

# **Biodiversity Development Assessment Report**

# 82 Collaroy Parade, Louth Park NSW

HBT0019\_BDAR\_V7.2

23/09/2024



# **Biodiversity Development Assessment Report**

# 82 Collaroy Parade, Louth Park NSW

Document No: HBT0019\_BDAR\_V7.2

23/09/2024 Copyright 2024 Habitat Environmental Services Pty Ltd All Rights Reserved

BAAS Case Number: 00030538/BAAS18041/22/00030542

Prepared for

Newpro25 Pty Ltd c/o Perception Planning Pty Ltd

Prepared by

Habitat Environmental Services Pty Ltd

### Certification under clause 6.15 Biodiversity Conservation Act 2016

I certify that this report has been prepared based on the requirements of, and information provided under, the Biodiversity Assessment Method and clause 6.15 of the *Biodiversity Conservation Act 2016* (BC Act).

4

Signature: Assessor: Dr. Gilbert Whyte Date: 23/09/2024 BAM Assessor Accreditation no: BAAS18041

#### **Document Control**

Version	Description	Date
7.2	Final Report	23/09/2024

Only Newpro25 Pty Ltd c/o Perception Planning Pty Ltd, designated representatives or relevant statutory authorities may use this document and only for the specific purpose for which the document was prepared.

# Contents

1	Int	trodu	ction	6
	1.1	Ba	ckground	6
	1.2	Site	e Description	6
	1.3	Pro	oposed Development	7
	1.4	Sco	ope	7
	1.5	Inf	ormation Sources	. 11
	1.6	Leg	gislative Context	. 11
	1.0	6.1	Biodiversity Conservation Act 2016 (NSW)	.12
	1.0	6.2	Biosecurity Act 2015	. 13
	1.0	6.3	Water Management Act 2000	. 13
	1.0	6.4	State Environmental Planning Policy (Biodiversity and Conservation) 2021	.13
	Ch	napter	r 4 - Koala Habitat Protection (2021)	. 13
	Ра	art 10.	6 Wetlands Protection Area	. 13
	1.0	6.5	State Environmental Planning Policy (Resilience and Hazards) 2021	. 14
	Ch	napter	r 2 – Coastal Management	.14
	1.0	6.6	Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999 (EPBC Act)	. 15
	1.0	6.7	Maitland Local Environmental Plan 2011	. 15
	1.0	6.8	Maitland Development Control Plan 2011	. 15
2	La	ndsca	ape Features	. 16
3	Na	ative \	Vegetation	. 19
	3.1	Me	ethodology	. 19
	3.:	1.1	Data Review	. 19
	3.:	1.2	Vegetation Mapping Surveys	. 19
	3.:	1.3	Plant Community Type and Determination	. 19
	3.:	1.4	Vegetation Zones	. 19
	3.:	1.5	Vegetation Integrity	. 19
	3.:	1.6	Floristic Identification and Nomenclature	. 20
	3.2	Re	sults	.20
	3.2	2.1	Floristic Diversity	.20
	3.2	2.2	Plant Community Types	. 20
	3.2	2.3	Aquatic Habitat	. 27
	3.2	2.5	Assessment of Patch Size	. 29
	3.2	2.6	Vegetation Integrity Score	. 29
4	Th	reate	ned Species	.30
				3

	4.1	Hab	itat Assessment	
	4.1.	1	Habitat Assessment – Threatened Flora	
	4.1.	2	Habitat Assessment – Threatened Fauna	
	4.1.	3	Hollow-bearing Trees	
	4.1.	5	Ecosystem Credit Species	
	4.1.	6	Species Credit Species	
	4.2	Thre	eatened Species Surveys	
	4.2.	1	Flora Surveys	
	4.2.	6	Fauna Survey Methods	43
	4.2.	7	Fauna Survey Results	
5	Avo	iding	and Minimising Impacts	50
	5.1	Imp	acts on Native Vegetation and Threatened Species Habitat	50
	5.2	Asse	essment of Impacts	55
	5.3	Indi	rect Impacts	56
	5.4	Pres	scribed Biodiversity Impacts	56
	5.5	Miti	igation Measures	57
6	Imp	act S	ummary	61
	6.1	Seri	ous and Irreversible Impacts	61
	6.2	Imp	acts on Native Vegetation	61
	6.3	Imp	acts on Threatened Species	62
7	Legi	slativ	ve Review	65
	7.1	Envi	ironment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999	65
	7.2	Bios	security Act	65
	7.3	Коа	la Habitat Protection	65
8	Refe	erenc	ces	67

Figure 1	Locality	8
Figure 2	Subject Land	9
Figure 3	Proposed Development	10
Figure 4	Landscape Assessment	
Figure 5	Plant Community Types	
Figure 6	Habitat Trees	
Figure 7	Threatened Flora Survey (31/01/22)	
Figure 8	Threatened Flora Survey (30/08/22)	
Figure 9	Threatened Flora Survey (13/10/22)	41
Figure 10	Threatened Flora Survey (23/02/23)	
Figure 11	Threatened Fauna Surveys	47
Figure 12	Tree Retention Plan	53
Figure 13	Habitat Connectivity Strategy	54
Figure 14	Habitat Polygon – Southern Myotis	63
Figure 15	Habitat Polygon – Squirrel Glider	64

Table 1	Landscape Features	16
Table 2	Components of Vegetation Integrity	20
Table 3	Vegetation Zones	21
Table 4	Plant Community Type Information – PCT 1600	22
Table 5	Plant Community Type Information – PCT 1598	25
Table 6	Vegetation Integrity	29
Table 7	Hollow-bearing Tree Information	
Table 8	Ecosystem Credit Species	
Table 9	Species Credit Species	35
Table 10	Targeted Surveys for Species Credit Species (Flora)	
Table 11	Targeted Surveys for Species Credit Species (Fauna)	45
Table 12	Fauna Survey Results	49
Table 13	Suggested Plantings	52
Table 14	Impacts on Native Vegetation	55
Table 15	Mitigation Measures	58
Table 16	Ecosystem Credit Requirements	61
Table 17	Southern Myotis Credit Requirements	62
Table 18	Squirrel Glider Species Credit Requirements	62



# 1 Introduction

# 1.1 Background

Habitat Environmental Services Pty Ltd (Habitat) was engaged by Newpro25 Pty Ltd c/o Perception Planning Pty Ltd to prepare a Biodiversity Development Assessment Report (BDAR) to support the proposed development (and associated s4.55 modification) of Lot 2 (DP 1286289), located at 82 Collaroy Parade, Louth Park NSW (hereafter referred to as the Study Area), as shown in **Figure 1**.

This assessment has been undertaken in accordance with the NSW Biodiversity Assessment Method 2020 (BAM) (DPIE 2020a) under the *Biodiversity Conservation Act 2016* (NSW) (BC Act) and the *Biodiversity Conservation Regulation 2017* (BC Regulation).

The following terms are used throughout this report:

- Study Area: Lot 2 (DP 1286289) located at 82 Collaroy Parade, Louth Park NSW.
- Subject Land (Development Site): The area within the Study Area to be directly impacted by the proposed development (the entire lot).
- Locality: Land within a 5-kilometre (km) radius of the Study Area.

# 1.2 Site Description

The Study Area is approximately 7.61 hectares (ha) and is located within Louth Park, approximately 4 kilometers (km) south of the city of Maitland (**Figure 1**). Louth Park occurs within the Maitland City Council Local Government Area (LGA). The Study Area is zoned as R5 - Large Lot Residential under the Maitland Local Environmental Plan (LEP 2011).

The Study Area lies to the east of a recently constructed residential area (**Figure 2**). Entry to the site is achieved via Collaroy Parade to the west. Lands to the south of the Study Area are predominantly comprised of cleared agricultural land with scattered trees. Residential development occurs to the west and a small area of bushland occurs to the north.

The topography within the site is relatively flat with a slight northern aspect. Low-lying areas occur in the northern portion. A first order stream flows in a northern direction outside the eastern boundary.

The vegetation within the Study Area is comprised of a mosaic of forest patches and cleared grassland. Forested areas contain large trees, although these areas also show evidence of recent regeneration (mainly comprised of small trees and shrubs). The composition of the vegetation indicates that much of the site was cleared historically. A discussion of the extent and condition of native vegetation within the Development Site is presented in **Section 3**.



# 1.3 Proposed Development

Approval for subdivision (and associated s4.55 modification) of the Study Area will be sought from Maitland City Council via a Development Application (DA). The layout of the proposed development is illustrated on **Figure 3**.

# 1.4 Scope

This BDAR aims to quantify impacts of the proposed development upon biodiversity values according to the Biodiversity Assessment Method 2020 (DPIE 2020a), including threatened biota listed under the NSW *Biodiversity Conservation Act 2016* (BC Act). The assessment includes:

- Stage 1 Biodiversity Assessment –Mapping of Plant Community Types (PCTs) including Endangered Ecological Communities (EECs), an assessment of the potential occurrence of threatened species and their habitats, and the potential occurrence of candidate threatened species returned by the BAM Calculator (BAM-C).
- Stage 2 Impact Assessment Identification of potential impacts of the proposed development, avoidance and mitigation measures, and biodiversity offset requirements based upon residual impacts.

The Biodiversity Accredited Assessor System (BAAS) Case number for the Project is 00030538/BAAS18041/22/00030542.



Source, Esn, Mazar, Earthstar Geographics, and the GIS User Community, Esu Community Maps Contributors, Maitland City Council, Spstial Services, G O penStreetMap, Microsoft, Esn, Tom Tom, Garmin, Foursquare, METI/NASA

USC





Legend

Subject Land





# 1.5 Information Sources

The following sources of information were used to inform the assessment:

- The NSW DPE, BioNet Atlas (DPE 2023a) for previous records of threatened species, populations, and ecological communities within a 5-km radius of the Study Area.
- Regional Vegetation Mapping Projects:
  - Lower Hunter and Central Coast Regional vegetation survey 2018 (VIS\_ID 2227)
- The NSW DPE, BioNet Vegetation Classification Database (DPE 2023b) for identification and allocation of Plant Community Types (PCTs) to vegetation zones on site.
- The NSW DPE, BioNet Threatened Biodiversity Data Collection (DPE 2023c), Threatened Species Profiles (DPE 2023d) and Final Determinations (DPE 2023e) for information on threatened species, populations, and ecological communities.

# 1.6 Legislative Context

The assessment was undertaken in accordance and consideration of the following Acts and Policies:

- Biodiversity Assessment Method (BAM) (DPIE 2020a).
- Biodiversity Conservation Act 2016 (NSW) (BC Act).
- *Biodiversity Conservation Regulation 2017* (NSW) (BC Regulation).
- Biosecurity Act 2015 (NSW).
- Coastal Management Act 2016.
- Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 (NSW) (EP&A Act).
- State Environmental Planning Policy (Biodiversity and Conservation) 2021
- State Environmental Planning Policy (Resilience and Hazards) 2021
- Water Management Act 2000 (NSW) (WM Act).
- Local Land Services Act 2013 (LLS Act)
- Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999 (EPBC Act).
- Maitland Local Environmental Plan 2011
- Maitland Development Control Plan 2011 (Amended 21 July 2016)

Information pertaining to the above list is presented in the following subsections.



## 1.6.1 Biodiversity Conservation Act 2016 (NSW)

The NSW BC Act together with the NSW BC Regulation outlines the framework for addressing impacts on biodiversity from development and clearing. The framework details a pathway to avoid, minimise and offset impacts on biodiversity from development through the Biodiversity Offset Scheme (BOS).

Entry into the NSW Biodiversity Offset Scheme (BOS) is triggered by developments, projects and activities that meet criteria or certain thresholds for significant impacts on biodiversity in accordance with Section 6.3 of the BC Act.

Criteria to which the BOS applies include the following:

- Local Development (assessed under Part 4 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979) that triggers the BOS Threshold or is "likely to significantly affect threatened species" (based on a test of significance pursuant to Section 7.3 of the BC Act). The BOS Threshold has two parts, and is triggered by the following:
  - Clearing of vegetation that exceeds an area threshold (based on the minimum lot size), or
  - Impacts are predicted to occur within an area mapped on the NSW Biodiversity Values Map (BV Map) (DPE 2023f).
- State Significant Development (SSD) and State Significant Infrastructure projects (SSI), unless "the Secretary of the Department of Planning, Industry and Environment and the environment agency head determine that the project is not likely to have a significant impact".
- Biodiversity certification proposals.
- Clearing of native vegetation in urban areas and areas zoned for environmental conservation that exceeds the BOS threshold and does not require development consent.
- Clearing of native vegetation that requires approval by the Native Vegetation Panel under the *Local Land Services Act 2013*.
- Activities assessed and determined under Part 5 of the EP&A Act (generally, proposals by government entities) if proponents choose to 'opt in' to the Scheme.



### Conclusion

The minimum lot size of the Study Area (Lot 1 DP 221762) is 0.15 ha. The vegetation clearing threshold that triggers entry to the BOS is 0.25 ha. Approximately 7.54 ha of vegetation clearing will be required for the proposed development; therefore, a BDAR is required to support the DA.

# 1.6.2 Biosecurity Act 2015

Under the *Biosecurity Act 2015* (NSW) all plants are regulated with a general biosecurity duty "to prevent, eliminate or minimise any biosecurity risk they may pose. Any person who deals with any plant, who knows (or ought to know) of any biosecurity risk, has a duty to ensure the risk is prevented, eliminated or minimised, so far as is reasonably practicable." Under the Act, a biosecurity impact "is an adverse effect on the economy, environment, or the community that arises, or has the potential to arise, from a biosecurity matter." This legislation is addressed in **Section 7.2**.

### 1.6.3 Water Management Act 2000

Controlled activities carried out in, on or under waterfront land are regulated by the *Water Management Act 2000* ("WM Act"). 'Waterfront land' is defined as the bed of any river, lake or estuary, and the land within 40 m of the riverbanks, lake shore or estuary mean high water mark.

A mapped watercourse (1<sup>st</sup> order stream) flows in a northern direction outside the western boundary of the Development Site. No development will occur within the Vegetated Riparian Zone (VRZ) of this watercourse. As such, the proposed development does not constitute a 'controlled activity' in accordance with the WM Act. Consideration of indirect impacts to aquatic and riparian habitat is provided in **Section 5**. Mitigation measures are detailed in **Section 5.4**.

# 1.6.4 State Environmental Planning Policy (Biodiversity and Conservation) 2021

# Chapter 4 - Koala Habitat Protection (2021)

Chapter 4 of the SEPP contains provisions aimed to encourage the conservation and management of areas of natural vegetation that provide habitat for Koalas to support a permanent free-living population over their present range and reverse the current trend of Koala population decline.

The chapter applies to each Local Government Area listed under Schedule 2 of the SEPP. Maitland City Council LGA is listed under Schedule 2; therefore, the chapter applies. As such, a suitably qualified and experienced person assessed the site to determine if the land contains 'Core Koala Habitat' as defined by the SEPP. See **Section 7.3** for a summary of the Koala habitat assessment.

### Part 10.6 Wetlands Protection Area

The objectives of Part 10.6 of the SEPP in relation to wetlands are as follows:

- To preserve, protect and encourage the restoration and rehabilitation of wetlands.
- To maintain and restore the health and viability of wetlands.
- To prevent the fragmentation of wetlands.



• To preserve the scenic qualities of wetlands.

To ensure that wetlands continue to perform their natural ecological functions (such as the provision of wetland habitat, the preservation of water quality, the control of flooding and erosion).

The Development Site does not contain or adjoin Wetland Protection Area; therefore, Part 10.6 of the SEPP does not apply.

### 1.6.5 State Environmental Planning Policy (Resilience and Hazards) 2021

The State Environmental Planning Policy (Resilience and Hazards) 2021 (Resilience and Hazards SEPP) consolidates, transfers and repeals the provisions of three (3) SEPPs into a single environmental planning instrument, including: the SEPP (Coastal Management) 2018 (Coastal Management SEPP), SEPP 33 – Hazardous and Offensive Development (SEPP 33), and SEPP 55 – Remediation of Land (SEPP 55). The Resilience and Hazards SEPP aims to promote the protection and improvement of key environmental assets for their intrinsic value and the social and economic benefits they provide. Relevant chapters of the Resilience and Hazards SEPP are considered below:

### Chapter 2 – Coastal Management

The aim of this Chapter is to promote an integrated and coordinated approach to land use planning in the coastal zone in a manner consistent with the objects of the Coastal Management Act 2016, including the management objectives for each coastal management area, by:

- Managing development in the coastal zone and protecting the environmental assets of the coast.
- Establishing a framework for land use planning to guide decision-making in the coastal zone.
- Mapping the 4 coastal management areas that comprise the NSW coastal zone for the purpose of the definitions in the *Coastal Management Act 2016*.

The Coastal Management Chapter incorporates the provisions of the now repealed Coastal Management SEPP which commenced on 3 April 2018 and consolidated the provisions of: SEPP 14 (Coastal Wetlands), SEPP 26 (Littoral Rainforests) and SEPP 71 (Coastal Protection).

The Coastal Management Chapter defines the four coastal management areas in accordance with the Coastal Management Act and details mapping and specifies assessment criteria that are tailored for each coastal management area. Councils and other consent authorities must apply these criteria when assessing proposals for development that fall within one or more of the mapped areas.

The four coastal management areas are:

- Coastal wetlands and littoral rainforests area areas which display the characteristics of coastal wetlands or littoral rainforests that were previously protected by SEPP 14 and SEPP 26.
- Coastal vulnerability area areas subject to coastal hazards such as coastal erosion and tidal inundation.



- Coastal environment area areas that are characterised by natural coastal features such as beaches, rock platforms, coastal lakes and lagoons and undeveloped headlands. Marine and estuarine waters are also included.
- Coastal use area land adjacent to coastal waters, estuaries and coastal lakes and lagoons.

The Study Area does not contain areas mapped as any of the four coastal management areas above. As such, the *Coastal Management Act 2016* does not apply to this development.

# 1.6.6 Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999 (EPBC Act)

Under the EPBC Act, approval is required for actions that are likely to have a significant impact on Matters of National Environmental Significance (MNES). An action includes a project, development, undertaking, activity or series of activities. When a person proposes to take an action, which they believe may need approval under the EPBC Act, they must refer the proposal to the Australian Government Minister for the Environment. The Act identifies the following nine MNES:

- World Heritage properties.
- National heritage places.
- Wetlands of international importance (Ramsar Convention).
- Listed threatened species and communities.
- Migratory species listed under international agreements.
- Great Barrier Reef Marine Park.
- Commonwealth marine areas.
- Nuclear actions.
- Water resources in respect to CSG and large coal mines.

While this BDAR is not required to address MNES, the proponent is required to address the EPBC Act as part of a development application to Council. Listed threatened species and communities are relevant to the proposed development. A summary of this assessment is presented in **Section 7.1**.

# 1.6.7 Maitland Local Environmental Plan 2011

The Study Area is located within the Maitland City Council LGA. The Maitland Environmental Plan 2011 (LEP) controls development within the Study Area through zoning and development controls. These controls are described in greater detail by the supporting Maitland Development Control Plan 2011 (DCP).

# 1.6.8 Maitland Development Control Plan 2011

The Maitland Development Control Plan 2011 supports the LEP by providing additional detail and guidance on addressing biodiversity issues associated with development. Part B of DCP contains relevant Environmental Guidelines. These guidelines have been considered during the preparation of this assessment.



# 2 Landscape Features

The landscape features detailed in Section 3 of the BAM (DPIE 2020a), which are applicable to the Study Area are described in **Table 1**.

