

Statement of Environmental Effects

Demolition of all onsite structures, clearing of all vegetation and construction of a centre-based child care facility and associated works

June 2024

29-33 Cessnock Road, Gillieston Heights NSW 2321

apprôved





Statement of Environmental Effects

29-33 Cessnock Road, Gillieston Heights NSW 2321

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| 1.0 Introduction | 2 |
|--|----|
| 2.0 Site Location and Description | |
| 2.1 Proposed Development | |
| 3.0 Statutory Requirements | |
| 3.1 Commonwealth Legislation - Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999 | |
| 3.2 Other Acts | |
| 3.3 State Environmental Planning Policies (SEPP) | |
| 4.0 Local Environmental Plans (LEP) | |
| 4.1 Maitland Local Environmental Plan 2011 (MLEP 2011) | |
| 5.0 Development Control Plan (DCP) | 17 |
| 5.1 Maitland Development Control Plan 2011 | 17 |
| 6.0 Environmental Effects | 19 |
| 7 0 Conclusion | 22 |



1.0 Introduction

Approved Pty Ltd hereby certifies that this Statement of Environmental Effects (SEE) has been prepared in accordance with the requirement of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 and its associated Regulations. It is certified that to the best of our knowledge, the information contained within this report is neither false nor misleading.

Document Details

| Revision | A, June 2024 |
|-------------|---------------------|
| Prepared By | Elise Short |
| | Senior Town Planner |
| Reviewed By | V. Toose |
| | Planning Manager |

This SEE accompanies the Development Application (DA) to Maitland City Council for the demolition of all onsite structures, clearing of all vegetation and construction of a centre-based child care facility.

Development Application Details

| Property Address | 228/-/DP1096131, 1/-/DP784404, and 1/-/DP779130 |
|-----------------------|--|
| | 29, 31 & 33 Cessnock Road, Gillieston Heights NSW 2321 |
| Local Government Area | Maitland City |
| Zone | R1 General Residential |
| Existing Development | Nil |
| Calculations | Land Area: 3037.9m ² |
| | Child care centre GFA: 948.2m ² |

The Statement is intended to provide further details, where necessary, on aspects covered in the drawings as well as provide additional information where required. The information following is provided to detail the merit of the proposed development in relation to the provisions set out in both the Maitland Local Environmental Plan 2011 and Maitland Development Control Plan 2011. The DA and SEE have been prepared on behalf of the applicant and addresses the matters referred to in Section 4.15 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 (EP&A Act) and the matters required to be considered by the consent authority. The purpose of this Statement is to:

- Describe the existing environment to which the DA relates and the character of the surrounding area;
- Describe the proposed development;
- Outline the statutory planning framework within which the DA is assessed and determined; and
- Assess the proposed development in considering the relevant heads of consideration.

apprôved

2.0 Site Location and Description



Figure 1: Aerial view, showing subject Lot highlighted in green (Source: Nearmap).

Site Details

The subject site (the site) is described as Lot 228 DP1096131, Lot 1 DP784404, and Lot 1 DP779130, 29, 31 & 33 Cessnock Road, Gillieston Heights NSW 2321. The site is located on the western side of Cessnock Road, on the corner of Cessnock Road and Heyes Street, Gillieston Heights. The area is predominately residential to the north, west and south. Land to the east, on the opposite side of Cessnock Road is commercial area containing shops, food and drink premises and a childcare centre. Further to the east is more residential development.

The allotments are rectangular in shape orientated in an east to west direction. The site displays an overall frontage of 60.345 metre (m) to Cessnock Road, a frontage of 50.29m to Heyes Street, a depth of 50.545m on the northern boundary and total site area of 3037.9m². The sites topography slopes from the north down to the south at 1:15. Access to the site is via crossovers from Cessnock Road. The land contains three dwelling houses, two outbuildings and a swimming pool. There are also 5 trees over the site and the sites landscaping is predominately lawn.

<u>Development history</u>

The following development history is known for the site:

| Application reference | Description | Decision and Date |
|-----------------------|--|-----------------------|
| DA/2019/1106 | Demolition of Existing Dwellings and Construction of centre-based childcare centre | Withdrawn 01/06/2020 |
| DA/2016/061 | Subdivision – Three (3) lots into four (4) lots and Demolition of Various Structures | Determined 13/12/2017 |
| DA/2011/2320 | Two Lot Subdivision | Withdrawn 10/08/2018 |



2.1 Proposed Development

The applicant seeks development consent to redevelop the site for a child care centre. The proposal will result in a compatible non-residential land use to support the needs of the residents of Gillieston Heights and the Maitland area.

