| 8 | T1 | 319 | 17 | 336 | 5.3 | 0.178 | 0.0 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 59.9 |
| Appr | oach | 337 | 18 | 355 | 5.3 | 0.178 | 0.3 | NA | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 59.2 |
| All Ve | ehicles | 693 | 31 | 729 | 4.5 | 0.191 | 0.4 | NA | 0.1 | 0.6 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 59.2 |

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab). Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Minor Road Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

NA: Intersection LOS and Major Road Approach LOS values are Not Applicable for two-way sign control since the average delay is not a good LOS measure due to zero delays associated with major road movements.

Delay Model: SIDRA Standard (Geometric Delay is included).

Queue Model: SIDRA Standard.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

V Site: 101 [1. Bungaree St/ Site Access DEV 2034 PM (Site Folder: DEV 2034)]

New Site Site Category: (None) Give-Way (Two-Way)

| Vehi | Vehicle Movement Performance | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------|------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------|---------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| Mov ID | Turn | INF VOLU [Total veh/h | PUT JMES HV] veh/h | DEM/ FLO [Total veh/h | AND WS HV] % | Deg. Satn v/c | Aver. Delay sec | Level of Service | 95% BA QUI [Veh. veh | ACK OF EUE Dist] m | Prop. Que | Effective Stop Rate | Aver. No. Cycles | Aver. Speed km/h |
| South | n: Bung | aree St | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | T1 | 261 | 4 | 275 | 1.5 | 0.145 | 0.0 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 59.8 |
| 3 | R2 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0.0 | 0.145 | 8.5 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 55.3 |
| Appro | oach | 263 | 4 | 277 | 1.5 | 0.145 | 0.1 | NA | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 59.8 |
| East: | Site Ad | ccess | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | L2 | 9 | 0 | 9 | 0.0 | 0.081 | 7.5 | LOS A | 0.3 | 2.0 | 0.61 | 0.81 | 0.61 | 44.3 |
| 6 | R2 | 29 | 0 | 31 | 0.0 | 0.081 | 12.5 | LOS A | 0.3 | 2.0 | 0.61 | 0.81 | 0.61 | 33.7 |
| Appro | oach | 38 | 0 | 40 | 0.0 | 0.081 | 11.3 | LOS A | 0.3 | 2.0 | 0.61 | 0.81 | 0.61 | 37.3 |
| North | n: Bung | aree St | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 7 | L2 | 7 | 0 | 7 | 0.0 | 0.004 | 5.5 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.58 | 0.00 | 40.6 |
| 8 | T1 | 468 | 7 | 493 | 1.5 | 0.255 | 0.0 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 59.9 |
| Appro | oach | 475 | 7 | 500 | 1.5 | 0.255 | 0.1 | NA | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 59.7 |
| All Ve | ehicles | 776 | 11 | 817 | 1.4 | 0.255 | 0.7 | NA | 0.3 | 2.0 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.03 | 58.8 |

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab). Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Minor Road Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

NA: Intersection LOS and Major Road Approach LOS values are Not Applicable for two-way sign control since the average delay is not a good LOS measure due to zero delays associated with major road movements.

Delay Model: SIDRA Standard (Geometric Delay is included).

Queue Model: SIDRA Standard.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

SIDRA INTERSECTION 9.0 | Copyright © 2000-2020 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd | sidrasolutions.com Organisation: BARKER RYAN STEWART | Licence: NETWORK / 1PC | Created: Monday, 15 January 2024 12:32:02 PM Project: C:\Users\jason\OneDrive - BARKER RYAN STEWART PTY LIMITED\0. TRAF\Projects\22\221081\PlanEngSurv\BRS Documentation \Survey\221081-Maitland Mazda SIDRA.sip9