### Table 1 Landscape Features

Landscape Features	Information
IBRA Region	Sydney Basin
IBRA Sub Region	Hunter
Local Government Area (LGA)	Maitland City Council Local Government Area
Mitchell Landscape	Newcastle Coastal Ramp (DECC, 2002; Mitchell 2002) - Undulating lowlands and low to steep hills on complex patterns of faulted and gently folded Carboniferous conglomerate, lithic sandstone, felspathic sandstone, and mudstone, general elevation 50 to 275m, local relief 40 to 150m. Stony red texture-contrast soils on steep slopes, yellow and brown texture-contrast soils on lower slopes and deep dark clay loams along streams.
	Mitchells Landscape mapping is shown on <b>Figure 4</b> .
Rivers, streams and estuaries	A mapped watercourse (1st order stream) flows in a northern direction outside the western boundary of the Development Site (see <b>Figure 2</b> ). No development will occur within the Vegetated Riparian Zone (VRZ) of this watercourse (10m either side of the top of bank).
Wetlands	No Coastal wetlands mapped on the Coastal Wetlands and Littoral Rainforests Area Map (DPE 2022) occur within the Study Area.
Connectivity of	The vegetation within Study Area has reasonable internal connectivity. External connectivity is limited to small patches of forest to the north and west. Residential or cleared areas exist to the south, west and north-west of the site.
habitat	Within the locality, the Study Area occurs at the edge of a mosaic of fragmented forest patches and is not part of a regionally important habitat corridor, as shown by the habitat corridor mapping shown on <b>Figure 4.</b>
Areas of geological significance and soil hazard features	The Study Area is not located with an area identified as having any particular geological significance. No mapping was identified that would indicate the site contains any soil hazard features.
Areas of outstanding biodiversity value	There are no areas of "outstanding biodiversity value" (in accordance with Section 3.1.3 of the BAM (DPIE 2020a) mapped within the Development Site or Study Area.
	The Soil Landscapes of the Newcastle 1:100,000 Sheet (Mathei 1995) indicates that one soil landscape occurs within the Study Area:
Geology and Soils	<b>Beresfield Soil Landscape</b> – This landscape is described as undulating low hills and rises on Permian sediments in the East Maitland Hills region. Slope gradients range 3–15%, local relief to 50 m, elevation is 20–50 m. Partially cleared tall open-forest. Landscape Variant—bea—steeper upper slopes (15–<25%). Soils—moderately deep (<120 cm), moderately well to imperfectly drained Yellow Podzolic Soils (Dy2.21), Brown Podzolic Soils (Db1.21) and brown Soloths (Db2.41) occur on crests with moderately deep (<120 cm), well-drained Red Podzolic Soils (Dr2.21) and red Soloths (Dr2.41) on upper slopes, moderately well to imperfectly drained



Landscape Features	Information
	brown Soloths (Db2.41, Db1.41) and yellow Soloths (Dy3.41) on sideslopes and deep (>200 cm), imperfectly to poorly drained Yellow Podzolic Soils (Dy2.21), yellow Soloths (Dy2.41, Dy3.41) and Gleyed Podzolic Soils (Dg2.41) on lower slopes.
Native Vegetation Cover	Native Vegetation was assessed as per Section 3.2 of the BAM 2020 (DPIE 2020a). Native vegetation constitutes 48.29% (243.67 ha) of the projected 1,500 m site buffer (504.57 ha) associated with the Study Area. Native Vegetation Cover is therefore classed as <b>&gt;30-70%</b> .
	The native vegetation extent is shown in <b>Figure 4.</b>





# 3 Native Vegetation

# 3.1 Methodology

# 3.1.1 Data Review

Regional vegetation mapping projects for the area were reviewed to assist with the determination of Plant Community Types (PCTs) within the Study Area. Review of the *Lower Hunter and Central Coast Regional vegetation survey 2018* (NPWS 2003) indicates that the vegetation within the Study Area is mapped as *Lower Hunter Spotted Gum Ironbark Forest* (Mu17). Vegetation to the north of the Study Area is mapped as *Alluvial Tall Moist Forest* (Mu5).

# 3.1.2 Vegetation Mapping Surveys

A vegetation survey was conducted across the Study Area on 31/01/2022. The boundaries of PCTs were mapped using a combination of rapid data points (RDP) and walking transects, using the polygons produced through aerial photo interpretation (API) to assist in targeting survey effort. RDPs involved collecting waypoints over the Study Area using a handheld GPS unit and recording dominant species, structure and condition. Walking transects involved verifying polygons where homogenous in floristic composition and condition, as well as walking vegetation ecotones and using the recorded tracks to define vegetation community boundaries. The RDPs and survey tracks were then overlaid on an aerial photograph and used to delineate and/or clarify vegetation boundaries.

# 3.1.3 Plant Community Type and Determination

Each vegetation community identified within the Study Area was assigned to the closest equivalent PCT from those listed in the BioNet Vegetation Classification database (DPE 2023b). The closest equivalent PCT for each vegetation community was determined through a comparison of the floristic descriptions of PCTs in the database with the plot / transect data collected from the Study Area. In addition to floristic and structural similarity, the landscape position, soil type and other diagnostic features of the vegetation communities on the site were compared to the descriptions in the database to determine the most suitable PCT. Threatened ecological communities (TECs) as defined in NSW and Commonwealth legislation were also identified if present.

# 3.1.4 Vegetation Zones

Vegetation zones were identified and delineated in the Study Area in accordance with Section 4.3 of the BAM (DPIE 2020a). A vegetation zone is defined in the BAM as a relatively homogenous area that is the same vegetation type and broad condition.

# 3.1.5 Vegetation Integrity

Following stratification of the Study Area into vegetation zones, plots/transects were undertaken to collect site condition data for the composition, structure and function attributes listed in **Table 2** in accordance with Section 4.3 of the BAM (DPIE 2020a).



Table	2 Components of Vegetation Integrity	
	Growth form groups	Function attributes
• • •	Tree (TG) Shrub (SG) Grass and grass-like (GG) Forb (FG) Fern (EG) Other (OG)	<ul> <li>Number of large trees</li> <li>Tree regeneration (presence/absence)</li> <li>Tree stem size class (presence/absence)</li> <li>Total length of fallen logs</li> <li>Litter cover</li> <li>High threat exotic vegetation cover (HTE)</li> <li>Hollow-bearing trees (HBT)</li> </ul>

The number of plots/transects undertaken across the site meets the minimum number of transects required for each vegetation zone area as detailed in Section 4.3.4, Table 3 of the BAM (DPIE 2020a). Five plots were sampled within the Development Site and one plot was sampled in areas of native vegetation to be retained (see **Figure 5**).

# 3.1.6 Floristic Identification and Nomenclature

Floristic identification and nomenclature were based on Harden (1992, 1993, 2000 and 2002) with subsequent revisions as published on PlantNet (http://plantnet.rbgsyd.nsw.gov.au).

# 3.2 Results

# 3.2.1 Floristic Diversity

A total of 114 plant species were detected during the vegetation assessment. These were comprised of 82 native species and 32 exotic species. The diversity of plant species was relatively low compared to the diversity expected to occur in good condition bushland. The diversity of plant species within survey plots ranged from 30 species (Q01) to eight species (Q02). This lack of diversity is attributed to the history of vegetation clearing that has occurred within the site. The composition of the flora is typical of regenerating vegetation.

# 3.2.2 Plant Community Types

Two PCTs were identified within the Study Area:

- PCT 1600 Spotted Gum Red Ironbark Narrow-leaved Ironbark Grey Box shrub-grass open forest of the lower Hunter.
- PCT 1598 Forest Red Gum grassy open forest on floodplains of the lower Hunter

PCT 1600 was found to occur in two condition states (moderate and cleared). PCT 1598 was found to occur in one condition state. Information pertaining to each vegetation zone is presented in **Table 3**.



### Table 3 Vegetation Zones

Vegetation Zone	Condition	Area within Development Site
Vegetation Zone 01: PCT 1600 - Spotted Gum - Red Ironbark - Narrow-leaved Ironbark - Grey Box shrub-grass open forest of the lower Hunter (Moderate Condition).	<b>Moderate</b> : This vegetation has an intact canopy layer. The shrub layer and ground layer is regenerating.	4.03 ha
Vegetation Zone 02: PCT 1600 - Spotted Gum - Red Ironbark - Narrow-leaved Ironbark - Grey Box shrub-grass open forest of the lower Hunter (Cleared).	<b>Low:</b> This vegetation has been cleared and generally lacks canopy trees. The shrub layer is largely absent. The groundcover is predominantly exotic.	3.14 ha
Vegetation Zone 03: PCT 1598 - Forest Red Gum grassy open forest on floodplains of the lower Hunter (Moderate Condition)	<b>Moderate</b> : This vegetation has an intact canopy layer. The shrub layer and ground layer is regenerating.	0.37 ha

Floristic descriptions with justification for the allocation of PCT 1600 and PCT 1598 are presented in **Table 4** and **Table 5**. Photographs of each vegetation zone are also provided in **Plates 1-4**.



Criteria	Information
РСТ	PCT 1600 - Spotted Gum - Red Ironbark - Narrow-leaved Ironbark - Grey Box shrub- grass open forest of the lower Hunter
Vegetation Formation and	Dry Sclerophyll Forest (Shrub/grass sub-formation)
Class	Hunter-Macleay Dry Sclerophyll Forest
Survey Effort	Required: 2 BAM plots per vegetation zone. Conducted: 2 BAM plots per vegetation zone (VZ1:Q1 & Q5, VZ2: Q02 & Q04).
	The canopy is dominated by <i>Corymbia maculata</i> (Spotted Gum) and <i>Eucalyptus fibrosa</i> (Broad-leaved Ironbark). Canopy species that occur to a lesser extent included <i>Eucalyptus paniculata</i> (Grey Ironbark) and <i>Eucalyptus acmenoides</i> (Red Mahogany). The dominant shrub species include <i>Cassinia aculeata</i> (Dogwood), <i>Acacia parvipinnula</i> (Silver-stemmed Wattle), <i>Daviesia ulicifolia</i> (Gorse Bitter Pea), <i>Breynia oblongifolia</i> (Coffee Bush) and <i>Pittosporum undulatum</i> (Sweet Pittosporum).
Floristic description	The groundcover is dominated by grasses and herbs. The dominant grass species were <i>Microlaena stipoides var. stipoides</i> (Weeping Grass), <i>Cymbopogon refractus</i> (Barbed Wire Grass), and <i>Themeda australis</i> (Kangaroo Grass). Occasional herbs included <i>Commelina cyanea, Cyanthillium cinerea, Lobelia purpurascens</i> (Whiteroot), <i>Glycine tabacina, Dianella revoluta</i> (Blueberry Lily), <i>Chrysocephalum apiculatum</i> (Yellow Buttons) and <i>Dichondra repens</i> (Kidney Weed). Several exotic species were also observed. The dominant exotic species were <i>Setaria parviflora</i> (Pigeon Grass), <i>Paspalum dilatatum</i> (Paspalum), <i>Plantago lanceolata</i> (Lamb's Tongues) and <i>Sida rhombifolia</i> (Paddy's Lucerne). These species were more prevalent in cleared areas (Vegetation Zone 02).
Condition within Study Area	Two vegetation zones occur within the Development Site as described previously in <b>Table 3.</b> A photograph of the moderate condition vegetation zone is shown in <b>Plate 1</b> . A photograph of the cleared vegetation zone is shown in <b>Plate 2</b> . Both vegetation zones contain a moderate to high coverage of environmental weeds (exotic species), but few Priority Weeds occur. All vegetation within the site is in a state of regeneration.
HBT presence	Yes
Justification for PCT selection	The vegetation within this zone most closely resembles a Dry Sclerophyll Forest within the Shrub/grass sub-formation due to the dominance of a eucalypt canopy, an abundance of sclerophyllous (hard-leaved) shrubs in the understorey and a groundcover consisting of grasses and herbs. Within this formation, the vegetation is most closely aligned with PCT 1600 due to an open canopy dominated by <i>Corymbia maculata</i> (Spotted Gum) and <i>Eucalyptus fibrosa</i> (Broad-leaved Ironbark). Although the shrub layer is sparse and regenerating, key diagnostic species are also present.
Status	BC Act: The better-quality areas of the site (Vegetation Zone 1) are commensurate with <i>Lower Hunter Spotted Gum Ironbark Forest in the Sydney Basin and NSW North Coast Bioregions,</i> which is listed as an Endangered Ecological Community (EEC) under the BC Act. Justifications for this determination are as follows:
	• The vegetation occurs within the Cessnock - Beresfield area in the Central and Lower Hunter Valley.



Criteria	Information
	<ul> <li>This canopy of the community is dominated by <i>Corymbia maculata</i> (Spotted Gum) and <i>Eucalyptus fibrosa</i> (Broad-leaved Ironbark), which are key diagnostic species.</li> <li>Key diagnostic shrub species such as <i>Acacia parvipinnula</i> (Silver-stemmed Wattle), <i>Daviesia ulicifolia</i> (Gorse Bitter Pea) and <i>Breynia oblongifolia</i> (Coffee Bush) occur.</li> <li>EPBC Act: None</li> </ul>
SAII	No
PCT % Cleared	71%
Sensitivity to loss	High Sensitivity to Loss
Sensitivity to gain	High Sensitivity to Gain



Plate 1 PCT 1600 - Spotted Gum - Red Ironbark - Narrow-leaved Ironbark - Grey Box shrub-grass open forest of the lower Hunter (Moderate Condition)





Plate 2 PCT 1600 - Spotted Gum - Red Ironbark - Narrow-leaved Ironbark - Grey Box shrub-grass open forest of the lower Hunter (Low Condition)



Criteria	Information
PCT	PCT 1598 - Forest Red Gum grassy open forest on floodplains of the lower Hunter
Vegetation Formation and Class	Forested Wetlands Coastal Floodplain Wetlands
Survey Effort	Required: 1 plot/transect Conducted: 1 plot/transect (Q03)
	The canopy is dominated by <i>Eucalyptus tereticornis</i> (Forest Redgum) and <i>Eucalyptus moluccana</i> (Grey Box).
	The shrub layer is dominated by <i>Acacia falcata</i> (Hickory Wattle), <i>Pittosporum undulatum</i> (Sweet Pittosporum), <i>Ozothamnus diosmifolius</i> (White Dogwood) and <i>Breynia oblongifolia</i> (Coffee Bush).
Floristic description	The groundcover is dominated by grasses and herbs. The dominant grass species were <i>Microlaena stipoides var. stipoides</i> (Weeping Grass), <i>Cymbopogon refractus</i> (Barbed Wire Grass), and <i>Entolasia marginata</i> (Bordered Panic). Occasional herbs included <i>Commelina cyanea, Cyanthillium cinerea, Lobelia purpurascens</i> (Whiteroot) and <i>Dichondra repens</i> (Kidney Weed).
	Several exotic species were also observed. The dominant exotic species were Setaria parviflora (Pigeon Grass), Paspalum dilatatum (Paspalum), Plantago lanceolata (Lamb's Tongues), Sida rhombifolia (Paddy's Lucerne) and Hypochaeris radicata (Cat's Ear).
Condition within Study Area	One vegetation zone was defined within the Study Area as described previously in <b>Table 3</b> . A photograph of the vegetation is shown in <b>Plate 3</b> .
HBT presence	Yes
HBT presence Justification for PCT selection	Yes The vegetation within this zone most closely resembles a Forested Wetland. It occurs in areas of the site that are prone to flooding and is dominated by <i>Eucalyptus tereticornis</i> (Forest Redgum). Within this formation, the vegetation is most representative of PCT 1598 due to the presence of an open canopy dominated by <i>Eucalyptus tereticornis</i> (Forest Redgum). Key diagnostic species in the shrub layer include <i>Breynia oblongifolia</i> (Coffee Bush). Key diagnostic groundcover species include <i>Microlaena stipoides var. stipoides</i> (Weeping Grass) and <i>Cymbopogon refractus</i> (Barbed Wire Grass).
HBT presence Justification for PCT selection Status	<ul> <li>Yes</li> <li>The vegetation within this zone most closely resembles a Forested Wetland. It occurs in areas of the site that are prone to flooding and is dominated by <i>Eucalyptus tereticornis</i> (Forest Redgum). Within this formation, the vegetation is most representative of PCT 1598 due to the presence of an open canopy dominated by <i>Eucalyptus tereticornis</i> (Forest Redgum). Key diagnostic species in the shrub layer include <i>Breynia oblongifolia</i> (Coffee Bush). Key diagnostic groundcover species include <i>Microlaena stipoides var. stipoides</i> (Weeping Grass) and <i>Cymbopogon refractus</i> (Barbed Wire Grass).</li> <li>BC Act: The moderate condition areas of the PCT within the Study Area are commensurate with <i>Hunter Lowland Redgum Forest in the Sydney Basin and New South Wales North Coast Bioregions</i> which is listed as an Endangered Ecological Community (EEC) under the BC Act. Justifications for this determination are as follows: <ul> <li>The vegetation occurs within the Beresfield area in the Lower Hunter Valley.</li> <li>This canopy of the community is dominated by <i>Eucalyptus tereticornis</i> and <i>Eucalyptus moluccana</i> (Grey Box), which are key diagnostic species.</li> <li>Key diagnostic shrub and groundcover species also occur.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
HBT presence Justification for PCT selection	<ul> <li>Yes</li> <li>The vegetation within this zone most closely resembles a Forested Wetland. It occurs in areas of the site that are prone to flooding and is dominated by <i>Eucalyptus tereticornis</i> (Forest Redgum). Within this formation, the vegetation is most representative of PCT 1598 due to the presence of an open canopy dominated by <i>Eucalyptus tereticornis</i> (Forest Redgum). Key diagnostic species in the shrub layer include <i>Breynia oblongifolia</i> (Coffee Bush). Key diagnostic groundcover species include <i>Microlaena stipoides var. stipoides</i> (Weeping Grass) and <i>Cymbopogon refractus</i> (Barbed Wire Grass).</li> <li>BC Act: The moderate condition areas of the PCT within the Study Area are commensurate with <i>Hunter Lowland Redgum Forest in the Sydney Basin and New South Wales North Coast Bioregions</i> which is listed as an Endangered Ecological Community (EEC) under the BC Act. Justifications for this determination are as follows: <ul> <li>The vegetation occurs within the Beresfield area in the Lower Hunter Valley.</li> <li>This canopy of the community is dominated by <i>Eucalyptus tereticornis</i> and <i>Eucalyptus moluccana</i> (Grey Box), which are key diagnostic species.</li> <li>Key diagnostic shrub and groundcover species also occur.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
HBT presence Justification for PCT selection Status SAII	<ul> <li>Yes</li> <li>The vegetation within this zone most closely resembles a Forested Wetland. It occurs in areas of the site that are prone to flooding and is dominated by <i>Eucalyptus tereticornis</i> (Forest Redgum). Within this formation, the vegetation is most representative of PCT 1598 due to the presence of an open canopy dominated by <i>Eucalyptus tereticornis</i> (Forest Redgum). Key diagnostic species in the shrub layer include <i>Breynia oblongifolia</i> (Coffee Bush). Key diagnostic groundcover species include <i>Microlaena stipoides var. stipoides</i> (Weeping Grass) and <i>Cymbopogon refractus</i> (Barbed Wire Grass).</li> <li>BC Act: The moderate condition areas of the PCT within the Study Area are commensurate with <i>Hunter Lowland Redgum Forest in the Sydney Basin and New South Wales North Coast Bioregions</i> which is listed as an Endangered Ecological Community (EEC) under the BC Act. Justifications for this determination are as follows: <ul> <li>The vegetation occurs within the Beresfield area in the Lower Hunter Valley.</li> <li>This canopy of the community is dominated by <i>Eucalyptus tereticornis</i> and <i>Eucalyptus moluccana</i> (Grey Box), which are key diagnostic species.</li> <li>Key diagnostic shrub and groundcover species also occur.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>

#### Table 5 Plant Community Type Information – PCT 1598



Criteria	Information
Sensitivity to loss	High Sensitivity to Loss
Sensitivity to gain	High Sensitivity to Gain



Plate 3 PCT 1598 - Forest Red Gum grassy open forest on floodplains of the lower Hunter (Moderate Condition)



## 3.2.3 Aquatic Habitat

A constructed dam occurs in the central portion of the Study Area. Emergent vegetation within the waterbody is limited to the edges where dense rushes and aquatic plants occur. The dominant plant species in the dam is *Eleocharis equisetina*. *Juncus effusus* also occurs at lesser densities. Low occurrences of floating plant species including *Ludwigia peploides* (Water Primrose) and *Ottelia ovalifolia subsp. ovalifolia* (Swamp Lily) were also observed.