Specifically, the proposal includes the following details:

- Demolition of the following onsite structures and features:
 - o 29 Cessnock Road Single dwelling house, retaining walls and hardstand;
 - o 31 Cessnock Road Single dwelling house, carport and fencing;
 - o 33 Cessnock Road Single dwelling house, weatherboard and metal outbuilding, swimming pool decking, hardstand, and fencing.
- The 5 onsite trees will be removed.
- Construction of a Centre-based child care facility including:
 - o Construction of a single storey child care centre with a floor area of approximately 948m²;
 - Landscaping of the outdoor play space;
 - o Construction of an onsite car park; and
 - Boundary fencing.
- Earthworks will be undertaken to level and retain the site under the building, batter in the rear, and level for the carparking area. The outdoor play area will require minor soils disturbance to create the play features and gardens.
- Two new access crossings will be required from Heyes Street for the car park ingress and egress. The access crossings from Cessnock Road will be capped.
- Proposed external materiality includes concrete tilt panels in smooth and textured finishes, rendered and painted concrete blockwork, face brick work, fibre cement sheeting, steel cladding, timber slats and cladding, and metal roof sheeting.
- Operation of the Child Care Centre will occur Monday to Friday between 6.00am and 6.30pm. The Centre will accommodate up to 132 children and a maximum of 30 staff on site at any one time.

Consolidation of the lots into a single parcel of land can also be undertaken, if deemed necessary by Council.

Overall, the development is considered to provide a compatible non-residential development and use on the site while displaying quality design and architectural features to complement the nearby residences and commercial development. Refer to below concept designs.

The full suite of plans, including landscaping and car parking plans are contained within the accompanying plan set.




Figure 2 : Cessnock Road elevation (Source: Architectural plans)

apprôved




Figure 3: Heyes Street elevation (Source: Architectural plans)




Figure 4: Proposed site plan (Source: Architectural plans)



3.0 Statutory Requirements

This section deals with the proposal's consistency with the various statutory and non-statutory provisions. It also addresses the relevant matters for consideration under Section 4.15 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 (as amended).

3.1 Commonwealth Legislation - Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999

The Commonwealth Environmental Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act (EPBC) 1999, in conjunction with the Commonwealth Environmental Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Regulations 2000, provide the basis for national environmental protection and conservation.

The subject site is not situated on or near an area of environmental significance and does not contain any national environmental significance items.

3.2 Other Acts

Consideration was afforded to other legislation, including legislation concerning whether the proposal is integrated development. Integrated development is development that, in order for it to be carried out, requires the following approvals:

General Acts

Biodiversity Conservation Act 2016

The *Biodiversity Conservation Act 2016 (BC Act)* aims to maintain a healthy, productive, and resilient environment consistent with the principles of ecologically sustainable development. The BC Act requires all types of development (including those under Part 4 and Part 5 of the EPA Act) to be assessed to determine whether the biodiversity offset scheme is to be applied.

The site is not mapped as biodiverse land, and the proposed tree clearing does not otherwise trigger the need to apply the considerations of this Act to the development.

Local Government Act 1993

In accordance with the Local Government Act 1993 (LG Act), new or altered connections to water, sewer and stormwater require approval as per Section 68 of the LG Act. The necessary approvals will be sought subject to approval of this application.

The disposal of liquid trade waste also requires approval under Section 68. The kitchen facilities will be used for some food preparation activities have the potential for liquid trade waste. This approval will also be sought, should Council deem it necessary in accordance with their policies and the relevant guidelines.

Integrated Acts

Integrated development is development that, in order for it to be carried out requires the following approvals, and/or consideration of these Acts, under Section 4.46 of the EPA Act:

Roads Act 1993

Two new access crossings are proposed from Heyes Street. Approval under Section 138 of the *Roads Act 1993* will be sought prior to any works commencing.



3.3 State Environmental Planning Policies (SEPP)

The following State Environmental Planning Policies are considered applicable to the land and relevant to the proposal such as to warrant consideration:

State Environmental Planning Policy (Sustainable Buildings) 2022

State Environmental Planning Policy (Sustainable Buildings) 2022 (SB SEPP) came into force on 1 October 2023 and applies to a range of both residential and non-residential development types.

Chapter 3 Standards for non-residential development

Section 3.1(1)(b) Application states that this chapter applies to non-residential development for alterations, enlargement, or extension of an existing building, if the development has a capital investment value of \$10 million or more. The redevelopment of the site for a child care centre does not exceed this CIV and the consent considerations of this Policy and chapter do not apply.

State Environmental Planning Policy (Biodiversity and Conservation) 2021

This Policy seeks to protect the biodiversity values of trees, other vegetation, and amenity in non-rural areas of the State, as well to preserve koala habitat, and protect River Murray lands and other Water catchments. The site is not mapped as Biodiverse land, nor is it located within River Murray lands or a listed water catchment.

The proposed tree removal will disturb 5 isolated trees which will not impact on the boarder biodiversity of the area, nor will it impact on koala habitat. A supporting Arboricultural Impact Assessment accompanies this application.

State Environmental Planning Policy (Industry and Employment) 2021

This Policy includes provision for development in the Western Sydney employment area as well as the appropriate management, regulation, and effective implementation of signage and advertising.