A photograph of the dam is shown in Plate 4.



Plate 4 Constructed dam in the central portion of the Study Area.





# 3.2.5 Assessment of Patch Size

The patch size for the native forest vegetation within the Study Area was assessed as >100 ha as this vegetation is connected to larger intact areas of native forest vegetation extending to the east (gaps in the connective vegetation across existing roads are less than 100 m).

# 3.2.6 Vegetation Integrity Score

The current vegetation integrity score of the vegetation zones to be impacted by the proposed development are outlined in **Table 6**.

Table 6	Vegetatio	n Integrity					
Zone	РСТ	Condition class	Area (ha)	Condition scores (Current Score)		Vegetation integrity	
				Composition	Structure	Function	score
1	1600	Moderate	4.03	69.5	56.5	72.5	65.8
2	1600	Cleared	3.14	14.6	12.1	9.5	11.9
5	1598	Moderate	0.37	70.9	79.9	42.4	62.1

#### Biodiversity Development Assessment Report 82 Collaroy Parade, Louth Park NSW



# 4 Threatened Species

# 4.1 Habitat Assessment

To inform the assessment of suitable habitat for threatened species and populations within the Study Area, a database search of the NSW DPE BioNet Atlas (DPE 2023a) was conducted. Results of the database search and 'likelihood of occurrence' assessment are provided in **Appendix A**.

# 4.1.1 Habitat Assessment – Threatened Flora

The majority of threatened plant species returned by the NSW DPE BioNet Atlas (DPE 2023a) were determined to have a low 'likelihood of occurrence' (**Appendix A**). The Study Area contains a mosaic of patches of native forest with moderate vegetation integrity and cleared areas (containing scattered trees and shrubs) with low vegetation integrity. The forested areas are considered to represent the better-quality habitat for threatened flora species. In these areas, an intact native canopy of mature *Corymbia maculata* (Spotted Gum) and *Eucalyptus fibrosa* (Broad-leaved Ironbark) is present. The midstorey is regenerating and contains a relatively low diversity of shrub species. The groundcover is predominantly exotic in most areas native species is generally low and typical of regenerating bushland. Due to historical management of the midstorey and groundcover, the vegetation does not represent highly suitable habitat for locally occurring threatened flora.

# 4.1.2 Habitat Assessment – Threatened Fauna

The majority of threatened fauna species returned by the NSW DPE BioNet Atlas (DPE 2023a) were determined to have a low 'likelihood of occurrence' due to a lack of suitable habitat within the Development Site (**Appendix A**). The vegetation has been historically managed, as such there is a low abundance of shrub cover, which would otherwise provide shelter and foraging habitat for terrestrial fauna. Higher quality habitat is limited to scattered occurrences of mature old growth trees containing hollows, suitable for arboreal fauna and birds. Few habitat logs occur, and the low diversity of plant species limits the availability of foraging resources. One dam occurs within the Study Area which may provide habitat for species that require aquatic habitats. A number of dams also occur within adjacent properties.

# 4.1.3 Hollow-bearing Trees

A total of 15 hollow-bearing trees were detected throughout the Study Area. The hollows in these trees were all observed to be within the small (<5cm) to medium range (5-20cm). The trees represent breeding and roosting habitat for several species of arboreal mammals and birds. Given the small – medium size of the hollows, species such as Large Forest Owls are unlikely to utilise these as breeding habitat.

A summary of information pertaining to the hollow-bearing trees detected within the Study Area is presented in **Table 7**. The location of each habitat tree is shown in **Figure 6**.



				Hollow Size Class		
Tree No.	Tree Species	DBH (cm)	Height (m)	Large (>20cm)	Medium (5-20cm)	Small (<5cm)
1	Corymbia maculata	110	25	-	1	-
2	Dead Tree (Stag)	40	15	-	1	-
3	Dead Tree (Stag)	80	15	-	-	1
4	Eucalyptus fibrosa	90	25	-	-	1
5	Dead Tree (Stag)	70	20	-	-	1
6	Corymbia maculata	120	25	-	1	-
7	Corymbia maculata	140	20	-	1	-
8	Dead Tree (Stag)	70	10	-	1	1
9	Dead Tree (Stag)	120	20	-	-	1
10	Dead Tree (Stag)	60	10	-	-	1
11	Dead Tree (Stag)	40	8	-	-	1
12	Corymbia maculata	60	20	-	-	1
13	Corymbia maculata	80	15	-	2	-
14	Corymbia maculata	90	25	-	1	-
15	Corymbia maculata	100	25	_	2	-

# Table 7 Hollow-bearing Tree Information





# 4.1.5 Ecosystem Credit Species

The following assessment of habitat suitability for ecosystem credit species was conducted in accordance with Section 6.2 of the BAM. Ecosystem credits represent threatened species that can reliably be predicted to occur based on the type and condition of vegetation within the Development Site. Targeted surveys are not required for ecosystem credit species.

### Step 1: Identify threatened species for assessment.

A list of predicted ecosystem credit species for the Study Area was reviewed in the BAM calculator, according to PCTs present on the subject land. Predicted Species Report is within **Appendix B**.

#### Step 2: Assessment of the habitat constraints and vagrant species on the subject land

The potential for ecosystem credit species to occur on the Study Area was assessed according to species specific habitat requirements, as detailed in **Table 8**. Where habitat features were not present due to the degraded condition of the site vegetation, ecosystem credit species were determined to not be predicted species and no further assessment was required within these vegetation zones.

Scientific name	Common name	Confirmed Predicted Species	Justification
Artamus cyanopterus cyanopterus	Dusky Woodswallow	Yes	Suitable habitat present.
Callocephalon fimbriatum	Gang-gang Cockatoo	Yes	Suitable habitat present.
Calyptorhynchus lathami	Glossy Black-Cockatoo	Yes	Suitable habitat present.
Chthonicola sagittata	Speckled Warbler	Yes	Suitable habitat present.
Climacteris picumnus victoriae	Brown Treecreeper (eastern subspecies)	Yes	Suitable habitat present.
Daphoenositta chrysoptera	Varied Sittella	Yes	Suitable habitat present.
Dasyurus maculatus	Spotted-tailed Quoll	Yes	Suitable habitat present.
Falco subniger	Black Falcon	Yes	Suitable habitat present.
Falsistrellus tasmaniensis	Eastern False Pipistrelle	Yes	Suitable habitat present.
Glossopsitta pusilla	Little Lorikeet	Yes	Suitable habitat present.
Grantiella picta	Painted Honeyeater	No	Mistletoes are not present at a density of greater than five per hectare
Haliaeetus leucogaster	White-bellied Sea-Eagle	Yes	Suitable habitat present.
Hieraaetus morphnoides	Little Eagle	Yes	Suitable habitat present.

#### Table 8 Ecosystem Credit Species



Scientific name	Common name	Confirmed Predicted Species	Justification
Hirundapus caudacutus	White-throated Needletail	Yes	Suitable habitat present.
Lathamus discolor	Swift Parrot (Foraging)	Yes	Suitable habitat present.
Lophoictinia isura	Square-tailed Kite	Yes	Suitable habitat present.
Melanodryas cucullata cucullata	Hooded Robin (south-eastern form)	Yes	Suitable habitat present.
Melithreptus gularis gularis	Black-chinned Honeyeater (eastern subspecies)	Yes	Suitable habitat present.
Micronomus norfolkensis	Eastern Coastal Free-tailed Bat	Yes	Suitable habitat present.
Miniopterus australis	Little Bent-winged Bat	Yes	Suitable habitat present.
Miniopterus orianae oceanensis	Large Bent-winged Bat	Yes	Suitable habitat present.
Neophema pulchella	Turquoise Parrot	Yes	Suitable habitat present.
Ninox connivens	Barking Owl	Yes	Suitable habitat present.
Ninox strenua	Powerful Owl	Yes	Suitable habitat present.
Petaurus australis	Yellow-bellied Glider	Yes	Suitable habitat present.
Petroica boodang	Scarlet Robin	Yes	Suitable habitat present.
Pomatostomus temporalis temporalis	Grey-crowned Babbler (eastern subspecies)	Yes	Suitable habitat present.
Pteropus poliocephalus	Grey-headed Flying Fox	Yes	Suitable habitat present.
Saccolaimus flaviventris	Yellow-bellied Sheathtail-bat	Yes	Suitable habitat present.
Scoteanax rueppellii	Greater Broad-nosed Bat	Yes	Suitable habitat present.
Stagonopleura guttata	Diamond Firetail	Yes	Suitable habitat present.
Tyto novaehollandiae	Masked Owl (foraging)	Yes	Suitable habitat present.

# 4.1.6 Species Credit Species

### Step 1: Identify threatened species for assessment.

A preliminary list of Candidate species credit species for the Development Site was reviewed in the BAM calculator. Species credits pertain to threatened species that cannot reliably be predicted to occur by the vegetation present. A Candidate Species Report is presented within **Appendix B**.

### Step 2: Assessment of the habitat constraints and vagrant species on the subject land.

The potential for Candidate species credit species to occur on the Development Site was assessed according to species-specific habitat requirements as detailed in **Table 9**.



### Step 3: Identify candidate species credit species for further assessment.

Several species credit species were excluded as candidate species due to their geographic or habitat constraints not being met by the Development Site, and no further assessment of these species was required (**Table 9**).

### Table 9Species Credit Species

Scientific name	Common name	Confirmed Candidate Species	Justification
Acacia bynoeana	Bynoe's Wattle	Yes	Suitable habitat present.
Anthochaera phrygia	Regent Honeyeater	No	Habitat Constraints – Development Site not mapped as important habitat.
Aprasia parapulchella	Pink-tailed Legless Lizard	No	Habitat Constraints - No rocky areas or habitat within 50m of rocky areas.
Burhinus grallarius	Bush Stone-curlew	Yes	Suitable habitat present.
Callistemon linearifolius	Netted Bottle Brush	Yes	Suitable habitat present.
Callocephalon fimbriatum	Gang-gang Cockatoo	Yes	Suitable habitat present.
Calyptorhynchus Iathami	Glossy-black Cockatoo	Yes	Suitable habitat present.
Cercartetus nanus	Eastern Pygmy-possum	Yes	Suitable habitat present.
Chalinolobus dwyeri	Large-eared Pied Bat	No	Habitat Constraints – No cliffs present. Study Area not within two km of rocky areas containing caves, overhangs, escarpments, outcrops, or crevices, or within two km of old mines or tunnels.
Cryptostylis hunteriana	Leafless Tongue Orchid	No	Species is not recorded previously within the Hunter IBRA Sub-region.
Cynanchum elegans	White-flowered Wax Plant	Yes	Suitable habitat present.
Delma impar	Striped Legless Lizard	Yes	Suitable habitat present
Diuris praecox	Rough Doubletail	Yes	Suitable habitat present.
Diuris tricolor	Pine Donkey Orchid	Yes	Suitable habitat present.
Eucalyptus castrensis	Singleton Mallee	Yes	Suitable habitat present.
Eucalyptus glaucina	Slaty Red Gum	Yes	Suitable habitat present.
Eucalyptus parramattensis subsp. decadens	-	Yes	Suitable habitat present.
Eucalyptus pumila	Pokolbin Mallee	Yes	Suitable habitat present.
Grevillea parviflora subsp. parviflora	Small-flower Grevillea	Yes	Suitable habitat present.



Scientific name	Common name	Confirmed Candidate Species	Justification
Haliaeetus leucogaster	White-bellied Sea-Eagle	No	Habitat Constraints – Development Site does not contain living or dead mature trees within suitable vegetation within 1km of a rivers, lakes, large dams or creeks, wetlands and coastlines
Hieraaetus morphnoides	Little Eagle	Yes	Suitable habitat present.
Hoplocephalus bitorquatus	Pale-headed Snake	No	Species is not recorded previously within the Hunter IBRA Sub-region.
Lathamus discolor	Swift Parrot	No	Habitat Constraints – The Development Site is not within an area mapped as "important habitat" for this species.
Litoria aurea	Green and Golden Bell Frog	Yes	Suitable habitat present.
Litoria brevipalmata	Green-thighed Frog	Yes	Suitable habitat present.
Lophoictinia isura	Square-tailed Kite	Yes	Suitable habitat present.
Miniopterus australis	Little Bent-winged Bat	No	Habitat Constraints (breeding) - No Caves, tunnels, mines, culverts or structure known for breeding occur within the Development Site.
Monotaxis macrophylla	Large-leaved Monotaxis	Yes	Suitable habitat present.
Myotis macropus	Southern Myotis	Yes	Suitable habitat present.
Ninox connivens	Barking Owl	No	Habitat Constraints (breeding) - No hollow- bearing trees with hollows greater than 20cm diameter and greater than 4m from the ground.
Ninox strenua	Powerful Owl	No	Habitat Constraints (breeding) - No hollow- bearing trees with hollows greater than 20cm diameter.
Ozothamnus tesselatus	-	Yes	Suitable habitat present.
Persoonia pauciflora	North Rothbury Persoonia	No	Geographic Limitations - Study Area does not occurs within 10km of Rothbury
Petauroides volans	Greater Glider	Yes	Suitable habitat present.
Petaurus norfolkensis	Squirrel Glider	Yes	Suitable habitat present.
Petrogale penicillata	Brush-tailed Rock- wallaby	No	Habitat Constraints - Study Area is not within 1 km of rocky escarpments, gorges, steep slopes, boulder piles, rock outcrops or cliff lines.
Phascogale tapotafa	Brush-tailed Phascogale	Yes	Suitable habitat present.
Phascolarctos cinereus	Koala (Breeding)	Yes	Suitable habitat present.
Planigale maculata	Common Planigale	No	Species is not recorded previously within the Hunter IBRA Sub-region.
Pomaderris queenslandica	Scant Pomaderris	Yes	Suitable habitat present.


Scientific name	Common name	Confirmed Candidate Species	Justification	
Prostanthera cineolifera	Singleton Mintbush	Yes	Suitable habitat present.	
Pteropus poliocephalus	Grey-headed Flying-fox	Yes	Habitat Constraints - No evidence of breeding camps within the Study Area	
Pterostylis chaetophora	-	Yes	Suitable habitat present.	
Rutidosis heterogama	Heath Wrinklewort	Yes	Suitable habitat present.	
Thesium australe	Austral Toadflax	No	Habitat Degraded – Few native species were detected in the groundcover of each vegetation zone. The habitat is considered to be too degraded to support a population of this species.	
			Only 2 records with Hunter Sub Region.	
Tyto novaehollandiae	Masked Owl	No	Habitat Constraints (breeding) - No hollow- bearing trees with hollows greater than 20cm diameter.	
Vespadelus troughtoni	Eastern Cave Bat	No	Habitat Constraints (breeding) - No Caves, tunnels, mines, culverts or structure known for breeding occur within the Development Site.	

## 4.2 Threatened Species Surveys

#### Step 4: Determine presence or absence of candidate species credit species.

#### 4.2.1 Flora Surveys

The candidate threatened flora species were surveyed in accordance with the *NSW Guide to Surveying Threatened Plants* (DPIE 2020b), which states:

"Several threatened plant species may be searched for during the same traverse. To ensure detectability is not compromised it is recommended that multi-species searches be restricted to a maximum of five species in the same stratum (i.e. search for five ground species, five mid-layer species or five canopy species) per traverse. Multi-species surveys could also be grouped further, by genus, similar growth form, or species with other similar characteristics where they are likely to occupy the same stratum."

Targeted surveys for candidate threatened plant species were undertaken in accordance with the recommended survey period for each species (31/01/22, 30/08/22, 13/10/22 and 23/03/23) as shown in **Table 10.** A combination of parallel transects surveys and random meanders were conducted across the site in each of these survey events as shown in **Figures 7-10**.



## Table 10Targeted Surveys for Species Credit Species (Flora)

Scientific name	Common name	Survey Window (BAM-C)
Ground Strata Surveys - 31/01/22		
Acacia bynoeana	Bynoe's Wattle	All Year
Rutidosis heterogama	Heath Wrinklewort	All Year
Monotaxis macrophylla	Large-leaved Monotaxis	Aug-Feb
Midstory Strata- 31/01/22		
Callistemon linearifolius	Netted Bottle Brush	Oct-Jan
Ground Strata Surveys - 30/08/22		
Diuris praecox	Rough Doubletail	Aug
Midstory Strata Surveys - 13/10/22		
Cynanchum elegans	White-flowered Wax Plant	All Year
Grevillea parviflora subsp. parviflora	Small-flower Grevillea	Aug-Nov
Ozothamnus tesselatus	Ozothamnus tesselatus	Sep-Oct
Pomaderris queenslandica	Scant Pomaderris	All Year
Prostanthera cineolifera	Singleton Mintbush	Sep-Oct
Ground Strata Surveys - 13/10/22		
Diuris tricolor	Pine Donkey Orchid	Sep-Oct
Pterostylis chaetophora	Rusty Greenhood	Sep-Nov
Canopy Strata Surveys – 23/01/23		
Eucalyptus castrensis	Singleton Mallee	All Year
Eucalyptus glaucina	Slaty Red Gum	All Year
Eucalyptus parramattensis subsp. decadens	-	All Year
Eucalyptus pumila	Pokolbin Mallee	All Year











## 4.2.6 Fauna Survey Methods

#### **Remote Cameras**

A total of five infrared remote trigger cameras were installed arboreally within the Development Site from 28/03/22 to 11/04/22 (14 consecutive nights – Round 1). An additional 12 cameras were then deployed from 08/12/22 to 02/02/23 (56 consecutive nights – Round 2). To ensure that fresh baits were present during the survey period, cameras were re-baited on the following dates:

- The round 1 cameras were rebaited on 04/04/22 (week after being deployed).
- The round 2 cameras were rebaited on 22/12/22 (2 weeks after being deployed).

Cameras were baited PVC canisters containing "bait balls" that were freshly made from a mixture of oats, peanut butter, honey, and truffle oil. The tree trunk was sprayed then sprayed with a liquid mixture of water and honey as an additional attractant for fauna species.

Images were downloaded from the cameras and visually analyzed to identify the species recorded. All species were identified by Dr. Daniel O'Brien, an experienced Fauna Ecologist with knowledge of locally occurring threatened species. No expert third party advice was sought for the project.

#### Spotlighting

Spotlighting surveys were conducted on the 31/01/22 and from 28/03/22 to 31/03/22 using a highpowered headtorch to search for all types of nocturnal fauna. Spotlighting was undertaken via random meanders for 60-minutes each night. Call playback for the Squirrel Glider, Koala, ands Large Forest Owl species was also conducted to incite a response following spot lighting.

#### **Koala Surveys**

Two Spot Assessment Technique (SAT) surveys (Phillips and Callaghan, 2011) were conducted within vegetation dominated by Koala Feed Trees (mainly Broad-leaved Ironbark) on 31/01/22. This technique involves the selection of a center tree (survey point) that is selected according to the following criteria:

- A tree of any species beneath which one or more Koala fecal pellets have been observed.
- A tree in which a Koala is observed.
- Any other tree known or considered to be potentially important for Koalas.