Chapter 3 Advertising and signage

A single metal sign is proposed above the entry way of the building on the parapet. In accordance with Section 3.6 Granting of consent to signage, we can confirm that the proposed business identification signage is consistent with the objectives of this Chapter and satisfies the assessment criteria under Schedule 5 as follows:

Schedule 5 Assessment Criteria

| Schedule 5 Assessment Chteria | | |
|---|---|--|
| 1 Character of the area | | |
| Is the proposal compatible with the existing or desired future character | Complies | |
| of the area or locality in which it is proposed to be located? | A single business identification sign is proposed within the built form of the Heyes Street façade which is in keeping with the theme of outdoor advertising in the area. | |
| Is the proposal consistent with a particular theme for outdoor advertising in the area or locality? | | |
| 2 Special areas | | |
| Does the proposal detract from the amenity or visual quality of any | Complies | |
| environmentally sensitive areas, heritage areas, natural or other conservation areas, open space areas, waterways, rural landscapes or residential areas? | The site is not located in a special area. | |



| | 1 1 |
|--|--|
| 3 View and vistas | |
| Does the proposal obscure or compromise important views? | Complies |
| Does the proposal dominate the skyline and reduce the quality of vistas? | The sign will be flush mounted and will not protrude or impact on the skyline, views, or vistas in the locality. |
| Does the proposal respect the viewing rights of other advertisers? | - |
| 4 Streetscape, setting or landscape | |
| Is the scale, proportion and form of the proposal appropriate for the streetscape, setting or landscape? | Complies The signage is located and scaled to fit within the |
| Does the proposal contribute to the visual interest of the streetscape, setting or landscape? | building articulation and dimensions. The visual interest of the street and building form will |
| | - be maintained. |
| Does the proposal reduce clutter by rationalising and simplifying existing advertising? | The signage avoids cluttering of advertising and business identification signage. |
| Does the proposal screen unsightliness? | There is no unsightliness to screen. |
| Does the proposal protrude above buildings, structures or tree canopies in the area or locality? | The sign is located within the confines of the building form and does not protrude. |
| Does the proposal require ongoing vegetation management? | Ongoing vegetation management is not required to preserve sightlines to the signage. |
| 5 Site and building | |
| Is the proposal compatible with the scale, proportion and other | Complies |
| characteristics of the site or building, or both, on which the proposed signage is to be located? | The signage has been incorporated into the building entry façade and is compatible with the form, |
| Does the proposal respect important features of the site or building, or both? | function, and proportions of the building. The features of the building are maintained. |
| Does the proposal show innovation and imagination in its relationship to the site or building, or both? | The location is considerate of the architecture of the building. |
| 6 Associated devices and logos with advertisements and advertising | g structures |
| Have any safety devices, platforms, lighting devices or logos been | Complies |
| designed as an integral part of the signage or structure on which it is to be displayed? | No devices, etc are proposed. |
| 7 Illumination | |
| Would illumination result in unacceptable glare? | Complies |
| Would illumination affect safety for pedestrians, vehicles or aircraft? | The signage will not be illuminated. |
| Would illumination detract from the amenity of any residence or other form of accommodation? | |
| Can the intensity of the illumination be adjusted, if necessary? | - |
| Is the illumination subject to a curfew? | |
| | |



| 8 Safety | |
|---|---|
| Would the proposal reduce the safety for any public road? | Complies |
| Would the proposal reduce the safety for pedestrians or bicyclists? | The signage is located to avoid impacts on the safety of the public road, pedestrians, and users of the |
| Would the proposal reduce the safety for pedestrians, particularly children, by obscuring sightlines from public areas? | property. No obstruction of sightlines occurs. |

State Environmental Planning Policy (Planning Systems) 2021

This SEPP sets out the thresholds for Regionally and State Significant Development. The thresholds can be activated through the Capital Investment Value (CIV) of a project relative to the type of project that it is.

Schedule 6 Regionally significantly development – general sets a CIV value of more than \$5 million for private infrastructure and community facilities, including child care centres. The proposed development has a CIV of \$2.8 million and therefore not considered regionally significant and can be lodged and determined by Maitland City Council.

State Environmental Planning Policy (Resilience and Hazards) 2021

The Resilience and Hazards SEPP contains provisions relating to land use within the coastal zone, certain hazardous and offensive developments, as well as a state-wide framework for the remediation of contaminated land. The following chapters of this Policy apply for consideration of the development.

Chapter 4 Remediation

The site is not known to be identified as potentially contaminated and its previous and current residential use is unlikely to result in contamination issues. Therefore, in accordance with Chapter 4, further investigations and remediation actions are not necessary for the purposes of this proposal and development application.

State Environmental Planning Policy (Transport and Infrastructure) 2021

Amongst other things, this Policy sets out the planning rules and controls in relation to infrastructure in NSW. The Transport and Infrastructure SEPP provides controls in relation to the construction of infrastructure and also development near to major infrastructure facilities.

Of relevance to this site and development is the land having frontage to a classified road (Cessnock Road) and the development being for a child care facility.