For each SAT survey, a minimum of 30 trees (including the center tree) with a DBH of 100 mm, or greater, are then surveyed for Koala scats. Surveys involve the inspection of the ground surface within 100 cm from the base of the tree. If fecal scats are identified, the survey concludes, and the surveyor moves to the next closest tree until the 30 trees are surveyed.



## **Microchiropteran Bats**

Two Anabat<sup>™</sup> bat-call detectors were used to detect Microchiropteran bats within the Development Site. Surveys for Microchiropteran bats were targeting the Southern Myotis, with Anabats placed at near the constructed dam and in areas containing hollow-bearing trees from 28/03/22 to 11/04/22 (14 consecutive nights).

## **Hollow-dependent Birds**

Within the Study Area, stag-watching and owl call-playback was conducted on the 31/01/22 and from 28/03/22 to 31/03/22. During these surveys, larger hollows (Habitat trees 1, 2, 6, 7 & 8) identified as being potentially suitable for nocturnal birds were stag-watched from dusk (30-minutes prior to last light). After stag-watching was completed, owl call-playback was conducted. Calls of large forest owls (Powerful Owl, Masked Owl, Barking Owl and Sooty Owl) and the Bush Stone-curlew were broadcast through a megaphone to attract individuals or to incite a response. After an initial listening period of 15-minutes, recorded calls of threatened species were broadcast for 5-minutes each, followed by 1-2 minutes of stationary spotlighting. Directly after the final broadcast, a quiet listening period of 5 minutes was conducted followed by a 30-minute spotlighting survey.

## **Raptor Nest Surveys**

Surveys for raptor nests were undertaken opportunistically during all field surveys. Trees were visually inspected from the ground for the presence of stick nests. The ground around the base of trees was also inspected for the presence of droppings or the remains of prey (bones, feathers etc.). A targeted survey for nests of the White-bellied Sea-Eagle and Little Eagle was also undertaken on 13/10/22.

## Amphibians

Amphibian surveys (transect searches) were undertaken around the constructed dam on the 31/01/22 and from 28/03/22 to 31/03/22. Nocturnal surveys involved quiet listening periods where species were identified through aural detection of species-specific calls along with spotlighting searches within emergent vegetation. A dip net was also used to collect and identify tadpole species on each night of the survey.

A summary of survey type and survey timing for each candidate threatened fauna species is presented in **Table 11.** The locations of where each of the surveys were undertaken is presented in **Figure 11**.

## **Diurnal Reptile Surveys**

Reptile species were identified opportunistically during all field surveys. Rocks and logs were flipped and inspected. A diurnal reptile survey targeting *Delma impar* (Striped Legless Lizard) was undertaken on 13/10/22. During this survey, habitat logs and debris (rubbish) throughout the Development Site were flipped and inspected.



#### Survey Window (BAM-C) Scientific name **Common name Surveys Undertaken** Spotlighting Burhinus grallarius **Bush Stone-curlew** All Year 31/01/22 28/03/22-31/03/22 Stag-watching Callocephalon 31/01/22 Gang Gang Cockatoo Oct-Jan fimbriatum 28/03/22-31/03/22 Stag-watching Calyptorhynchus 31/01/22 **Glossy-black Cockatoo** Jan-Sep lathami 28/03/22-31/03/22 **Remote Cameras** 28/03/22-11/04/22 08/12/22-02/02/23 Oct-Mar Cercartetus nanus Eastern Pygmy-possum Spotlighting 31/01/22 28/03/22 **Opportunistic Surveys** 31/01/22 Delma impar Striped Legless Lizard Sep-Dec 28/03/22-31/03/22 **Diurnal Reptile Search** 13/10/22 **Raptor Nest Surveys** 31/01/22 Haliaeetus White-bellied Sea-Eagle Jul-Dec leucogaster 28/03/22-31/03/22 13/10/22 **Raptor Nest Surveys** 31/01/22 Hieraaetus Little Eagle Aug-Oct morphnoides 28/03/22-31/03/22 13/10/22 Spotlighting & Dip Netting Green and Golden Bell Litoria aurea Nov-Mar 31/01/22 Frog 28/03/22-31/03/22 Litoria brevipalmata Green-thighed Frog Sep-Apr **Spotlighting & Dip Netting**

#### Table 11 Targeted Surveys for Species Credit Species (Fauna)



Scientific name	Common name	Survey Window (BAM-C)	Surveys Undertaken
			31/01/22
			28/03/22-31/03/22
			Anabat
Myotis macropus	Southern Myotis	Oct-Mar	28/03/22 to 11/04/22 (14 consecutive nights).
			Remote Cameras
			28/03/22-11/04/22
Determidee velope	Creater Olider		08/12/22-02/02/23
Pelauroides volaris	Greater Gilder	All real	Spotlighting & Stag-watching
			31/01/22
			28/03/22-31/03/22
			Remote Cameras
			28/03/22-11/04/22
	Questioned Olisten		08/12/22-02/02/23
Petaurus nortoikensis	Squirrei Glider	All Year	Spotlighting & Stag-watching
			31/01/22
		31/01/22 28/03/22-31/03/2 Remote Camera	28/03/22-31/03/22
			Remote Cameras
			28/03/22-11/04/22
		<b>-</b> .	08/12/22-02/02/23
Phascogale tapotata	Brush-tailed Phascogale	Dec-Jun	Spotlighting & Stag-watching
			31/01/22
			28/03/22-31/03/22
			Koala Surveys
			2 SATs 31/01/22
			Remote Cameras
Phascolarctos			28/03/22-11/04/22
cinereus	Koala (Breeding)	All Year	08/12/22-02/02/23
			Spotlighting
			31/01/22
			28/03/22-31/03/22
			Spotlighting
Pteropus	Grey-headed Flying-fox	All Year	31/01/22
ponocepnalus			28/03/22-31/03/22





## 4.2.7 Fauna Survey Results

A total of 32 fauna species were detected during the fauna surveys. These were comprised of 11 birds, 17 mammals, one reptile and three amphibians (**Table 12**, also presented in **Appendix E**). The majority of the species detected are common within the locality. Six threatened species were detected:

- Grey-crowned Babbler (*Pomatostomus temporalis temporalis*) Individuals of this species were observed foraging in forested areas in the eastern portion of the Development Site on 31/01/22. Five individuals were observed. A search for nests was conducted and none were found. It was determined that this species is not currently breeding within the site.
- Six Microchiropteran Bat species were detected via Anabat™:
  - Eastern Bent-wing Bat (*Miniopterus orianae oceanensis*)
  - Eastern Coastal Free-tail Bat (*Micronomus norfolkensis*)
  - Little Bent-wing Bat (*Miniopterus australis*)
  - Southern Myotis (*Myotis macropus*)

The above listed species are all insectivorous species that are likely to be utilizing the habitat within the Development Site as foraging habitat. All of the species may roost in tree hollows; however, the Eastern Bent-wing Bat and Little Bent-wing Bat predominately breed/roost in caves. No caves or suitable breeding habitat for these species occurs within or near the Development Site.

Squirrel Glider (*Petaurus norfolcensis*) – The species were detected on six (6) remote cameras across the Development Site (see Figure 15). Foraging habitat occurs throughout the forested areas of the site. The hollow-bearing trees also represent potential breeding habitat for the species.

The Southern Myotis and Squirrel Glider are identified by the BAM as Species Credit Species (further discussed in **Section 6.3.** The other threatened species are identified as Predicted Species for which no further assessment is required.



## Table 12Fauna Survey Results

Common Name	Species Name	Conservation Status
Birds		
Australian Magpie	Gymnorhina tibicen	-
Australian Raven	Corvus coronoides	-
Eastern Rosella	Platycercus eximius	-
Eastern Yellow Robin	Eopsaltria australis	-
Grey-crowned Babbler	Pomatostomus temporalis temporalis	Vulnerable (BC Act)
King Parrot	Alisterus scapularis	-
Laughing Kookaburra	Dacelo novaeguineae	-
Noisy Friarbird	Philemon corniculatus	-
Noisy Miner	Manorina melanocephala	-
White-faced Heron	Egretta novaehollandiae	-
Willy Wagtail	Rhipidura leucophrys	-
Mammals		
Black Rat	Rattus rattus	-
Brown Antechinus	Antechinus stuartii	-
Brush-tailed Possum	Trichosurus vulpecula	-
Chocolate Wattled Bat	Chalinolobus morio	-
Eastern Bent-wing Bat	Miniopterus orianae oceanensis	Vulnerable (BC Act)
Eastern Coastal Free-tail Bat	Micronomus norfolkensis	Vulnerable (BC Act)
Eastern Grey Kangaroo	Macropus giganteus	-
Eastern Horseshoe-bat	Rhinolophus megaphylus	-
Feather-tail Glider	Acrobates pygmaeus	-
Gould's Wattled Bat	Chalinolobus gouldii	-
Little Bent-wing Bat	Miniopterus australis	Vulnerable (BC Act)
Ride's freetail bat	Ozimops ridei	-
Southern Myotis	Myotis macropus	Vulnerable (BC Act)
Squirrel Glider	Petaurus norfolcensis	Vulnerable (BC Act)
Sugar Glider	Petaurus breviceps	-
Unidentified Long-eared Bat	Nyctophilus spp.	-
White-striped Freetail Bat	Austronomus australis	-
Reptiles		
Lace Monitor	Varanus varius	-
Amphibians		
Broad-palmed Frog	Litoria latopalmata	-
Eastern Dwarf Tree Frog	Litoria fallax	
Striped Marsh Frog	Limnodynastes peronii	



## 5 Avoiding and Minimising Impacts

Avoidance and minimisation measures considered for the proposed development are outlined in the following sections.

## 5.1 Impacts on Native Vegetation and Threatened Species Habitat

## Consultation

Habitat Ecologists (Dr. Gilbert Whyte and Dr. Daniel O'Brien) met with Council's Coordinator Natural Environment & Resilience (Will Brown) and Newpro25 representative (Tom Goold) at the Study Area on 23/05/23. A tree retention plan and habitat connectivity strategy was subsequently developed and provided to Council on 06/06/23. To comply with the *Planning for Bush Fire Protection* (NSW Rural Fire Service 2019), a small number of trees that were previously identified for retention will require removal to meet the following minimum APZ requirements:

- Tree canopy cover should be less than 15% at maturity.
- Trees at maturity should not touch or overhang the building.
- Lower limbs should be removed up to a height of 2m above the ground.
- Tree canopies should be separated by 2 to 5m.
- Preference should be given to smooth barked and evergreen trees.

The tree retention plan originally submitted to Council on 06/06/03 (107 trees) has since been modified due to building envelope restrictions, APZ standards and the need to eliminate the need for public water easement in lots (the revised plan was supported by MCC, Will Brown on 06/03/24). The revised tree retention plan also includes proposed tree plantings within the north-eastern corner of the Study Area adjacent to where the installation of Glider Poles is proposed. These plantings will also increase the functionality of the Glider crossing (further discussed below). In response to MCC's (Will Brown) comments, additional trees along the southern boundary, including several hollow-bearing trees, will be retained.

## **Tree Retention Plan**

The retention of 101 healthy trees in key locations are proposed as an avoidance measure to reduce the potential for impacts to biodiversity values (**Figure 12**). Most of the trees earmarked for retention are large, mature trees with large canopies. Trees have been selected in areas adjacent to the southern boundary and at the edges of proposed lots where building envelopes are less likely to encroach into the critical root zones of the trees.

The retention of tall, large trees and hollow-bearing trees was prioritised given their high ecological value, particularly to hollow-dependent fauna such as arboreal mammals, cockatoos and owls. Poor condition trees and areas of shrubby regrowth have not been proposed for retention due to its lower ecological value and to comply with bushfire protection measures. Given that the retention of hollow-bearing trees in isolated locations provides little benefit to arboreal mammals that do not



travel along the ground, numerous connective trees in strategic locations (without hollows) are proposed for retention to provide canopy linkage for gliding arboreal fauna. These trees were selected in consideration of the maximum gliding distances of Squirrel Gliders (according to launch height), which is further discussed below.

Information pertaining to each tree is presented in **Appendix F**, including tree heights, canopy spread and presence of hollows. The Tree ID numbers (as shown in **Appendix F**) corresponds to the trees labelled within **Figure 12** and **Figure 13**. Note that all trees proposed for retention have been tagged in the field with their corresponding tree number. While a GPS was used to record the location of retained trees, the accuracy of the GPS is ±5m. As such, some additional trees may be able to be retained, particularly those along the southern boundary, either side of the proposed extension of Collaroy Parade.

## Habitat Connectivity Strategy

The Squirrel Glider was detected at six locations within the Study Area (further discussed in **Section 6.3**). Research suggests that the home range of a family group of Squirrel Gliders may range from 5 to 15 hectares (van der Ree & Bennett 2003; Sharpe & Goldingay 2007; Goldingay et al. 2010). Squirrel gliders can travel up to 1 km in a night, with the longest reported distance being about 1.9 km (Sharpe & Goldingay 2007). Sharpe & Goldingay (2007) also reported that the average maximum distances moved by female and male Squirrel Gliders within a night were found to be 1,174 m and 1,043 m respectively. Based on this research, Squirrel Gliders are likely to be utilising the Study Area as part of a broader network of habitats within the locality. This is supported given that the vegetation within the Study Area is mainly comprised of regrowth vegetation, which contains a relatively low diversity of shrub species, and is on the periphery of a larger area of higher-quality bushland.

While the proposed development does not impact any significant regional corridors, there is some potential for impacts to smaller local habitat links. Connectivity between Lot 2 (Subject Land) and Lot 1 (smaller patch of retained vegetation to the north) relies on a row of 4 trees adjacent to the dam in the north of Lot 1. Arboreal fauna would need to travel along a row of trees, in sequence, to move between Lot 2 and Lot 1. Remote cameras were deployed within one of these four trees (RC10) and within two nearby trees (RC08 & RC48), however, no Squirrel Gliders were detected. If Squirrel Gliders were to utilise this corridor, it is suspected that they would have been detected on one of these three cameras. Following discussions with the landowner of Lot 1 and inspection of this habitat, almost all vegetation present is the result of tree planting and natural regrowth. Lot 1 contains very few remnant trees and generally lacks hollow-bearing trees. As such, the vegetation in Lot 1 is likely to provide approximately 3 hectares of foraging habitat for the Squirrel Glider and is unlikely to be critical to the survival of the local population.

Due to the sparsity of native vegetation within the Study Area and adjacent landholdings (where recent vegetation has occurred), habitat connectivity to the north (Lot 1) is currently limited.



Distances between canopy trees in the northern eastern portion of the Study Area are greater than the maximum gliding distance of Squirrel Gliders; therefore, supplementary glider poles have been incorporated into the design. The size and positioning of Glider Poles proposed is based on research (Goldingay & Taylor 2009) that shows that the minimum gliding distance of Squirrel Gliders can be calculated based on the following formula:

## (The height of the launch site less 2 meters) multiplied by 1.8.

Based on this formula, 15m high glider poles would allow for a maximum glide distance of 23.4m. Accordingly, three Glider Poles (15m in height) are proposed along the north-eastern boundary, separated by distances of 20 meters (**Figure 13**).

## Revegetation

Revegetation with non-flammable tree and shrub species is proposed along either side on the glider crossing. As the vegetation becomes established this will provide shelter and refuge for Squirrel Gliders that utilise the crossing. Suggested species and densities for planting are detailed in **Table 13**.

Stratum	Species	Recommended Separation within Rows(m)	Total No Plants
Canopy	Pittosporum undulatum (Sweet Pittosporum)	1/10m	10
Canopy	Glochidion ferdinandi (Cheese Tree)	1/10m	10
Canopy	Acacia implexa (Hickory Wattle)	1/10m	10
Canopy	Acmena smithii (Lilly Pilly)	1/10m	10
Shrub	Acacia parvipinnula (Silver-stemmed Wattle)	1/5m	20
Shrub	Acacia dealbata (Silver Wattle)	1/5m	20
Shrub	Exocarpos cupressiformis (Native Cherry)	1/5m	20

## Table 13 Suggested Plantings







## 5.2 Assessment of Impacts

The Project will impact approximately 7.54 ha of native vegetation. The vegetation equates to three vegetation zones, and the future value of each attribute (composition, structure, and function) and the vegetation integrity score for all vegetation zones will be zero (**Table 14**).

Zone	РСТ	Condition class	Impact Area (ha)	Current VI Score	Future VI Score
1	1600	Moderate	4.03	65.8	0
2	1600	Cleared	3.14	11.9	0
3	1598	Moderate	0.37	62.1	0

#### Table 14Impacts on Native Vegetation



## 5.3 Indirect Impacts

The proposed development has the potential for edge effects on the adjoining vegetation. Potential indirect impacts resulting from the development include:

- Increased weed invasion and potential spread or introduction of pathogens from the site to adjacent vegetation.
- Accidental incursions during clearing.
- Reduced viability of adjoining habitats due to increased noise, dust or light spill.

These potential indirect impacts may have an effect on vegetation adjacent to the Study Area. Provided appropriate mitigation measures and management plans are enforced, the proposed development is unlikely to indirectly impact threatened species, ecological communities, and their habitats during construction and operational phases.

## 5.4 Prescribed Biodiversity Impacts

The following are prescribed impacts which need to be considered as per Section 8.3 of the BAM (DPIE 2020a).

# Impacts of the development on the habitat of threatened species or ecological communities associated with significant geological features, human made structure or non-native vegetation.

The habitat within the Development Site for threatened species and ecological communities is not associated with significant geological features, human made structure or non-native vegetation.

Mitigation measures to minimise any indirect impacts to biodiversity values within the Study Area are detailed in **Section 5.4**.

# Impacts of the development on the connectivity of different habitat which facilitates movement of threatened species.

The vegetation within the Study Area occurs in a fragmented state. The predominant surrounding land use is mainly residential development and cleared agricultural land. In a regional context, the Study Area is also located on the periphery of a broader patch of vegetation (located to the south-east) and is unlikely to be used as an important corridor between higher quality areas. A specific row of trees (adjacent to the dam) may provide a narrow link for gliding fauna through the site which may permit Squirrel Glider movements through the property to the north, however, no Gliders were recorded in this area. Despite this, a Connectivity Strategy aims to reinstate a glider corridor along the north-eastern boundary of the property. Glider Poles and revegetation are proposed to link patches of vegetation (rather than scattered trees) ensuring a direct route of passage is achieved. As such, the vegetation proposed to be removed is unlikely to result in the disconnection of any local corridors.



## Impact of the development on movement of threatened species that maintains their life cycle.

As discussed above, the proposed development would have limited impacts on the movement of threatened species in the locality. While a small area of native vegetation is proposed to be removed, movement corridors within the local area would largely be maintained through the connectivity strategy and the retention of a large area of intact native forest to the east (along the vegetated riparian zone).

# Impacts of the development on water quality, bodies and hydrological processes that sustain threatened species or ecological communities.

A constructed dam in the northern portion of the Study Area will be impacted by the project. The dam represents foraging habitat for the Southern Myotis, however, this is not the preferred habitat type for the species (predominately forages over streams). No mapped watercourses occur within the Development Site. Impacts to water quality will be minimised through the implementation of mitigation measures outlined in **Section 5.4**.

## Impact of wind turbine strikes on protected animals.

Not applicable to the current application.

#### Impacts of vehicle strikes on threatened species or on animals that are part of a TEC.

Given the nature of the proposed development, impacts of vehicle strikes on threatened species or animals are unlikely. To minimise potential impacts from increased movement of vehicles during the construction phase appropriate mitigation measures will be enforced, as outlined below in **Section 5.5**.

## 5.5 Mitigation Measures

The measures outlined in **Table 15** are proposed to minimise and avoid potential impacts associated with the proposed development.



## Table 15Mitigation Measures

Impact	Action and Outcome	Responsibility	Timing
Direct impacts			
Clearing of native vegetation	<ul> <li>Avoid and minimise clearing impacts to native vegetation where possible.</li> <li>Clearly delineate the boundaries of the project footprint to prevent any unnecessary clearing beyond its extent. This includes the installation of appropriate fencing along the eastern extent of the Study Area. Fencing should prohibit entry into the retained vegetation area and the minimise indirect impacts during construction such as the movement of dust and rubbish into the forest and wetland.</li> <li>Ensure vehicle and equipment parking areas and stockpile areas are identified and positioned to avoid areas containing ecological value. Stockpiling must not occur within, or in close proximity (5m) to, areas of native vegetation area' should be installed surrounding the area of retained native vegetation and wetlands.</li> <li>Clearly identify and communicate the location of any 'no go zones' in site inductions.</li> <li>Tree protection measures will be implemented to protect retained trees surrounding the Study Area. Tree protection area should consider allowances for Tree Protection Zones in accordance with AS4970 (Standards Australia, 2009).</li> </ul>	Construction site manager	Prior to and during vegetation clearing
Removal of hollow- bearing trees	<ul> <li>Limit removal of habitat trees within the Development Site where possible.</li> <li>A pre-clearing protocol will be implemented during clearing works.</li> <li>Pre-clearance surveys will be undertaken to determine if any fauna are utilizing hollow-bearing trees.</li> <li>A suitably qualified and trained fauna handler will be present during hollow-bearing tree clearing to relocate displaced fauna.</li> </ul>	Construction site manager	Prior to and during vegetation clearing
Impacts to surface and groundwater quality and quantity due to sediment run-	<ul> <li>Source controls such as sediment fences, mulching and jute matting will be utilised where appropriate.</li> <li>Site-based vehicles will carry spill kits.</li> </ul>	Construction site manager	During vegetation clearing, construction and operation



Impact	Action and Outcome	Responsibility	Timing
off and/or contaminant runoff into adjacent watercourses	<ul> <li>Erosion and sediment control will be required for the development in accordance with Managing Urban Stormwater: Soils and Construction (Landcom, 2004) prior to commencement of construction.</li> <li>Limit the use of pesticides in the project footprint where possible to avoid contamination of nearby watercourses/wetland areas.</li> </ul>		
Vehicle collision with fauna	<ul> <li>Speed limits within the Study Area should be limited to 20 km/hr.</li> <li>This limit should be clearly signed at all entry points to site.</li> <li>The Study Area should be separated from vegetated areas throughout the construction and operational phases of the development. This separation should be achieved through physical barriers including fencing and appropriate signage.</li> </ul>	Construction site manager	During construction and operation
Indirect Impacts			
Transfer of weeds and pathogens to and from site	<ul> <li>The fungal pathogens <i>Phytophora cinnamomi</i> and Myrtle Rust (<i>Puccinia psidii</i>) are known to occur in the LGA, however, it is unknown if they occur within the Development Site. These pathogens can have devastating impacts on native plant communities and inhabiting fauna if not properly managed.</li> <li>Appropriate wash down facilities will be available to clean vehicles and equipment prior to arrival on-site and prior to departure.</li> <li>Ensure soil and seed material is not transferred.</li> <li>Weed infestations within the construction footprint are to be identified and mapped prior to construction.</li> </ul>	Construction site manager	During vegetation clearing, construction, and operation
Noise, vibration, lighting, waste and air pollution impacts to adjacent sensitive habitat areas	<ul> <li>Increased human activity (from workers and traffic levels) directly adjacent to sensitive habitat areas may cause disturbance to flora and fauna species in adjoining habitat.</li> <li>Impacts from construction and operational activities, such as disturbance to an animal's normal behavior patterns due to noise, vibration, lighting or dust may cause areas of previously suitable habitat to become sub-optimal and may cause fauna species to vacate areas of previously suitable habitat.</li> <li>Measures to mitigate impacts on flora and fauna from noise, vibration, waste, light and air pollution such as:</li> </ul>	Construction site manager	During construction and operation



Impact		Action and Outcome	Responsibility	Timing
	٠	Enforce 'carry-in, carry-out' policy regarding rubbish and waste materials generated		
		on-site during construction to avoid waste materials entering adjacent vegetation.		
	•	Restriction of public access and associated impacts from domestic pets, waste		
		dumping and damage to adjoining vegetation must be enforced pre, during and		
		post construction.		
	•	Fence sensitive areas to delineate 'no go' zones.		
	•	Levels of lighting within the site will be reduced to a minimal level to reduce any		
		adverse effects upon the essential behavioral patterns of light-sensitive fauna.		
	•	Lighting should comply with Australian Standard AS4282 (INT) 1997 – Control of		
		Obtrusive Effects of Outdoor Lighting.		
	•	Noise minimisation practices in accordance with DPIE recommendations.		
	•	Dust control measures such as covering loads where required; amending		
		operations under excessive wind conditions including ceasing operations if		
		required; use of water tankers as required, to control dust; rehabilitation through		
		vegetation of surfaces to be left unsealed; and, truck wheel washes or other dust		
		removal measures.		



## 6 Impact Summary

## 6.1 Serious and Irreversible Impacts

No species at risk of Serious and Irreversible Impacts (SAIIs) are to be impacted as a result of the proposed development. As such no SAIIs assessments were completed in accordance with Section 9.1 of the BAM (DPIE 2020a).

## 6.2 Impacts on Native Vegetation

This section provides an assessment of the impacts requiring offsetting in accordance with Section 10.1 of the BAM (DPIE 2020a).

The proposed development will result in the clearing of 7.54 ha of native vegetation (PCT 1600 and PCT 1598). In accordance with the BAM (Section 9.2.1) assessors must determine an offset for all impacts of proposals on PCTs that are associated with a vegetation zone that has a vegetation integrity score of:

- ≥15, where the PCT is representative of an EEC or a CEEC.
- ≥17, where the PCT is associated with threatened species habitat (as represented by ecosystem credits) or represents a vulnerable ecological community.
- ≥20, where the PCT does not represent a TEC and is not associated with threatened species habitat.

A summary of the impacts on native vegetation and the required ecosystem credits is provided in **Table 16**.

Zone	PCT	Condition class	HBT presence	Impact Area (ha)	Current VI Score	Future VI Score	Credits Required
1	1600	Moderate	Yes	4.03	65.8	0	133
2	1600	Cleared	No	3.14	11.9	0	0
3	1598	Moderate	No	0.37	62.1	0	11

## Table 16 Ecosystem Credit Requirements



## 6.3 Impacts on Threatened Species

The proposed development will result in the removal of habitat for two Species Credit Species:

- Southern Myotis (*Myotis macropus*) detected via Anabat™
- Squirrel Glider (*Petaurus norfolcensis*) detected via remote camera

The Southern Myotis was identified via Anabat recording in the northern portion of the Development Site. The habitat polygon for the species was defined as all vegetation zones for PCTs which the species is associated with under the *Threatened Biodiversity Data Collection* that occur within 200 m of the foraging habitat (constructed dam within the site and those located within neighbouring properties) as shown in **Figure 14**.

The Squirrel Glider was detected via remote camera in six locations in the Development Site. The species polygon was defined as all vegetation zones containing suitable habitat (forested areas containing Eucalypt and Acacia species and hollow-bearing trees) as shown in **Figure 15**. Vegetation Zone 2 was excluded given that this habitat is considered to be unsuitable for the species.

A summary of species credit requirements for impacts to each of these species is presented respectively in **Table 17** and **Table 18**. The Biodiversity Credit Report (Like-for-like and Variations) detailing credit retirement options is provided in **Appendix C** 

Zone	PCT	Condition class	Impact Area (ha)	Current Habitat Score	Future Habitat Score	Credits Required
1	1600	Moderate	3.5	65.8	0	115
2	1600	Cleared	2.8	11.9	0	17
3	1598	Moderate	0.37	62.1	0	11
					Total	143

## Table 17 Southern Myotis Credit Requirements

Table 18

**Squirrel Glider Species Credit Requirements** 

Zone	РСТ	Condition class	Impact Area (ha)	Current VI Score	Future VI Score	Credits Required
1	1600	Moderate	4.03	65.8	0	133
3	1598	Moderate	0.37	62.1	0	11
					Total	144







## 7 Legislative Review

## 7.1 Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999

The EPBC Act requires that developments or undertakings that are likely to have a significant impact on MNES be referred for a determination as to whether they are a controlled action that requires approval under the EPBC Act.

Of the nine MNES listed under the Act, the one (1) MNES considered relevant to the Study Area was potential impacts on marginal habitat for listed threatened species and ecological communities. Impacts to EPBC listed species and ecological communities are likely to be negligible; therefore, referral to the Commonwealth Minister for the Environment is not recommended.

## 7.2 Biosecurity Act

A total of 31 exotic plant species were detected within the Study Area. Five of these species are priority weed species listed under the NSW *Biosecurity Act 2015*:

- Chrysanthemoides monolifera (Bitou Bush)
- Lantana camara (Lantana)
- Olea europaea subsp. cuspidata (African Olive)
- *Opuntia aurantiaca* (Tiger Pear)
- Opuntia stricta (Common Prickly Pear)

Mitigation measures are presented previously in **Table 15** to reduce the potential for spread of these species during the construction phase.

## 7.3 Koala Habitat Protection

The Study Area is located within the Maitland City Council LGA, which is listed within Schedule 1 of Chapter 4 (Koala Habitat Protection 2021) of the Biodiversity and Conservation SEPP (2021). The Koala Habitat Protection SEPP 2021 was therefore deemed applicable for the Study Area. As such, an assessment of Koala habitat suitability was conducted in accordance with the SEPP including the determination of Highly Suitable Koala Habitat and records of Koalas. These are defined as the following:

Highly Suitable Koala Habitat – Where 15% or greater of the total number of trees within any PCT are the regionally relevant species of those listed in Schedule 2 of the Koala SEPP 2021, the site meets the definition of highly suitable Koala habitat

Core Koala Habitat – is defined as:

• An area of land which has been assessed by a suitably qualified and experienced person as being Highly Suitable Koala Habitat and where Koalas are recorded as being present at the time of assessment of the land as highly suitable Koala habitat, or



 An area of land which has been assessed by a suitably qualified and experienced person as being Highly Suitable Koala Habitat and where Koalas have been recorded as within 2.5kms during the previous 18 years. Historical koala occupation of the site area is determined by considering Koala records within the last 18 years

As such, an assessment of 'Highly Suitable Koala Habitat' and 'Core Koala Habitat' under the Koala SEPP 2021 is detailed below.

## Presence of Highly Suitable Koala Habitat

The following Koala use tree species (Central Coast Koala Management Area) were detected within the Development Site:

- Casuarina glauca (Swamp Oak)
- *Corymbia gummifera* (Red Bloodwood)
- Corymbia maculata (Spotted Gum)
- Eucalyptus acmenoides (Red Mahogany)
- *Eucalyptus capitellata* (Brown Stringybark)
- Eucalyptus crebra (Narrow-leaved Ironbark)
- Eucalyptus fibrosa (Broad-leaved Ironbark)

- Eucalyptus grandis (Flooded Gum)
- Eucalyptus longifolia (Woolybutt)
- Eucalyptus moluccana (Grey Box)
- Eucalyptus paniculata (Grey Ironbark)
- Eucalyptus tereticornis (Forest Red Gum)
- *Melaleuca quinquenervia* (Broad-leaved Paperbark)

In Vegetation Zones 1 and 3, some of the above listed species constitute over 15% of the total number of trees. As such, these Vegetation Zones constitute "Highly Suitable Koala Habitat" under the Koala SEPP 2021.

#### **Presence of Core Koala Habitat**

No Koalas, or evidence of Koalas, were recorded within the Development Site during the site assessment. Two records of Koalas (2017 & 2000) occur within 2.5 km of the Study Area; however, the record from 2017 has an accuracy of 1,320 m (BioNet records with a locational accuracy of more than 1,000 m are not to be considered under the SEPP) and the record from 2017 is greater than 18 years ago. As such, the vegetation does not meet the definition of 'Core Koala Habitat' under the Koala SEPP 2021.

#### Impact Assessment

The vegetation within the Study Area occurs in a highly modified and fragmented state. More intact areas of bushland occur to the east. It is likely that a resident population of Koalas would utilise the habitat within the Study Area intermittently as part of a broader network of habitats within locality. Based on the above, the proposed development is unlikely to cause a significant impact to the Koala.



## 8 References

Department of Environment and Climate Change (DECC) (2002). Descriptions for NSW (Mitchell) Landscapes, Version 2. Based on descriptions compiled by Dr. Peter Mitchell.

Department of the Environment and Energy (2020). Light Pollution Guidelines National Light Pollution Guidelines for Wildlife Including marine turtles, seabirds and migratory shorebirds.

Department of Planning, Industry and Environment (DPIE) (2018). Coastal Wetlands and Littoral Rainforest Area Map. Published by the Environment, Energy and Science, Department of Planning, Industry and Environment, Parramatta, NSW. Available at: https://webmap.environment.nsw.gov.au/PlanningHtml5Viewer/?viewer=SEPP\_CoastalManageme nt

Department of Planning, Industry and Environment (DPIE) (2020a). Biodiversity Assessment Method. Published by the Environment, Energy and Science, Department of Planning, Industry and Environment, Parramatta, NSW.

Department of Planning, Industry and Environment (DPIE) (2020b). Surveying threatened plants and their habitats - NSW survey guide for the Biodiversity Assessment Method. Published by Environment, Energy and Science, Department of Planning, Industry and Environment, Parramatta, NSW.

Department of Planning and Environment (DPE) (2023a). BioNet Atlas of NSW. Available at: http://www.bionet.nsw.gov.au/

Department of Planning and Environment (DPE) (2023b). BioNet Vegetation Classification. Available at: https://www.environment.nsw.gov.au/research/Visclassification.htm

Department of Planning and Environment (DPE) (2023c). BioNet Threatened Biodiversity Data Collection. Available at: https://www.environment.nsw.gov.au/threatenedSpeciesApp/

Department of Planning and Environment (DPE) (2023d). Threatened Biodiversity Profile Search. Available at: https://www.environment.nsw.gov.au/threatenedspeciesapp/

Department of Planning and Environment (DPE) (2023e). NSW Threatened Species ScientificCommittee-FinalDeterminations.Availableat:https://www.environment.nsw.gov.au/topics/animals-and-plants/threatened-species/nsw-threatened-species-scientific-committee/determinations/final-determinations

Department of Planning and Environment (DPE) (2023f). Biodiversity Assessment Method – Important Area Mapping. Available at: https://webmap.environment.nsw.gov.au/Html5Viewer291/index.html?viewer=BAM\_ImportantAr eas



Department of Spatial Services (DSS) Six Maps Available at: https://maps.six.nsw.gov.au/

Goldingay, R. L., & Taylor, B. D. (2009). Gliding performance and its relevance to gap crossing by the squirrel glider (*Petaurus norfolcensis*). Australian Journal of Zoology, 57(2), 99-104.

Harden, G.J. (ed.) (1992). Flora of New South Wales, Volume 3, NSW University Press, Sydney.

Harden, G.J. (ed.) (1993). Flora of New South Wales, Volume 4, NSW University Press, Sydney.

Harden, G.J. (ed) (2000). Flora of New South Wales, Volume 1, NSW University Press, Sydney.

Harden, G.J. (ed.) (2002). Flora of New South Wales, Volume 2, NSW University Press, Sydney.

Landcom (2004). Managing Urban Stormwater: Soils and Construction. 4th edition, NSW Government, March 2004.

Mathei, L.E., 1995, Soil Landscapes of the Newcastle 1:100,000 Sheet, NSW Department of Land and Water Conservation, Sydney.

National Parks and Wildlife Service (2003). Lower Hunter Central Coast Region Environmental Management Strategy (LHCCREMS) - 2003 Lower Hunter and Central Coast Vegetation Community Map. National Parks and Wildlife Service.

# Appendix A – Threatened Species Database Search

A list of threatened species, populations and ecological communities that have been reported or modelled to occur from within a five-kilometre radius of the Study Area was obtained from the DPIE BioNet Atlas: (http://www.bionet.nsw.gov.au/).

The table below summarises the likelihood of threatened species occurring within the Study Area based on the habitat requirements of each species.

Definition of the likelihood of occurrence criteria are as follows:

- Known species identified within the site during surveys;
- High species known from the area (DPIE BioNet Atlas records), suitable habitat (such as roosting and foraging habitat) present within the site;
- Moderate species may be known from the area, potential habitat is present within the site;
- Low species not known from the area and/or marginal habitat is present within the site; and
- Nil habitat requirements not met for this species within the site



Species	Status		Bionet			Summon
	BC	EPBC	Records	Habitat	LOU	Summary
Acacia bynoeana	E1	V	1	The species is endemic to central eastern NSW, currently known from only 30 locations, many of only 1-5 plants. Grows mainly in heath/ dry sclerophyll forest on sandy soils, prefers open, sometimes slightly disturbed sites such as trail	Low	No suitable habitat within the Subject Site. Few records within the locality.
Bynoe's Wattle				margins, road edges, and in recently burnt open patches.		Not recorded during site assessment.
Eucalyptus parramattensis subsp. decadens	V	V	17	Generally occupies deep, low-nutrient sands, often those subject to periodic inundation or where water tables are relatively high. It occurs in dry sclerophyll woodland with dry heath understorey. It also occurs as an emergent in dry or wet heathland. Often where this species occurs, it is a community dominant.	Low	No suitable habitat within the Subject Site. Not recorded during site assessment.
Grevillea parviflora subsp. parviflora	V	V	2	The species distribution is between Moss Vale/Bargo and the lower Hunter Valley, with most occurrences in Appin, Wedderburn, Picton and Bargo. The habitat for the species is broad including heath, shrubby woodland and open forest on light clay or sandy soils, and often in disturbed areas such as on the fringes of tracks.	Low	Habitat is considered to be too degraded. Few records within the locality.
Small-flower Grevillea				·		during site assessment.
Pterostylis chaetophora	E1,P,2 E	F	1	Recorded in Queensland and NSW. In NSW it is currently known from 18 scattered locations in a relatively small area between Taree and Kurri Kurri, extending to the south-east towards Tea Gardens and west into the Upper Hunter, with additional records near Denman and Wingen. There are also isolated records from the Sydney region. The species occurs in two conservation reserves, Columbey National Park and Wingen Maid Nature Reserve. The preferred habitat is seasonally moist, dry sclerophyll forest with a grass and shrub understorey.	Low	Habitat is considered to be too degraded. Few records within the locality.
		E				Not recorded during site assessment.
Rhodomyrtus psidioides	E4A	-	2	Occurs from Broken Bay, approximately 90 km north of Sydney, New South Wales, to Maryborough in Queensland. Populations are typically restricted to coastal and sub-coastal areas of low elevation however the species does occur up to c. 120 km inland in the Hunter and Clarence River catchments and along	Nil	No suitable habitat within the Subject Site. Few records within the locality.