Chapter 2 Infrastructure

Division 17 Roads and traffic | Subdivision 2 Development in or adjacent to road corridors and road reservations

The site has frontage to Cessnock Road which is a classified road. Section 2.119, Development with frontage to classified road, applies, and requires that the proposal will not impact on the efficiency or operation of this road. The development proposal includes new access crossings and intensification of traffic to and from the site, although the proposal relocates the access to Heyes Street being the secondary road frontage, in accordance with this Policy.

Given the corner location and intensification of traffic, a Traffic Impact Assessment (TIA) has been prepared to assess the proposal in relation to maintaining the safety, efficiency, and ongoing operation of the classified road. The TIA report accompanies the application and demonstrates the suitability of the proposed development.

Section 2.122 Traffic- generating development specifies that if the development were to generate 200 or more motor vehicle movements per hour on a road (generally), or 50 or more motor vehicle movements per hour on a classified road it would be deemed to be traffic generating development. The TIA has confirmed that the use will not generate 200 or more movements per hour and the proposal is not integrated traffic generating development under this Policy.



Chapter 3 Educational establishments and child care facilities

The following Sections of this chapter apply to the development.

| Part 3.3 Early education and care facilities – specific development controls | | |
|---|--|--|
| Clause 3.22 Centre-based child care facility— concurrence of Regulatory Authority required for certain | Concurrence of the Regulatory Authority is not required under this clause as the indoor and outdoor unencumbered space complies with the Education and Care Services National Regulations. | |
| development | The calculations which confirm compliance are included below. | |
| Clause 3.23 Centre-based child care facility—matters for consideration by consent | Before determining a development application for development for the purpose of a centre-based child care facility, the consent authority must take into consideration any applicable provisions of the Child Care Planning Guideline , in relation to the proposed development. | |
| authorities | The child care planning guideline is discussed below. | |
| Clause 3.24 Centre-based child care facility in certain zones—additional matters for consideration by consent authorities | The development is not in a prescribed zone (E4, E5, IN1 or IN2). Further consideration of this clause does not apply. | |
| Clause 3.25 Centre-based child care facility—floor space ratio | This clause applies to land zoned R2. The subject site is zoned R1, and consideration of this clause is not required. | |
| Clause 3.26 Centre-based | This Section sets out the standards, which if complied with Council <u>cannot</u> require more onerous standards, including local standards in development control plans. | |
| child care facility—non- discretionary development standards | The standards here reinforce the childcare guidelines requirements for indoor and outdoor space, and otherwise does not restrict the size of the land or building material colour, with which the subject development complies and therefore it complies with this clause. | |
| Clause 3.27 Centre-based child care facility— development control plans | This clause relates to a provision of a development control plan (DCP) which applies a requirement, standard or control in relation to operational or management plans or arrangements demonstrated need, proximity, any matter contained in Part 2 of the Child Care Planning Guideline, or the matters for consideration set out in Part 3 or the regulatory requirements set out in Part 4 of that Guideline (other than those concerning building height, side and rear setbacks or car parking rates). If the DCP includes these matters, they do not apply to the consideration of a child care centre application. | |

Child Care Planning Guideline

The Child Care Planning Guideline (the Guideline) is broken up into five sections, 1 Introduction, 2 Design quality principles, 3 Matters for consideration, 4 Applying the National regulations to development proposals, and 5 Glossary of terms. Of relevance to this report are sections 2, 3, and 4, discussed here.

The Maitland DCP 2011 is discussed below with regard to this Clause.

Section 2 Design quality principles

Design quality principles relate to context, built form, adaptive learning spaces, The principles outlined in this section have been considered against the subject development Principle 1 — Context

The proposed child care centre is responsive to its surrounding and site attributes. It maintains the single storey development of the area and utilises site topography.



sustainability, landscape, amenity and safety

Principle 2 – Built form

The contemporary design is of a scale, form and presentation that is appropriate for the site achieving consistency with setbacks, open space, parking needs, and accessibility. It defines the public/private interface of the site and avoids overlooking and amenity impacts.

Principle 3 – Adaptive learning spaces

The internal flow of the building provides for adaptive learning spaces integrated with the outdoor areas.

Principle 4 - Sustainability

The building employs sustainable design practice and materials which are durable and efficient. The reuse and recycling of materials in the build and day to day use enhance the sustainability of the proposal.

Principle 5 - Landscape

The landscaping of the outdoor spaces is integrated into the site topography providing amenity and well-designed spaces for play and learning. The site landscaping also enhances the design, function and appearance of the building and other site features.

Principle 6 – Amenity

Internal site amenity is achieved through the open and functional internal design of the centre as well as its integration into the outdoor spaces.

Neighbour amenity is protected through the use of appropriate setbacks, landscaping and fencing to provide privacy, positive visual outlook and noise attenuation.

Principle 7 - Safety

The design of the centre, and the site, promotes safety and security providing a centre which optimises the built and natural environment for learning and play while delineating public and private spaces with controlled access as necessary and passive surveillance throughout the site

Section 3 Matters for consideration

3.1 Site selection and location

The site is consistent with this section as it is assessable, nearby to residential and commercial development and is not contaminated or otherwise hazardous land (it is not bushfire prone or flood prone).

3.2 Local character, streetscape and the public domain interface

The scale and design of the child care centre is compatible in the streetscape and with the character of the area.

The development achieves clear delineation between public and private spaces through boundary treatments which are compatible with the use and the area.

A blockwork retailing wall and acoustic fence will be located adjacent to the classified road consistent with this part.

3.3 Building orientation, envelope, building design and accessibility

The design responds to the site, it optimises solar access, and mitigates impact on neighbours by being situated in the middle of the site, providing car parking and outdoor space on the boundaries of the site.

The building and outdoor spaces are accessible and safe, and consistent with established setbacks around the site.

The ratio of building to land area, while not controlled by the planning instruments, is consistent with site coverage in the area.

The roof design, building form and materiality presents a contemporary building which respects the character of the area and marries a commercial use with residential compatible fixtures, details, and claddings.



| 3.4 Landscaping | Landscaping is a key component of child care centres as it helps to integrate the development into the locality and setting, as well as providing the opportunity to incorporate natural features of the site into the design. |
|---|--|
| 3.5 Visual and acoustic privacy | The proposed landscaping plan demonstrates that the outdoor spaces have been designed with the topography of the site and achieves accessible levels that provide adventure and experience while increasing amenity and promoting privacy and security. |
| | The height of boundary fencing, and some of the proposed landscaping treatments prevent overlooking from the streets or from neighbouring land which consists of single storey dwelling houses. |
| | Privacy of the neighbouring dwellings are also maximised by the single storey building form and generous setbacks of the building from each boundary. |
| | A supportive Acoustic Impact Assessment accompanies this application. |
| | In regard to noise, an Acoustic Impact Assessment accompanies this application. |
| 3.6 Noise and air pollution | Air pollution potential from the operation of Cessnock Road is considered to be minimal as the building is setback 3m from that boundary, there is the road reserve separation as well, the traffic flow on the road is not excessively high as confirmed in the TIA, and outdoor play is spread over the sides and rear of the site. |
| | The guideline recommends that hours of operation should be confined to between 7am and 7pm, weekdays. |
| 3.7 Hours of operation | It is proposed to operation the centre from 6am to 6.30pm, weekdays. While the earlier start is outside the guideline hours, it is considered reasonable in the context of its location on the edge of a residential area, and it is proposed as such to meet the needs of the families within the Maitland area who require care services from as early as 6am. |
| 3.8 Traffic, parking and pedestrian circulation | The recommended parking is the same as the DCP, which is compliant as discussed below. The design and location of the car park accounts for the access off Heyes Street, site topography, as well as delineation and safety. |
| | Separate vehicle and pedestrian access points are provided as recommended here. |
| Costion 4 Applying the Notice | and Descriptions to development proposals |

Section 4 Applying the National Regulations to development proposals

National Quality Framework Assessment Checklist

The development must demonstrate compliance with the Education and Care Services National Regulations, including the submission of a completed National Quality Framework Assessment Checklist. The checklist which demonstrates compliance with the Framework is included on the following two pages.

In consideration of these guidelines the site is suitable for the development as:

- It is not identified as impacted by hazards such as flooding, land slip and bushfire;
- It does not contain or adjoin identified environmental heritage or land of biodiversity value; and
- The site is in an accessible location with site attributes conducive to redevelopment for this purpose; and
- The development is considered to be compatible with the surrounding area and road network.



D. National Quality Framework Assessment Checklist

| REGULATION | PROPOSED | COMPLIES |
|--|--|--|
| RESOLATION | PROPOSED | (TICK OR CROSS) |
| 104. Fencing or barrier that encloses outdoor spaces. Outdoor space that will be used by children will be enclosed by a fence or barrier that is of a height and design that children preschool age or under cannot go through, over or under it. | Indicate height, materials and style on plans. | ✓ |
| Note: This clause does not apply to a centre-based service primarily for children over preschool age or a family day care residence or venue for over preschool age children. | | |
| The proposed development includes laundry facilities or access to laundry facilities OR explain the other arrangements for dealing with soiled clothing, nappies and linen, including hygienic facilities for storage of soiled clothing, nappies and linen prior to their disposal or laundering. | On-site or off-site facilities | On-site Off-site |
| Laundry / hygienic facilities are located where they do not pose a risk to children | | |
| 107. Unencumbered indoor space | Number of children: | 132 total |
| The proposed development includes at least 3.25m² of unencumbered indoor space for each child. | Required area: 20 / | space = 97.5sqm space = 65sqm space = 52 sqm |
| Refer to Regulation 107 of the Education and Care Services National Regulation for further information on calculating indoor space. | Provided Area: 30 / space = 98.18 20 / space = 65.86 16 / space = 53.96 | 8 & 98.99 sqm 0 & 65.99 sqm |
| 108. Unencumbered outdoor space | Number of children: | 132 |
| The proposed development includes at least 7.0m² of unencumbered outdoor space for each child. | Required area: | 924sqm |
| Refer to Regulation 108 of the Education and Care Services National Regulation for further information on calculating | Provided Area | 925.72 sqm |
| outdoor space, and for different requirements for out-of- school-hours care services. | | V |
| 109. Toilet and hygiene facilities The proposed development includes adequate, developmentally and age-appropriate toilet, washing and drying facilities for use by children being educated and cared for by the service. | Show number of toilets and hand basins on plan | ✓ |
| The location and design of the tollet, washing and drying | | |