- Species	Status		Bionet_			Summony
Species	BC	EPBC	Records	Habitat	L00	Summary
Native Guava				the Border Ranges in NSW. Pioneer species found in littoral, warm temperate and subtropical rainforest and wet sclerophyll forest often near creeks and drainage lines.		Not recorded during site assessment.
Tetratheca juncea	V	V	17	Regarded as extinct within the Sydney area, current range from Wyong north to Bulahdelah and inland 50km to edge of Sugarloaf Range. Occurs predominately in areas of over 1000 mm annual rainfall, within dry sclerophyll forest, and sometimes heath and moist forest, with a preference for Coastal Plains Smooth-barked Apple Woodland and Coastal Plains Scribbly Gum Woodland.	Low	Habitat is considered to be too degraded. Few records within the locality.
Black-eyed Susan						Not recorded during site assessment.
Anseranas semipalmata	V,P	',P	1	The Magpie Goose is still relatively common in the Australian northern tropics, but had disappeared from south-east Australia by 1920 due to drainage and overgrazing of reed swamps used for breeding. Since the 1980s there have been an increasing number of records in central and northern NSW. Vagrants can follow food sources to south-eastern NSW. Mainly found in shallow wetlands (less than 1 m deep) with dense growth of rushes or sedges. Equally at home in aquatic or terrestrial habitats; often seen walking and grazing on land; feeds on grasses, bulbs and rhizomes.	Low	Foraging habitat available in constructed dam, but few records in the locality.
Magpie Goose						Not recorded during site assessment.
Artamus cyanopterus cyanopterus				Primarily inhabit dry, open eucalypt forests and woodlands, including mallee associations, with an open or sparse understorey of eucalypt saplings, acacias	Low	Foraging habitat available, few records in the locality.
Dusky Woodswallow	V,P	-	2	and other shrubs, and ground-cover of grasses or sedges and fallen woody debris. It has also been recorded in shrublands, heathlands and very occasionally in moist forest or rainforest. Also found in farmland, usually at the edges of forest or woodland.		Not recorded during site assessment.
Calyptorhynchus lathami	V,P,2	-	2	Widespread but uncommon from coast to southern tablelands and central western plains. Feeds almost exclusively on the seeds of Allocasuarina species. Prefers woodland and open forests, rarely away from Allocasuarina. Roost in leafy canopy trees, preferably eucalypts, usually <1 km from feeding	Low	Foraging habitat available, few records in the locality.

Species -	Status		Bionet	Hobitot		Summony				
	BC	EPBC	Records	Πάβιται	100	Summary				
Glossy Black-Cockatoo				site. Nests in large (approx. 20 cm) hollows in trees, stumps or limbs, usually in Eucalypts.		Not recorded during site assessment.				
Chthonicola sagittata	V,P -	-	2	Within NSW most frequently reported from the hills and tablelands of the Great Dividing Range, rarely from the coast. The species inhabits a wide range of Eucalypt-dominated communities with a grassy understorey, a sparse shrub layer, often on rocky ridges or in gullies. Sedentary and requires large, relatively undisturbed remnants to persist in an area. Forages on the ground for seeds and insects, and nests in a slight hollow in the ground or at the base of low dense plants.	Low	Foraging habitat available, few records in the locality.				
Speckled Warbler						Not recorded during site assessment.				
Circus assimilis	V,P	-	3	The Spotted Harrier occurs throughout the Australian mainland, except in densly forested or wooded habitats of the coast, escarpment and ranges, and rarely in Tasmania. Individuals disperse widely in NSW and comprise a single population.Occurs in grassy open woodland including Acacia and mallee remnants, inland riparian woodland, grassland and shrub steppe. It is found most commonly in native grassland, but also occurs in agricultural land, foraging over open habitats including edges of inland wetlands.	Low	Foraging habitat available, few records in the locality.				
Spotted Harrier						Not recorded during site assessment.				
Climacteris picumnus victoriae	V,P	-	1	Small grey-brown bird with black streaking on the lower breast/belly and black bars on the undertail. Inhabits Box-Gum woodlands and dry open forest of inland slopes and plains. Preferred woodlands dominant by stringybarks or other rough-barked eucalypts. Forages in trees and on the ground. Endemic to eastern Australia, occurring from the coast to inland plains and western slopes of the great dividing range. Nests in tree or stump hollows greater than 6cm.	Low	Foraging habitat available, few records in the locality.				
Brown Treecreeper (eastern subspecies)						Not recorded during site assessment.				
Onesias	Status		Status		Status		Bionet	Habitar	10	0
----------------------------	--------	------	--	--	---	--	--------	---------	----	---
Species	BC	EPBC	Records	Habitat	LOU	Summary				
Daphoenositta chrysoptera	V,P	-	4	Sedentary, occurs across NSW from the coast to the far west. Inhabits eucalypt forests and woodlands, especially rough-barked species and mature smooth-barked gums with dead branches, mallee and Acacia woodland. Sensitive to habitat isolation and loss of structural complexity, and adversely affected by dominance of Noisy Miners. Cleared agricultural land is potentially a barrier to movement. Builds a cup-shaped pest of plant fibres and cohvebs	Low	Foraging habitat available, few records in the locality.				
Varied Sittella				in an upright tree fork high in the living tree canopy, and often re-uses the same fork or tree in successive years.		Not recorded during site assessment.				
Ephippiorhynchus asiaticus	E1,P	-	<ul> <li>Primarily inhabits permanent freshwater wetlands and surrounding vegetation including swamps, floodplains, watercourses and billabongs, freshwater meadows, wet heathland, farm dams and shallow floodwaters. Will also forage in inter-tidal shorelines, mangrove margins and estuaries. Feeds in shallow</li> </ul>	Low	Foraging habitat available, few records in the locality.					
Black-necked Stork				still water. This species breeds during summer, nesting in or near a freshwater swamp.		Not recorded during site assessment.				
Falco subniger				The Black Falcon is widely, but sparsely, distributed in New South Wales, mostly occurring in inland regions. Some reports of 'Black Falcons' on the tablelands and coast of New South Wales are likely to be referable to the		Foraging habitat available, few records in the				
	V	-	2	Brown Falcon. In New South Wales there is assumed to be a single population that is continuous with a broader continental population given that falcons are	Low	locality.				
Black Falcon				highly mobile, commonly travelling hundreds of kilometres (Marchant & Higgins 1993). The Black Falcon occurs as solitary individuals, in pairs, or in family groups of parents and offspring.		Not recorded during site assessment.				
Glossopsitta pusilla	V,P	-	13	The species occurs from the coast to western slopes of the Great Dividing Range and inhabits dry, open eucalypt forests and woodlands. Occurrence is positively associated with patch size, and with components of habitat complexity including canopy cover, shrub cover, ground cover, logs, fallen branches and litter. Feed primarily on profusely-flowering eucalypts and a variety of other species including melaleucas and mistletoes. On the western	Moderate	Broadly suitable habitat within the Subject Site. Records within the locality.				
Little Lorikeet	¥ j1 —			slopes and tablelands <i>Eucalyptus albens and E. melliodora</i> are particularly important food sources for pollen and nectar respectively. Mostly nests in small (opening approx. 3cm) hollows in living, smooth-barked eucalypts, especially <i>Eucalyptus viminalis</i> , <i>E. blakelyi</i> and <i>E. dealbata</i> . Most breeding records are from the western slopes.		Not recorded during site assessment.				

Orașina	Status		Bionet			0
Species	BC	EPBC	Records	Πάβιτατ	LOU	Summary
Haliaeetus leucogaster White-bellied Sea-Eagle	V,P	-	18	The White-bellied Sea-Eagle is found in coastal habitats (especially those close to the sea-shore) and around terrestrial wetlands in tropical and temperate regions of mainland Australia and its offshore islands. Feed mainly on fish and freshwater turtles, but also waterbirds, reptiles, mammals and carrion. Breeding habitat consists of mature tall open forest, open forest, tall woodland, and swamp sclerophyll forest close to foraging habitat. Nest trees are typically large emergent eucalypts and often have emergent dead branches or large dead trees nearby which are used as 'guard roosts'. Nests are large structures built from sticks and lined with leaves or grass.	Low	No suitable habitat Not recorded during site assessment.
Hieraaetus morphnoides	V,P	-	1	Occurs throughout NSW except most densely forested parts of the Dividing Range escarpment. Occupies habitats rich in prey within open eucalypt forest, woodland or open woodland. Sheoak or acacia woodlands and riparian woodlands of interior NSW are also used. For nest sites it requires a tall living	Low	Foraging habitat available, few records in the locality.
Little Eagle				tree within a remnant patch, where pairs build a large stick nest in winter and lay in early spring.		Not recorded during site assessment.
Irediparra gallinacea	V,P	_	3	Occurs on freshwater wetlands in northern and eastern Australia, mainly in coastal and subcoastal regions, from the north-eastern Kimberley Division of Western Australia to Cape York Peninsula then south along the east coast to the Hunter region of NSW. Inhabit permanent freshwater wetlands, either still	Nil	No suitable habitat within the Subject Site. One record within the locality.
Comb-crested Jacana				or slow-flowing, with a good surface cover of floating vegetation, especially water-lilies, or fringing and aquatic vegetation.		Not recorded during site assessment.
Lathamus discolor	E1,P,3	CE	4	A migratory species that travels to the mainland from March to October, the species breeds in Tasmania from September to January. Principal over-winter habitat is box-ironbark communities on the inland slopes and plains. <i>Eucalyptus robusta, Corymbia maculata</i> and <i>C. gummifera</i> dominated coastal	Low	Foraging habitat available, few records in the locality. <b>Not recorded</b>
Swift Parrot				forests are also important habitat.		during site assessment.
Lophoictinia isura	V,P,3	-	4	Found in a variety of timbered habitats including dry woodlands and open forests. Shows a particular preference for timbered watercourses. In arid north-western NSW, has been observed in stony country with a ground cover of	Nil	No suitable habitat within the Subject Site. Few records within the locality.

Orrestor	Sta	atus	Bionet	Bionet		0
Species	BC	EPBC	Records	Habitat	LOU	Summary
Square-tailed Kite				chenopods and grasses, open acacia scrub and patches of low open eucalypt woodland. Breeding is from July to February.		Not recorded during site assessment.
Neophema pulchella				The Turquoise Parrot's range extends from southern Queensland through to		Foraging habitat available, few records in the locality.
Turquoise Parrot	V,P,3	-	1	northern Victoria, from the coastal plains to the western slopes of the Great Dividing Range.Lives on the edges of eucalypt woodland adjoining clearings, timbered ridges and creeks in farmland.	Low	Not recorded during site assessment.
Ninox connivens	V,P,3	-	2	Occurs from coast to inland slopes and plains, though is rare in dense, wet forests east of the Great Dividing Range and sparse in higher parts of the tablelands and in the arid zone. Inhabits eucalypt woodlands, open forest, swamp woodlands, and, especially in inland areas, timber along watercourses. Roosts along creek lines in dense, tall understorey foliage (e.g. in Acacia and Casuarina), or dense eucalypt canopy. Nests in hollows of large old eucalypts	Low	Foraging habitat available, few records in the locality.
Barking Owl				including Eucalyptus camaldulensis, Eucalyptus albens, Eucalyptus polyanthemos and Eucalyptus blakelyi. Birds and mammals important prey during breeding. Territories range from 30 to 200 hectares.		Not recorded during site assessment.
Ninox strenua	V,P,3	-	8	The Powerful Owl inhabits a range of vegetation types, from woodland and open sclerophyll forest to tall open wet forest and rainforest. The Powerful Owl requires large tracts of forest or woodland habitat but can occur in fragmented landscapes as well. The species breeds and hunts in open or closed sclerophyll forest or woodlands and occasionally hunts in open habitats. It roosts by day in dense vegetation comprising species such as Turpentine <i>Syncarpia glomulifera</i> , Black She-oak <i>Allocasuarina littoralis</i> , Blackwood	Low	Broadly suitable foraging habitat within the Subject Site. No suitable nesting Habitat within the Subject Site. Records within the locality.
Powerful Owl				Acacia melanoxylon, Rough-barked Apple Angophora floribunda, Cherry Ballart Exocarpus cupressiformis and a number of eucalypt species.		Not recorded during site assessment.

Oracias	Status		Status		Bionet			<b>C</b>
Species	BC	EPBC	Records	Παριτάτ	LOU	Summary		
Oxyura australis				The Blue-billed Duck is endemic to south-eastern and south-western Australia. It is widespread in NSW, but most common in the southern Murray-Darling Basin area. Birds disperse during the breeding season to deep swamps up to		No suitable habitat within the Subject Site. No records within the locality.		
Blue-billed Duck	V,P		1	seen in coastal areas. The Blue-billed Duck prefers deep water in large permanent wetlands and swamps with dense aquatic vegetation. The species is completely aquatic, swimming low in the water along the edge of dense cover. It will fly if disturbed, but prefers to dive if approached.		Not recorded during site assessment.		
Petroica boodang				The Scarlet Robin is found from south east Queensland to south east South Australia and also in Tasmania and south west Western Australia. In NSW, it occurs from the coast to the inland slopes. After breeding, some Scarlet Robins disperse to the lower valleys and plains of the tablelands and slopes. Some		No suitable habitat within the Subject Site. No records within the locality.		
Scarlet Robin	V,P		1	birds may appear as far west as the eastern edges of the inland plains in autumn and winter. The Scarlet Robin lives in dry eucalypt forests and woodlands. The understorey is usually open and grassy with few scattered shrubs. This species lives in both mature and regrowth vegetation. It occasionally occurs in mallee or wet forest communities, or in wetlands and tea-tree swamps. Scarlet Robin habitat usually contains abundant logs and fallen timber: these are important components of its habitat. The Scarlet Robin breeds on ridges, hills and foothills of the western slopes, the Great Dividing Range and eastern coastal regions; this species is occasionally found up to 1000 metres in altitude.	Nil	Not recorded during site assessment.		
Pandion cristatus	V,P,3	-	2	Favour coastal areas, especially the mouths of large rivers, lagoons and lakes. Feed on fish over clear, open water. Breed from July to September in NSW. Nests are made high up in dead trees or in dead crowns of live trees, usually within one kilometre of the sea.	Low	No suitable nesting habitat within the Subject Site. Records within the locality.		

Species	Sta	Status		Status Bionet		Habitat		Summary
Species	BC	EPBC	Records	Παμιται	LUU	Summary		
Eastern Osprey						Not recorded during site assessment.		
Pomatostomus temporalis temporalis				The Grey-crowned Babbler has two distinctive subspecies that intergrade to the south of the Gulf of Carpentaria. West of here the subspecies rubeculus, formerly considered a separate species (Red-breasted Babbler) is still widespread and compare The contern subspecies (temperaties accurate from		Suitable habitat within the Subject Site.		
Grey-crowned Babbler (eastern subspecies)	V,P	-	31	Cape York south through Queensland, NSW and Victoria and formerly to the south east of South Australia. Inhabits open Box-Gum Woodlands on the slopes, and Box-Cypress-pine and open Box Woodlands on alluvial plains. Woodlands on fertile soils in coastal regions.		Detected during site assessment.		
Rostratula australis	E1,P	E	1	Normally found in permanent or ephemeral shallow inland wetlands, either freshwater or brackish. The species nests on the ground amongst tall reed- like vegetation near water. Habitat for the species includes the fringes of	Nil	No suitable habitat within the Subject Site. No records within the locality.		
Australian Painted Snipe	De			swamps, dams and nearby marshy areas with cover of grasses, lignum, low scrub or open timber.		Not recorded during site assessment.		
Sternula albifrons	F1 P	C.I.K	1	Migrating from eastern Asia, the Little Tern is found on the north, east and south-east Australian coasts, from Shark Bay in Western Australia to the Gulf of St Vincent in South Australia. In NSW, it arrives from September to November, occurring mainly north of Sydney, with smaller numbers found south to Victoria. It breeds in spring and summer along the entire east coast	Nii	No suitable habitat within the Subject Site. No records within the locality.		
Little Tern		0,0,11	·	from Tasmania to northern Queensland, and is seen until May, with only occasional birds seen in winter months. Almost exclusively coastal, preferring sheltered environments; however may occur several kilometres from the sea in harbours, inlets and rivers (with occasional offshore islands or coral cay records).		Not recorded during site assessment.		
Stictonetta naevosa	V,P		14	The Freckled Duck is found primarily in south-eastern and south-western Australia, occurring as a vagrant elsewhere. It breeds in large temporary swamps created by floods in the Bulloo and Lake Eyre basins and the Murray- Darling system, particularly along the Paroo and Lachlan Rivers, and other rivers within the Riverina. The duck is forced to disperse during extensive	Nil	No suitable habitat within the Subject Site. No records within the locality.		

Creation	Sta	Status Bionet		Unkited		<b>C</b>
Species	BC	EPBC	Records	Habitat	LOU	Summary
Freckled Duck				inland droughts when wetlands in the Murray River basin provide important habitat. The species may also occur as far as coastal NSW and Victoria during such times. Prefer permanent freshwater swamps and creeks with heavy growth of Cumbungi, Lignum or Tea-tree. During drier times they move from ephemeral breeding swamps to more permanent waters such as lakes, reservoirs, farm dams and sewage ponds.		Not recorded during site assessment.
Tyto novaehollandiae	V,P,3	-	2	Occurs across NSW except NW corner. Most common on the coast. Inhabits dry eucalypt woodlands from sea level to 1100 m. Roosts and breeds in large (>40cm) hollows and sometime caves in moist eucalypt forested gullies. Hunts along the edges of forests and roadsides. Home range between 500 ha and 1000 ha. Prey mostly terrestrial mammals but arboreal species may also be taken.	Low	Broadly suitable foraging habitat within the Subject Site. Few records within the locality.
Masked Owl						Not recorded during site assessment.
Tyto tenebricosa				Occurs in the coastal, escarpment and tablelands regions of NSW. More common in the north and absent from the western tablelands and further west. Inhabits tall, moist eucalypt forests and rainforests, and are strongly		No suitable habitat within Subject Site.
V,P,3 - Sooty Owl		-	1	associated with sheltered gullies, particularly those with tall rainforest understorey. Roosts in tree hollows, amongst dense foliage in gullies or in caves, recesses or ledges of cliffs or banks. Nest in large (>40cm wide, 100cm deep) tree hollows in unlogged/unburnt gullies within 100m of streams or in caves.		Not recorded during site assessment.
Chalinolobus dwyeri	V,P	V	2	The species occurs from the coast to the western slopes of the divide. The largest numbers of records are from sandstone escarpment country in the Sydney Basin and Hunter Valley. The species roosts in caves and mines and	Present	Suitable foraging habitat present. No breeding habitat

Oracias	Sta	Status		Status		Hebiter		Summary
Species	BC	EPBC	Records	Habitat	LOU	Summary		
Large-eared Pied Bat				most commonly recorded from dry sclerophyll forests and woodlands. In southern Sydney appears to be largely restricted to the interface between sandstone escarpments and fertile valleys.		Recorded during site assessment (Anabat)		
Falsistrellus tasmaniensis Eastern False Pipistrelle	V,P	-	17	The species occurs on southeast coast and ranges. Prefers tall (>20m) and wet forest with dense understorey. Absent from small remnants, preferring continuous forest but can move through cleared landscapes and may forage in open areas. Roosts include hollow trunks of Eucalypts, underneath bark or in buildings. Forages in gaps and spaces within forest, with large foraging range (12km foraging movements recorded).	Low	Foraging habitat only. No breeding habitat. Not recorded during site assessment.		
Micronomus norfolkensis				The Eastern Freetail-bat is found along the east coast from south Queensland		Suitable foraging habitat present. No breeding habitat		
Eastern Coastal Free- tailed Bat	V,P	-	29	to southern NSW. Occur in dry sclerophyll forest, woodland, swamp forests and mangrove forests east of the Great Dividing Range. Roost maily in tree hollows but will also roost under bark or in man-made structures.	Present	Recorded during site assessment (Anabat)		
Miniopterus australis				East coast and ranges of Australia from Cape York in Queensland to Wollongong in NSW. Moist eucalypt forest, rainforest, vine thicket, wet and dry sclerophyll forest. Melaleuca swamps, dense coastal forests, and banksia		Suitable foraging habitat present. No breeding habitat		
V,P		-	60	scrub. Generally found in well-timbered areas. Little Bentwing-bats roost in caves, tunnels, tree hollows, abandoned mines, stormwater drains, culverts, bridges and sometimes buildings during the day, and at night forage for small insects beneath the canopy of densely vegetated habitats.		Recorded during site assessment (Anabat)		
Miniopterus orianae oceanensis	V,P	-	35	Eastern Bentwing-bats occur along the east and north-west coasts of Australia. Caves are the primary roosting habitat, but also use derelict mines, storm-water tunnels, buildings and other man-made structures.	Moderate	Foraging habitat only. No breeding habitat.		