40 Department of Planning, Industry and Environment | Child care planning guideline

facilities enable safe and convenient use by the children.



| REGULATION | PROPOSED | COMPLIES (TICK OR CROSS) |
|---|--|-----------------------------|
| 110. Ventilation and natural light The proposed development includes indoor spaces to be used by children that — • will be well ventilated; and • will have adequate natural light; and • can be maintained at a temperature that ensures the safety and well-being of children. | Indicate on plans and elevations how natural ventilation and lighting is achieved. | ✓ |
| The proposed development includes an adequate area or areas for the purposes of conducting the administrative functions of the service; and consulting with parents of children; and conducting private conversations. Note: This space cannot be included in the calculation of | Indicate administrative space on plans | ✓ |
| unencumbered indoor space – see Regulation 107. | | |
| 112. Nappy change facilities (To be completed only if the proposed development is for a service that will care for children who wear nappies) | Indicate nappy change on plans | |
| The proposed development includes an adequate area for construction of appropriate hygienic facilities for nappy changing including at least one properly constructed nappy changing bench and hand cleansing facilities for adults in the immediate vicinity of the nappy change area. | | ✓ |
| The proposed nappy change facilities can be designed and located in a way that prevents unsupervised access by children. | | |
| 113. Outdoor space—natural environment The proposed development includes outdoor spaces that will allow children to explore and experience the natural environment. | Indicate on landscape plans | ~ |
| 114. Outdoor space—shade The proposed development includes adequate shaded areas to protect children from overexposure to ultraviolet radiation from the sun. | Indicate shade on landscape plans | ✓ |
| 115. Premises designed to facilitate supervision The proposed development (including toilets and nappy change facilities) are designed in a way that facilitates supervision of children at all times, having regard to the need to maintain the rights and dignity of the children. | Indicate on floor plans | ✓ |

Department of Planning, Industry and Environment | Child care planning guideline 41



4.0 Local Environmental Plans (LEP)

4.1 Maitland Local Environmental Plan 2011 (MLEP 2011)

Consideration has been given with regards to the outcomes and prescriptive requirements of the Maitland Local Environmental Plan 2011. The following Clauses are considered applicable to the proposal:

Part 2 Permitted or Prohibited Development

Clause 2.3 Zone objectives and Land Use Table

The site is zoned R1 General Residential. The proposed development is defined as redevelopment of the site for a "Centre-based child care facility" which is a permissible land use with consent in the R1 zone.

The objectives of the R1 zone are outlined below:

- To provide for the housing needs of the community.
- To provide for a variety of housing types and densities.
- To enable other land uses that provide facilities or services to meet the day to day needs of residents.

The proposed development is consistent with the above objectives as it seeks to provide a non-residential land use that will provide a facility and service to meet the day to day needs of local residents in the Gillieston Heights and Maitland Council area.

The proposal can be undertaken in a way that improves the site's capabilities without hindering the function of surrounding development, facilities, and services. The proposal is of a scale and use that will not detract from the amenity and character of the surrounding natural or built environment.

Clause 2.7 Demolition requires development consent

Consent for the demolition of the onsite structures is being sought as part of this application in accordance with this Clause.

Part 4 Principal Development Standards

Clause 4.3 Height of Buildings

There is no maximum allowable height prescribed for the site under the LEP.

Clause 4.4 Floor Space Ratio

There is no floor space ration (FSR) prescribed for the site under the LEP.

Part 7 Additional Local Provisions

Clause 7.1 Acid Sulfate Soils

The site is affected by Class 5 Acid Sulfate soils. The proposal does not involve any works via which the water table will be lowered more than 1m below the natural ground surface. Accordingly, neither an investigation into the presence of Acid Sulfate Soils or an Acid Sulfate Soils Management Plan is required. The proposal does not disturb, expose, or drain Acid Sulfate soils.



Clause 7.2 Earthworks

Both cut and fill are required to facilitate the installation of concrete slab and footings and for the levelling of the site. The earthworks are not significant and suitable batter, retaining walls and sediment and erosion control measures can be implemented.

With subclause (3) considered, it is considered that any proposed groundworks are acceptable and warrant consent as this development is unlikely to have detrimental impact on the environmental functions and processes, neighbouring uses, cultural or heritage items or features of the surrounding land.