Presion	Sta	Status Bione		Status		Unkited		Summony
Species	BC	EPBC	Records	Παριτατ	LOO	Summary		
Large Bent-winged Bat			_			Not recorded during site assessment.		
Myotis macropus				The Southern Myotis is found in the coastal band from the north-west of Australia, across the top-end and south to western Victoria. It is rarely found more than 100 km inland, except along major rivers. Generally roost in groups		Suitable habitat present.		
Southern Myotis	V,P	-	24	of 10 - 15 close to water in caves, mine shafts, hollow-bearing trees, storm water channels, buildings, under bridges and in dense foliage. Forage over streams and pools catching insects and small fish by raking their feet across the water surface.	Present	Recorded during site assessment (Anabat).		
Petaurus norfolcensis				The species is widely though sparsely distributed in eastern Australia, from		Suitable habitat		
Squirrel Glider	V,P	0	17	Box-Ironbark woodlands and River Red Gum forest west of the Great Dividing Range and Blackbutt-Bloodwood forest with heath understorey in coastal areas. Prefers mixed species stands with a shrub or Acacia midstorey.	Present	Recorded during site assessment (Remote Camera).		
Phascolarctos cinereus Koala	E2,V,P	V	2	Fragmented distribution throughout eastern Australia from north-east Queensland to the Eyre Peninsula in South Australia. In NSW it mainly occurs on the central and north coasts with some populations in the west of the Great Dividing Range. Inhabit eucalypt woodlands and forests feeding on the foliage of more than 70 eucalypt species and 30 non-eucalypt species, but in any one area will select preferred browse species.	Low	Broadly suitable habitat within the Subject Site. Few records within the locality. Not recorded during site		
						assessment. Broadly suitable		
Pteropus poliocephalus Grev-headed Flving-fox	V,P	V	70	Generally this species is found within 200 km of the eastern coast of Australia, from Rockhampton in Queensland to Adelaide in South Australia. Inhabit subtropical and temperate rainforests, tall sclerophyll forests and woodlands, heaths and swamps as well as urban gardens and cultivated fruit crops. Roosting camps are generally located within 20 km of a regular food source and are commonly found in gullies, close to water, in vegetation with a dense	Moderate	foraging habitat within the Subject Site. No roosts present. Not recorded during site		
				canopy.		assessment.		
Saccolaimus flaviventris	VD		1	Migrates from tropics to SE Aus in summer. Forages across a range of habitats including those with and without trees, from wet and dry sclerophyll forest,	Low	Foraging habitat only. No breeding habitat.		
Yellow-bellied Sheathtail- bat	v,r -		4	open woodland, Acacia shrubland, mallee, grasslands and desert. Seasonal movements are unknown.	LOW	Not recorded during site assessment.		

Creation	Sta	atus	Bionet	Habitat		<b>6</b>	
Species	BC	EPBC	Records	Habitat	LOO	Summary	
Scoteanax rueppellii Greater Broad-nosed Bat	V,P	-	13	The species is found mainly in the gullies and river systems that drain the Great Dividing Range, from north-eastern Victoria to the Atherton Tableland. It extends to the coast over much of its range. In NSW it is widespread on the New England Tablelands, however does not occur at altitudes above 500 m. Inhabits a variety of habitats from woodland to wet and dry sclerophyll forests and reinforcest also remport and down to make the result.	Low	Foraging habitat only. No breeding habitat. Not recorded during site	
Vespadelus troughtoni Eastern Cave Bat	V,P	-	11	Very little is known about the biology of this uncommon species. A cave- roosting species that is usually found in dry open forest and woodland, near cliffs or rocky overhangs; has been recorded roosting in disused mine workings, occasionally in colonies of up to 500 individuals. Occasionally found along cliff-lines in wet eucalypt forest and rainforest.	Low	Broadly suitable foraging habitat within the Subject Site. One record within the locality. Not recorded during site	
Litoria aurea Green and Golden Bell	E1,P	V	4	Large populations in NSW are located around the metropolitan areas of Sydney, Shoalhaven and mid north coast (one an island population). There is only one known population on the NSW Southern Tablelands. Inhabits marshes, dams and stream-sides, particularly those containing bullrushes ( <i>Typha</i> spp.) or spikerushes ( <i>Eleocharis</i> spp.). Optimum habitat includes water-bodies that are unshaded, free of predatory fish such as Plague Minnow ( <i>Gambusia holbrooki</i> ), have a grassy area nearby and diurnal sheltering sites	Low	No suitable habitat within the Subject Site. No records within the locality. Not recorded during site	
Frog				available.		assessment.	
Caretta caretta	E1,P	Е	1	Large sea turtle to 1.5 m in length with an elongated heart-shaped shell. The	Nil	No suitable habitat within the Subject Site. No records within the locality.	
Loggerhead Turtle				species is found in tropical and temperate waters off the Australian coast.		Not recorded during site assessment.	

# Appendix B – Predicted and Candidate Species Reports







## **BAM Predicted Species Report**

### **Proposal Details**

Assessment Id	Proposal Name	BAM data last updated *	
00030538/BAAS18041/22/00030542	442 Louth Park Road	14/03/2024	
Assessor Name	Report Created	BAM Data version *	
Gilbert Whyte	17/06/2024	67	
Assessor Number	Assessment Type	BAM Case Status	
BAAS18041	Part 4 Developments (General)	Finalised	
Assessment Revision	BOS entry trigger	Date Finalised 17/06/2024	
2	BOS Threshold: Area clearing threshold		

\* Disclaimer: BAM data last updated may indicate either complete or partial update of the BAM calculator database. BAM calculator database may not be completely aligned with Bionet.

# Threatened species reliably predicted to utilise the site. No surveys are required for these species. Ecosystem credits apply to these species.

Common Name	Scientific Name	Vegetation Types(s)
Black Falcon	Falco subniger	1598-Forest Red Gum grassy open forest on floodplains of the lower Hunter
Black-chinned Honeyeater (eastern subspecies)	Melithreptus gularis gularis	1600-Spotted Gum - Red Ironbark - Narrow-leaved Ironbark - Grey Box shrub-grass open forest of the lower Hunter
Brown Treecreeper (eastern subspecies)	Climacteris picumnus victoriae	1598-Forest Red Gum grassy open forest on floodplains of the lower Hunter
		1600-Spotted Gum - Red Ironbark - Narrow-leaved Ironbark - Grey Box shrub-grass open forest of the lower Hunter
Diamond Firetail	Stagonopleura guttata	1600-Spotted Gum - Red Ironbark - Narrow-leaved Ironbark - Grey Box shrub-grass open forest of the lower Hunter
Eastern Coastal Free-tailed Bat	Micronomus norfolkensis	1600-Spotted Gum - Red Ironbark - Narrow-leaved Ironbark - Grey Box shrub-grass open forest of the lower Hunter
Eastern False Pipistrelle	Falsistrellus tasmaniensis	1600-Spotted Gum - Red Ironbark - Narrow-leaved Ironbark - Grey Box shrub-grass open forest of the lower Hunter
Gang-gang Cockatoo	Callocephalon fimbriatum	1600-Spotted Gum - Red Ironbark - Narrow-leaved Ironbark - Grey Box shrub-grass open forest of the lower Hunter
Greater Broad-nosed Bat	Scoteanax rueppellii	1600-Spotted Gum - Red Ironbark - Narrow-leaved Ironbark - Grey Box shrub-grass open forest of the lower Hunter



# **BAM Predicted Species Report**

Grey-crowned Babbler (eastern subspecies)	Pomatostomus temporalis temporalis	1600-Spotted Gum - Red Ironbark - Narrow-leaved Ironbark - Grey Box shrub-grass open forest of the lower Hunter
Grey-headed Flying- fox	Pteropus poliocephalus	1600-Spotted Gum - Red Ironbark - Narrow-leaved Ironbark - Grey Box shrub-grass open forest of the lower Hunter
Large Bent-winged Bat	Miniopterus orianae oceanensis	1600-Spotted Gum - Red Ironbark - Narrow-leaved Ironbark - Grey Box shrub-grass open forest of the lower Hunter
Little Bent-winged Bat	Miniopterus australis	1600-Spotted Gum - Red Ironbark - Narrow-leaved Ironbark - Grey Box shrub-grass open forest of the lower Hunter
Little Eagle	Hieraaetus morphnoides	1600-Spotted Gum - Red Ironbark - Narrow-leaved Ironbark - Grey Box shrub-grass open forest of the lower Hunter
Little Lorikeet	Glossopsitta pusilla	1598-Forest Red Gum grassy open forest on floodplains of the lower Hunter
		1600-Spotted Gum - Red Ironbark - Narrow-leaved Ironbark - Grey Box shrub-grass open forest of the lower Hunter
Regent Honeyeater	Anthochaera phrygia	1600-Spotted Gum - Red Ironbark - Narrow-leaved Ironbark - Grey Box shrub-grass open forest of the lower Hunter
Scarlet Robin	Petroica boodang	1598-Forest Red Gum grassy open forest on floodplains of the lower Hunter
		1600-Spotted Gum - Red Ironbark - Narrow-leaved Ironbark - Grey Box shrub-grass open forest of the lower Hunter
South-eastern Glossy Black- Cockatoo	Calyptorhynchus Iathami lathami	1600-Spotted Gum - Red Ironbark - Narrow-leaved Ironbark - Grey Box shrub-grass open forest of the lower Hunter
South-eastern Hooded Robin	Melanodryas cucullata cucullata	1598-Forest Red Gum grassy open forest on floodplains of the lower Hunter
		1600-Spotted Gum - Red Ironbark - Narrow-leaved Ironbark - Grey Box shrub-grass open forest of the lower Hunter
Speckled Warbler	Chthonicola sagittata	1598-Forest Red Gum grassy open forest on floodplains of the lower Hunter
		1600-Spotted Gum - Red Ironbark - Narrow-leaved Ironbark - Grey Box shrub-grass open forest of the lower Hunter
Spotted-tailed Quoll	Dasyurus maculatus	1598-Forest Red Gum grassy open forest on floodplains of the lower Hunter
		1600-Spotted Gum - Red Ironbark - Narrow-leaved Ironbark - Grey Box shrub-grass open forest of the lower Hunter
Square-tailed Kite	Lophoictinia isura	1600-Spotted Gum - Red Ironbark - Narrow-leaved Ironbark - Grey Box shrub-grass open forest of the lower Hunter
Swift Parrot	Lathamus discolor	1598-Forest Red Gum grassy open forest on floodplains of the lower Hunter



# **BAM Predicted Species Report**

Swift Parrot	Lathamus discolor	1600-Spotted Gum - Red Ironbark - Narrow-leaved Ironbark - Grey Box shrub-grass open forest of the lower Hunter
Turquoise Parrot	Neophema pulchella	1600-Spotted Gum - Red Ironbark - Narrow-leaved Ironbark - Grey Box shrub-grass open forest of the lower Hunter
Varied Sittella	Daphoenositta chrysoptera	1598-Forest Red Gum grassy open forest on floodplains of the lower Hunter
		1600-Spotted Gum - Red Ironbark - Narrow-leaved Ironbark - Grey Box shrub-grass open forest of the lower Hunter
White-bellied Sea- Eagle	Haliaeetus leucogaster	1598-Forest Red Gum grassy open forest on floodplains of the lower Hunter
		1600-Spotted Gum - Red Ironbark - Narrow-leaved Ironbark - Grey Box shrub-grass open forest of the lower Hunter
White-throated Needletail	Hirundapus caudacutus	1598-Forest Red Gum grassy open forest on floodplains of the lower Hunter
		1600-Spotted Gum - Red Ironbark - Narrow-leaved Ironbark - Grey Box shrub-grass open forest of the lower Hunter
Yellow-bellied Glider	Petaurus australis	1600-Spotted Gum - Red Ironbark - Narrow-leaved Ironbark - Grey Box shrub-grass open forest of the lower Hunter
Yellow-bellied Sheathtail-bat	Saccolaimus flaviventris	1600-Spotted Gum - Red Ironbark - Narrow-leaved Ironbark - Grey Box shrub-grass open forest of the lower Hunter

#### **Threatened species Manually Added**

None added

#### Threatened species assessed as not within the vegetation zone(s) for the PCT(s)

Common Name	Scientific Name	Plant Community Type(s)
Painted Honeyeater	Grantiella picta	1600-Spotted Gum - Red Ironbark - Narrow-leaved Ironbark - Grey Box shrub-grass open forest of the lower Hunter

#### **Threatened species assessed as not within the vegetation zone(s) for the PCT(s)** Refer to BAR for detailed justification

Common Name	Scientific Name	Justification in the BAM-C
Painted Honeyeater	Grantiella picta	Refer to BAR



#### **Proposal Details**

Assessment Id	Proposal Name	BAM data last updated *
00030538/BAAS18041/22/00030542	442 Louth Park Road	14/03/2024
Assessor Name	Report Created	BAM Data version *
Gilbert Whyte	17/06/2024	67
Assessor Number	Assessment Type	BAM Case Status
BAAS18041	Part 4 Developments (General)	Finalised
Assessment Revision	Date Finalised	BOS entry trigger
2	17/06/2024	BOS Threshold: Area clearing threshold

\* Disclaimer: BAM data last updated may indicate either complete or partial update of the BAM calculator database. BAM calculator database may not be completely aligned with Bionet.

### List of Species Requiring Survey

Name	Presence	Survey Months
<b>Acacia bynoeana</b> Bynoe's Wattle	No (surveyed)	<ul> <li>✓ Jan</li> <li>✓ Feb</li> <li>Mar</li> <li>Apr</li> <li>May</li> <li>Jun</li> <li>Jul</li> <li>✓ Aug</li> <li>Sep</li> <li>✓ Oct</li> <li>Nov</li> <li>Dec</li> </ul>
<b>Burhinus grallarius</b> Bush Stone-curlew	No (surveyed)	<ul> <li>✓ Jan</li> <li>✓ Feb</li> <li>Mar</li> <li>Apr</li> <li>May</li> <li>Jun</li> <li>Jul</li> <li>✓ Aug</li> <li>Sep</li> <li>✓ Oct</li> <li>Nov</li> <li>Dec</li> </ul>
<i>Callistemon linearifolius</i> Netted Bottle Brush	No (surveyed)	☑ Jan       □ Feb       □ Mar       □ Apr         □ May       □ Jun       □ Jul       □ Aug         □ Sep       ☑ Oct       □ Nov       □ Dec         □ Survey month outside the specified months?



Callocephalon fimbriatum	No (surveyed)	
Gang-gang Cockatoo		☑ Jan 🗆 Feb 🗆 Mar 🗆 Apr
		🗆 May 🗆 Jun 🗖 Jul 🗖 Aug
		Sep Cct Nov Dec
		Survey month outside the specified months?
Calyptorhynchus lathami lathami	No (surveyed)	🗆 Jan 🗆 Feb 🗹 Mar 🗆 Apr
Cockatoo		🗆 May 🗖 Jun 🗖 Jul 🗖 Aug
		Sep Coct Nov Dec
		Survey month outside the specified months?
Cercartetus nanus Fastern Pygmy-possum	No (surveyed)	🗆 Jan 🗆 Feb 🗹 Mar 🗖 Apr
		🗆 May 🗆 Jun 🗖 Jul 🗖 Aug
		Sep Cct Nov Dec
		Survey month outside the specified months?
<b>Cynanchum elegans</b> White-flowered Wax Plant	No (surveyed)	🗹 Jan 🗆 Feb 🗆 Mar 🗆 Apr
		🗆 May 🗖 Jun 🗖 Jul 🗹 Aug
		□ Sep ☑ Oct □ Nov □ Dec
		Survey month outside the specified months?
<b>Delma impar</b> Striped Legless Lizard	No (surveyed)	🗆 Jan 🗆 Feb 🗆 Mar 🗆 Apr
Striped Legiess Lizard		🗆 May 🗆 Jun 🗖 Jul 🗖 Aug
		□ Sep 🗹 Oct □ Nov □ Dec
		Survey month outside the specified months?
Diuris praecox	No (surveyed)	🗆 Jan 🗆 Feb 🗆 Mar 🗆 Apr
Kough Doubletall		□ May □ Jun □ Jul ☑ Aug
		Sep Oct Nov Dec
		Survey month outside the specified months?

Proposal Name



<i>Diuris tricolor</i> Pine Donkey Orchid	No (surveyed) *Survey months are outside of the months specified in Bionet.	□ Jan       □ Feb       □ Mar       □ Apr         □ May       □ Jun       □ Jul       □ Aug         □ Sep       ☑ Oct       □ Nov       □ Dec         ☑ Survey month outside the specified months?
<i>Eucalyptus castrensis</i> Singleton Mallee	No (surveyed)	Image: Specified months!         Image: Specified months!         Image: Specified months!         Image: Specified months?
<b>Eucalyptus glaucina</b> Slaty Red Gum	No (surveyed)	<ul> <li>✓ Jan</li> <li>✓ Feb</li> <li>Mar</li> <li>Apr</li> <li>May</li> <li>Jun</li> <li>Jul</li> <li>✓ Aug</li> <li>Sep</li> <li>✓ Oct</li> <li>Nov</li> <li>Dec</li> </ul>
<i>Eucalyptus parramattensis subsp.</i> <i>decadens</i> Eucalyptus parramattensis subsp. decadens	No (surveyed)	<ul> <li>✓ Jan</li> <li>✓ Feb</li> <li>Mar</li> <li>Apr</li> <li>May</li> <li>Jun</li> <li>Jul</li> <li>✓ Aug</li> <li>Sep</li> <li>✓ Oct</li> <li>Nov</li> <li>Dec</li> </ul>
<b>Eucalyptus pumila</b> Pokolbin Mallee	No (surveyed)	<ul> <li>✓ Jan</li> <li>✓ Feb</li> <li>Mar</li> <li>Apr</li> <li>May</li> <li>Jun</li> <li>Jul</li> <li>✓ Aug</li> <li>Sep</li> <li>✓ Oct</li> <li>Nov</li> <li>Dec</li> </ul>
<b>Grevillea parviflora subsp.</b> <b>parviflora</b> Small-flower Grevillea	No (surveyed) *Survey months are outside of the months specified in Bionet.	□ Jan       □ Feb       □ Mar       □ Apr         □ May       □ Jun       □ Jul       ☑ Aug         □ Sep       ☑ Oct       □ Nov       □ Dec         ☑ Survey month outside the specified months?



Haliaeetus leucogaster	No (surveyed)	
White-bellied Sea-Eagle		□ Jan □ Feb □ Mar □ Apr
		🗆 May 🗖 Jun 🗖 Jul 🗖 Aug
		□ Sep ☑ Oct □ Nov □ Dec
		Survey month outside the specified months?
<i>Hieraaetus morphnoides</i> Little Eagle	No (surveyed)	□ Jan □ Feb □ Mar □ Apr
5		🗆 May 🗆 Jun 🗖 Jul 🗖 Aug
		□ Sep ☑ Oct □ Nov □ Dec
		Survey month outside the specified months?
Litoria aurea	No (surveyed)	🗆 Jan 🗆 Feb 🗹 Mar 🗖 Apr
Green and Golden Ben Frog		🗆 May 🗆 Jun 🗖 Jul 🗖 Aug
		Sep Oct Nov Dec
		Survey month outside the specified months?
Litoria brevipalmata	No (surveyed)	🗆 Jan 🗆 Feb 🗹 Mar 🗆 Apr
Green-trighed Flog		🗆 May 🗆 Jun 🗖 Jul 🗖 Aug
		□ Sep □ Oct □ Nov □ Dec
		Survey month outside the specified months?
Monotaxis macrophylla	No (surveyed)	🗹 Jan 🗆 Feb 🗆 Mar 🗆 Apr
Large-leated Monotaxis		□ May □ Jun □ Jul ☑ Aug
		□ Sep ☑ Oct □ Nov □ Dec
		Survey month outside the specified months?
Myotis macropus	Yes (surveyed)	🗆 Jan 🗆 Feb 🗹 Mar 🗖 Apr
Southern Myotis		🗆 May 🗆 Jun 🗆 Jul 🗆 Aug
		□ Sep □ Oct □ Nov □ Dec
		Survey month outside the     specified months?