Conditions of consent can be included in any future consent to address potential sedimentation and erosion control issues.



5.0 Development Control Plan (DCP)

5.1 Maitland Development Control Plan 2011

Consideration has been given with regards to the outcomes and prescriptive requirements of the Maitland Development Control Plan 2011. The following Chapters are considered applicable to the proposal:

Part B - Environmental Guidelines

| B.5 Tree Management | This application includes the proposal to remove the existing mature trees from the site. Compensatory planting is proposed within the landscaping plan for the development. An arborist report accompanies this development application. |
|--|--|
| B.6 Site waste minimisation & management waste management management waste management management waste management managem | |
| | A Construction and Operational Waste Management Plan (WMP) accompanies this development application. A Demolition Waste Management Plan will be prepared by the relevant contractor, subject to approval. |

Part C - Design Guidelines

C.2 Child Care Centres

This chapter has been repealed. All Child Care Centre developments shall comply with the requirements and matters for consideration under the State Environmental Planning Policy (Educational Establishments and Child Care Facilities) 2017, the Education and Care Services National Regulations and Child Care Planning Guidelines.

See previous commentary under Section 3.3 of this report for compliance demonstrated with the current State Environmental Planning Policy (Transport and Infrastructure) 2021 which repealed and replaced the 2017 SEPP listed here.

| C.6 Signage | | |
|---|---|--|
| Sign types | The sign is a Flush wall sign. | |
| Guidelines for Signage | In accordance with the relevant guidelines for signage, the proposed sign is a single, simple sign that fits within the structure of the building façade. It is markedly less than 25% of the visible wall surface and will be properly installed and maintained. | |
| Residential zones | The site is zoned residential, and the sign is subservient to the building form and preserves the amenity of the residential locality by minimising the visual impact of the sign. | |
| C.11 Vehicular Access and Car Parking | | |
| 1. 2 Calculation of Parking Requirements | The DCP sets the following parking rates relevant to the development: | |
| | Childcare centre – 1 space per 4 children in attendance or part thereof. | |
| | The proposal is for a 132-place centre. This equates to a requirement for 33 onsite parking spaces. | |
| | The plans demonstrate 33 parking spaces as 17 staff spaces and 16 drop off spaces, including an accessible parking space. The proposal is compliant with the control. | |



| 2. Guidelines for the design, layout and construction of access and parking areas | The design of the car parking area and access have been designed in accordance with the controls of this section as well as the relevant Australian Standard requirements. |
|---|--|
| 3. Loading/Unloading Requirements | The car parking area includes a waste storage area. This can be accessed in a suitable manner by collection contractors, as reinforced in the TIA. |
| | Deliveries, loading and unloading will otherwise be very limited to the site and can be accommodated within the car parking area as required. |
| 4. Car parking for persons with a disability | The car park includes the provision of accessible parking. |
| 5. Bicycle parking | If required, bicycle parking can be accommodated on the site. |
| 6. Major Traffic Generating Development | The proposal is not a type of traffic generating development. |
| 7. State Environmental Planning Policy (Transport and Infrastructure) 2021 | Compliance with the State Policy has been detailed under section 3.3 of this report. |



6.0 Environmental Effects

The proposed development has been evaluated with regard to the matters for consideration of section 4.15 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 (EP&A Act) that have not been addressed elsewhere within this statement.

The Provisions of any Environmental Planning Instrument - 4.15(1)(a)(i)

Consideration has been given to relevant Environmental Planning Instruments (EPI) above. The proposed development is not inconsistent with any EPI.

The Provisions of any Draft Environmental Planning Instrument – 4.15(1)(a)(ii)

We are not aware of any Draft Instruments relating to the site or the proposed development.

The Provisions of any Development Control Plan - 4.15(1)(a)(iii)

Consideration has been given to the provisions of the relevant Development Control Plan. The proposed development is not inconsistent with the objectives or controls within any DCP.

The Provisions of any Planning Agreement – 4.15(1)(a) (iiia)

There are no Planning Agreements applicable to this application.

The Provisions of the Regulations – 4.15(1)(a)(iv)

There are no Regulations applicable to this application.

Likely Impacts of the Development - 4.15(1)(b)

| Likely Impacts | |
|---------------------|--|
| Context and Setting | The redevelopment of the site from residential allotments to a centre-based child care facility is considered to be compatible in its context and setting. It remains commensurate with the bulk and scale of the area and proposes materials, finishes, landscaping, and amenity treatments to contribute to the streetscape. |
| | The development is not considered to result in a significant adverse land use conflict with surrounding uses as discussed below. |
| | Neighbouring residences |
| | The proposed child care centre provides internal play spaces with suitable separation from side boundaries. External play areas will be fenced with acoustic fencing as per the acoustic report provided with this application. |
| | General |
| | Parking, traffic, and general operation of the centre are not expected to present unreasonable impacts to the broader area dominated by residential development. In addition, the proposal |



| | has been designed with consideration of the relevant child care guidelines and is a permitted non-residential land use in the zone. |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Access, Transport and Traffic | The proposed access, parking and traffic arrangements are demonstrated to be acceptable, as supported by the Traffic Impact Assessment, and expected to have minimal environmental impact. |
| Public Domain | The proposal would not result in any adverse impacts to the public domain. |
| Utilities | The proposal will be connected to the mains power, reticulated sewer, and water to the relevant authority requirements. |
| Heritage | The site is not identified as a heritage item and due to historic disturbance is unlikely to contain any aboriginal heritage. |
| Other Land Resources | The development is not considered to have a significant adverse impact on land resources (e.g. agriculture). |
| Water Quality | The development is not in close proximity to any watercourses and stormwater can be stored onsite and drained to a legal point of discharge. The development is not considered to have an adverse water impact. |
| Soils | Soil profile considered and site topography suitably accounted for in the design of the development. Sediment and erosions control measures to be implemented. |
| Air and Microclimate | The development is not considered to result in any emissions (e.g. dust, smoke, odour, etc.), which would impact air quality. |
| Ecological | The site contains no significant protected vegetation, and a Biodiversity Development Assessment Report under the Biodiversity Conservation Act 2016 is not required. The accompanying arboricultural report supports the proposal. |
| Waste | Demolition and construction waste will be minimised and managed through the relevant management plans. |
| | Ongoing waste generation will be stored appropriately on the site and handled through commercial waste collection and recycling services. |
| Energy | The proposed development incorporates applicable energy efficient design features. |
| Noise and Vibration | The development is in close proximity to sensitive receivers (residences). The acoustic report and proposed treatments confirm that the centre can operate with suitable measures and will not have a significant adverse noise impact. |
| | Noise and vibration during construction to be subject to Council conditions. |
| Natural Hazards | The site is not identified to be at risk from any natural hazards. |
| Technological Hazards | None exist in respect to this type of development. |



| Cumulative Impacts | None are likely to result from this scale of development. |
|--|--|
| Construction | The potential for construction impacts relate to access, traffic, noise, vibration, waste and sediment and erosion. These will all be appropriately managed and regulated to Council and BCA requirements. |
| Site Design and Internal Design | The development has been designed with consideration for the onsite attributes, and neighbouring land. It is a practical layout that utilises passive solar design and is capable of meeting BCA requirements. Landscaping, parking, and redevelopment of the site is practical and user friendly. |
| Economic Impact in Locality | The development is considered to have a positive economic impact with the creation of new jobs and provision of a child care service for the broader workforce. No adverse economic impact is expected, and the proposal would not impact future economic viability of the locality. |
| Social Impact in Locality | The development is not considered to have an adverse social impact. The proposal provides additional child care spaces supporting the community in a positive manner. |
| Safety, Security and Crime Prevention | The development is not considered to have a significant adverse impact in relation to safety, security, or crime prevention. Fencing and passive surveillance are provided to enhance site security while also contributing to the streetscape. |

Ecologically Sustainable Development

Clause 193 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Regulation 2021 note that Ecologically Sustainable Development (ESD) requires the effective integration of economic and environmental considerations in decision-making processes. The proposed development is considered to be able to comply with the ESD objectives for the site.

Suitability of the Site for Development – 4.15(1)(c)

The subject site is considered suitable for the proposed development in this location. The SEE has demonstrated in detail that the site is suitable for the proposed development and the proposed works to the dwelling has the following merits:

- The proposed development is permissible development and will be consistent with the relevant zone objectives;
- The works are well proportioned and will fit in with the surrounding built form, appropriate for the site;
- Principal planning controls are complied with;
- The development does not adversely impact on the location or landscape;
- Efficient and well-considered design solutions are proposed, consistent with Childcare Guidelines;
- The amenity of neighbouring properties is not adversely affected by the proposal. In particular the proposal is
 designed to minimise impacts side and rear neighbours, with solar access, privacy and views not unreasonably
 impacted; and
- There are no prohibitive constraints posed by the site or those adjacent.

Submissions -4.15 (1)(d)

The proposal will be notified in accordance with the requirements of Council's consultation policy, providing opportunity for the public to comment on the development.



All reasonable and concerns raised in any submissions will be considered if deemed substantiated by Council.

Public Interest - 4.15 (1)(e)

It is considered that the proposal will have no detrimental effect on the public interest, subject to appropriate conditions being proposed.

7.0 Conclusion

The Development Application submitted for council assessment is for the demolition of all onsite structures, clearing of all vegetation and construction of a centre-based child care facility at 29-33 Cessnock Road, Gillieston Heights in the Maitland City Council area.

The application for development seeks assessment and consent under the provisions of Section 4.15 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979.

This Statement and accompanying documentation have demonstrated the permissibility of the proposal and its compliance with the relevant Acts, environmental planning instruments, local development objectives and controls, and general suitability of the project.

It is therefore submitted to Council for favourable consideration, subject to conditions of consent.