Proposal Name



<b>Ozothamnus tesselatus</b> Ozothamnus tesselatus	No (surveyed) *Survey months are outside of the months specified in Bionet.	□ Jan       □ Feb       □ Mar       □ Apr         □ May       □ Jun       □ Jul       □ Aug         □ Sep       ☑ Oct       □ Nov       □ Dec         ☑ Survey month outside the
<b>Petauroides volans</b> Southern Greater Glider	No (surveyed)	specified months?      Jan □ Feb ☑ Mar □ Apr     May □ Jun □ Jul □ Aug     Sep □ Oct □ Nov □ Dec     Survey month outside the     specified months?
<b>Petaurus norfolcensis</b> Squirrel Glider	Yes (surveyed)	□       Jan       □       Feb       ☑       Mar       □       Apr         □       May       □       Jun       □       Jul       □       Aug         □       Sep       □       Oct       □       Nov       □       Dec         □       Survey month outside the specified months?       □       □       □       □       □
<b>Phascogale tapoatafa</b> Brush-tailed Phascogale	No (surveyed)	□ Jan       □ Feb       ☑ Mar       □ Apr         □ May       □ Jun       □ Jul       □ Aug         □ Sep       □ Oct       □ Nov       □ Dec         □ Survey month outside the specified months?
<b>Phascolarctos cinereus</b> Koala	No (surveyed)	<ul> <li>✓ Jan □ Feb Ø Mar □ Apr</li> <li>□ May □ Jun □ Jul □ Aug</li> <li>□ Sep □ Oct □ Nov □ Dec</li> <li>□ Survey month outside the specified months?</li> </ul>
<b>Pomaderris queenslandica</b> Scant Pomaderris	No (surveyed)	☑ Jan       □ Feb       □ Mar       □ Apr         □ May       □ Jun       □ Jul       ☑ Aug         □ Sep       ☑ Oct       □ Nov       □ Dec         □ Survey month outside the specified months?



<b>Prostanthera cineolifera</b> Singleton Mint Bush	No (surveyed) *Survey months are outside of the months specified in Bionet.	□ Jan □ Feb □ Mar □ Apr □ May □ Jun □ Jul □ Aug □ Sep ☑ Oct □ Nov □ Dec
		Survey month outside the specified months?
<b>Pterostylis chaetophora</b> Pterostylis chaetophora	No (surveyed) *Survey months are outside of the months specified in Bionet.	□ Jan□ Feb□ Mar□ Apr□ May□ Jun□ Jul□ Aug□ Sep☑ Oct□ Nov□ Dec
		Survey month outside the specified months?
<b>Rutidosis heterogama</b> Heath Wrinklewort	No (surveyed)	☑ Jan□ Feb□ Mar□ Apr□ May□ Jun□ Jul☑ Aug□ Sep☑ Oct□ Nov□ Dec
		Survey month outside the specified months?

#### **Threatened species Manually Added**

Common Name	Scientific Name
Squirrel Glider	Petaurus norfolcensis

#### **Threatened species assessed as not on site** Refer to BAR for detailed justification

Common name	Scientific name	Justification in the BAM-C
Austral Toadflax	Thesium australe	Habitat degraded Species is vagrant
Barking Owl	Ninox connivens	Refer to BAR
Brush-tailed Rock-wallaby	Petrogale penicillata	Refer to BAR
Common Planigale	Planigale maculata	Species is vagrant
Eastern Cave Bat	Vespadelus troughtoni	Habitat constraints
Grey-headed Flying-fox	Pteropus poliocephalus	Habitat constraints
Large Bent-winged Bat	Miniopterus orianae oceanensis	Habitat constraints
Large-eared Pied Bat	Chalinolobus dwyeri	Habitat constraints



Leafless Tongue Orchid	Cryptostylis hunteriana	Species is vagrant
Little Bent-winged Bat	Miniopterus australis	Habitat constraints
Masked Owl	Tyto novaehollandiae	Refer to BAR
North Rothbury Persoonia	Persoonia pauciflora	Refer to BAR
Pale-headed Snake	Hoplocephalus bitorquatus	Species is vagrant
Pink-tailed Legless Lizard	Aprasia parapulchella	Geographic limitations
Powerful Owl	Ninox strenua	Refer to BAR
Regent Honeyeater	Anthochaera phrygia	Refer to BAR
Square-tailed Kite	Lophoictinia isura	Habitat constraints
Swift Parrot	Lathamus discolor	Refer to BAR

## **Appendix C – Biodiversity Credit Reports**







Proposal Details		
Assessment Id	Proposal Name	BAM data last updated *
00030538/BAAS18041/22/00030542	442 Louth Park Road	14/03/2024
Assessor Name	Report Created	BAM Data version *
Gilbert Whyte	17/06/2024	67
Assessor Number	BAM Case Status	Date Finalised
BAAS18041	Finalised	17/06/2024
Assessment Revision	Assessment Type	BOS entry trigger
2	Part 4 Developments (General)	BOS Threshold: Area clearing threshold

\* Disclaimer: BAM data last updated may indicate either complete or partial update of the BAM calculator database. BAM calculator database may not be completely aligned with Bionet.

#### Ecosystem credits for plant communities types (PCT), ecological communities & threatened species habitat

Zone	Vegetatio	TEC name	Current	Change in	Are	Sensitivity to	Species	BC Act Listing	EPBC Act	Biodiversit	Potenti	Ecosyste
	n		Vegetatio	Vegetatio	а	loss	sensitivity to	status	listing status	y risk	al SAII	m credits
	zone		n	n integrity	(ha)	(Justification)	gain class			weighting		
	name		integrity	(loss /								
			score	gain)								



### **BAM Credit Summary Report**

Forest	Red Gum	grassy open forest	on floodplair	ns of the	low	er Hunter						
1	1598_Mod	Hunter Lowland Redgum Forest in the Sydney Basin and New South Wales North Coast Bioregions	62.1	62.1	0.37	PCT Cleared - 0%	High Sensitivity to Gain	Endangered Ecological Community	Not Listed	2.00		11
											Subtot al	11
Spott	ed Gum - Ro	ed Ironbark - Narro	ow-leaved Iro	nbark -	Grey	Box shrub-gra	ss open forest	of the lower H	unter			
2	1600_Low	Not a TEC	11.9	11.9	3.1	PCT Cleared - 71%	High Sensitivity to Gain			2.00		0
											Subtot al	0



### **BAM Credit Summary Report**

Spotte	Spotted Gum - Red Ironbark - Narrow-leaved Ironbark - Grey Box shrub-grass open forest of the lower Hunter											
3	1600_Mod	Lower Hunter Spotted Gum Ironbark Forest in the Sydney Basin and NSW North Coast Bioregions	65.8	65.8	4	PCT Cleared - 71%	High Sensitivity to Gain	Endangered Ecological Community	Not Listed	2.00		133
											Subtot al	133
											Total	144

### Species credits for threatened species

Vegetation zone name	Habitat condition (Vegetation Integrity)	Change in habitat condition	Area (ha)/Count (no. individuals)	Sensitivity to loss (Justification)	Sensitivity to gain (Justification)	BC Act Listing status	EPBC Act listing status	Potential SAII	Species credits
Myotis macropu	is / Southern Myot	tis ( Fauna )							
1598_Mod	62.1	62.1	0.37			Vulnerable	Not Listed	False	11
1600_Low	11.9	11.9	2.8			Vulnerable	Not Listed	False	17
1600_Mod	65.8	65.8	3.5			Vulnerable	Not Listed	False	115
								Subtotal	143
Petaurus norfol	censis / Squirrel G	ilider ( Fauna )							
1598_Mod	62.1	62.1	0.37			Vulnerable	Not Listed	False	11
1600_Mod	65.8	65.8	4			Vulnerable	Not Listed	False	133
								Subtota	144



#### **Proposal Details**

Assessment Id	Proposal Name	BAM data last updated *		
00030538/BAAS18041/22/00030542	442 Louth Park Road	14/03/2024		
Assessor Name Gilbert Whyte	Assessor Number BAAS18041	BAM Data version * 67		
Proponent Names	Report Created	BAM Case Status		
Tom Goold	17/06/2024	Finalised		
Assessment Revision	Assessment Type	Date Finalised		
2	Part 4 Developments (General)	17/06/2024		
BOS entry trigger * Di	sclaimer: BAM data last updated may indicate either complete or	partial update of the		
BOS Threshold: Area clearing threshold BAN	BAM calculator database. BAM calculator database may not be completely aligned with			

#### Potential Serious and Irreversible Impacts

Name of threatened ecological community	Listing status	Name of Plant Community Type/ID
Nil		
Species		
Nil		

Additional Information for Approval

Assessment Id

Proposal Name

00030538/BAAS18041/22/00030542



PCT Outside Ibra Added

None added

PCTs With Customized Benchmarks

PCT

No Changes

Predicted Threatened Species Not On Site

Name

Grantiella picta / Painted Honeyeater

Ecosystem Credit Summary (Number and class of biodiversity credits to be retired)

Assessment Id

Proposal Name

00030538/BAAS18041/22/00030542

442 Louth Park Road

Page 2 of 6



Name of Plant Community Type/ID		Name of threatened ecological community			Area of impact	HBT Cr	No HBT Cr	Total credits to be retired			
1598-Forest Red Gum grassy open forest on floodplains of the lower Hunter		Hunter Lowland Redgum Forest in the Sydney Basin and New South Wales North Coast Bioregions			0.4	0	11	11			
1600-Spotted Gum - Red Ironbark - Narrow-leaved Ironbark - Grey Box shrub-grass open forest of the lower Hunter		Not a TEC			3.1	0	0	0			
1600-Spotted Gum - Red Ironbark - Narrow-leaved Ironbark - Grey Box shrub-grass open forest of the lower Hunter		Lower Hunter Spotted Gum Ironbark Forest in the Sydney Basin and NSW North Coast Bioregions			4.0	0	133	133			
1598-Forest Red Gum grassy	Like-for-like credit retirement options										
open forest on floodplains of the lower Hunter	Name of offset trading group	Trading group	Zone	НВТ	Credits	IBRA region					
	Hunter Lowland Redgum Forest in the Sydney Basin and New South Wales North Coast Bioregions This includes PCT's: 1591, 1598, 1603, 1605, 1691, 1692, 1749, 3328, 3446, 3634	-	1598_Mod	No	1	l Hunter, l Kerrabee Tomalla, Yengo. Any IBRA kilomete impactee	Ellerston, Ka e, Liverpool Upper Hur or A subregion ers of the o d site.	aruah Manning, Range, Peel, hter, Wyong and hthat is within 100 uter edge of the			

Assessment Id

Proposal Name

Page 3 of 6



1598-Forest Red Gum grassy open forest on floodplains of the lower Hunter										
1600-Spotted Gum - Red	Like-for-like credit retirement options									
Ironbark - Narrow-leaved	Class	Trading group	Zone	НВТ	Credits	IBRA region				
grass open forest of the lower Hunter	Hunter-Macleay Dry Sclerophyll Forests This includes PCT's: 1178, 1589, 1600, 1601, 3431, 3442, 3446	Hunter-Macleay Dry Sclerophyll Forests >=70% and <90%	1600_Low	No		Hunter, Ellerston, Karuah Manning, Kerrabee, Liverpool Range, Peel, Tomalla, Upper Hunter, Wyong and Yengo. or Any IBRA subregion that is within 100 kilometers of the outer edge of the impacted site.				
1600-Spotted Gum - Red	Like-for-like credit retirement options									
Ironbark - Narrow-leaved Ironbark - Grey Box shrub-	Name of offset trading group	Trading group	Zone	HBT	Credits	IBRA region				
grass open forest of the lower Hunter										
Assessment Id	Proposal Nam	ne				Page 4 of 6				



Lower Hunter Spotted	-	1600_Mod	No	133	Hunter, Ellerston, Karuah Manning,
Gum Ironbark Forest in					Kerrabee, Liverpool Range, Peel,
the Sydney Basin and					Tomalla, Upper Hunter, Wyong and
NSW North Coast					Yengo.
Bioregions					or
This includes PCT's:					Any IBRA subregion that is within 100
1590, 1592, 1593, 1600,					kilometers of the outer edge of the
1602, 3433, 3442, 3443,					impacted site.
3444, 4158					
					1

### Species Credit Summary

Species		Vegetation Zone/s	Area / Count	Credits
Myotis macropus / Southern Myotis		1598_Mod, 1600_Low, 1600_Mod	6.7	143.00
Petaurus norfolcensis / Squirrel Glider		1598_Mod, 1600_Mod	4.4	144.00
<b>Credit Retirement Options</b>	Like-for-like credit retirement options			

<b>Myotis macropus</b> / Southern Myotis	Spp	IBRA subregion
	Myotis macropus / Southern Myotis	Any in NSW

Assessment Id

Proposal Name

00030538/BAAS18041/22/00030542



<b>Petaurus norfolcensis</b> / Squirrel Glider	Spp	IBRA subregion
	Petaurus norfolcensis / Squirrel Glider	Any in NSW

Assessment Id

Proposal Name

00030538/BAAS18041/22/00030542

442 Louth Park Road

Page 6 of 6



#### **Proposal Details**

Assessment Id	Proposal Name	BAM data last updated *	
00030538/BAAS18041/22/00030542	442 Louth Park Road	14/03/2024	
Assessor Name	Assessor Number	BAM Data version *	
Gilbert Whyte	BAAS18041	67	
Proponent Name(s)	Report Created	BAM Case Status	
Tom Goold	17/06/2024	Finalised	
Assessment Revision	Assessment Type	Date Finalised	
2	Part 4 Developments (General)	17/06/2024	
BOS entry trigger	$^{\ast}$ Disclaimer: BAM data last updated may indicate either complete or	partial update of the BAM	
BOS Threshold: Area clearing threshold	calculator database. BAM calculator database may not be completely aligned with Bi		

#### Potential Serious and Irreversible Impacts

Name of threatened ecological community	Listing status	Name of Plant Community Type/ID
Nil		
Species		
Nil		

#### Additional Information for Approval

PCT Outside Ibra Added

None added

#### PCTs With Customized Benchmarks



No Changes
PCT

#### Predicted Threatened Species Not On Site

Name

Grantiella picta / Painted Honeyeater

#### **Ecosystem Credit Summary (Number and class of biodiversity credits to be retired)**

Name of Plant Community Type,	Name of Plant Community Type/ID         Name of threatened ecological community         A		Area of impact	HBT Cr	No HBT Cr	Total credits to be retired		
1598-Forest Red Gum grassy open forest on floodplains of the lower Hunter		Hunter Lowland Redgum Forest in the Sydney Basin and New South Wales North Coast Bioregions			0.4	0	11	11.00
1600-Spotted Gum - Red Ironbark - Narrow-leaved Ironbark - Grey Box shrub-grass open forest of the lower Hunter		Not a TEC			3.1	0	0	0.00
1600-Spotted Gum - Red Ironbark - Narrow-leaved Ironbark - Grey Box shrub-grass open forest of the lower Hunter		Lower Hunter Spotted Gum Ironbark Forest in the Sydney Basin and NSW North Coast Bioregions			4.0	0	133	133.00
1598-Forest Red Gum grassy	Like-for-like credit retir	rement options						
open forest on floodplains of the lower Hunter		Trading group	Zone	НВТ	Credits	BRA region		



	Hunter Lowland Redgum Forest in the Sydney Basin and New South Wales North Coast Bioregions This includes PCT's: 1591, 1598, 1603, 1605, 1691, 1692, 1749, 3328, 3446, 3634	-	1598_Mod	No	11	Hunter,Ellerston, Karuah Manning, Kerrabee, Liverpool Range, Peel, Tomalla, Upper Hunter, Wyong and Yengo. or Any IBRA subregion that is within 100 kilometers of the outer edge of the impacted site.	
	Variation options						
	Formation	Trading group	Zone	HBT	Credits	IBRA region	
	Forested Wetlands	Tier 3 or higher threat status	1598_Mod	No	11	IBRA Region: Sydney Basin, or Any IBRA subregion that is within 100 kilometers of the outer edge of the impacted site.	
1600-Spotted Gum - Red	Like-for-like credit retirement options						
Ironbark - Narrow-leaved	Class	Trading group	Zone	HBT	Credits	IBRA region	
grass open forest of the lower Hunter	Hunter-Macleay Dry Sclerophyll Forests This includes PCT's: 1178, 1589, 1600, 1601, 3431, 3442, 3446	Hunter-Macleay Dry Sclerophyll Forests >=70% and <90%	1600_Low	No	0	Hunter,Ellerston, Karuah Manning, Kerrabee, Liverpool Range, Peel, Tomalla, Upper Hunter, Wyong and Yengo. or Any IBRA subregion that is within 100 kilometers of the outer edge of the impacted site.	
	Variation options						
	Formation	Trading group	Zone	HBT	Credits	IBRA region	



	Dry Sclerophyll Forests (Shrub/grass sub- formation)	Tier 2 or higher threat status	1600_Low	No	0	IBRA Region: Sydney Basin, or Any IBRA subregion that is within 100 kilometers of the outer edge of the impacted site.
1600-Spotted Gum - Red	Like-for-like credit retirem	nent options				
Ironbark - Narrow-leaved Ironbark - Grev Box shrub-	Class	Trading group	Zone	НВТ	Credits	IBRA region
Ironbark - Grey Box shrub- grass open forest of the lower Hunter	Lower Hunter Spotted Gum Ironbark Forest in the Sydney Basin and NSW North Coast Bioregions This includes PCT's: 1590, 1592, 1593, 1600, 1602, 3433, 3442, 3443, 3444, 4158	-	1600_Mod	No	133	Hunter,Ellerston, Karuah Manning, Kerrabee, Liverpool Range, Peel, Tomalla, Upper Hunter, Wyong and Yengo. or Any IBRA subregion that is within 100 kilometers of the outer edge of the impacted site.
	Variation options					·
	Formation	Trading group	Zone	HBT	Credits	IBRA region
	Dry Sclerophyll Forests (Shrub/grass sub- formation)	Tier 3 or higher threat status	1600_Mod	No	133	IBRA Region: Sydney Basin, or Any IBRA subregion that is within 100 kilometers of the outer edge of the impacted site.

#### **Species Credit Summary**

Species	Vegetation Zone/s	Area / Count	Credits
Myotis macropus / Southern Myotis	1598_Mod, 1600_Low, 1600_Mod	6.7	143.00



Petaurus norfolcensis / Squirrel	Glider	1598_	Mod, 1600_Mo	d	4.4	14
Credit Retirement Options	Like-for-like options					
Myotis macropus/ Southern Myotis	Spp IBRA					
	Myotis macropus/Southern Myot	is	Any in NSW			
	Variation options					
	Kingdom	Any species w higher catego under Part 4 c shown below	Any species with same or higher category of listing under Part 4 of the BC Act shown below		IBRA region	
	Fauna	Vulnerable	Vulnerable Hu Ke To Ye An ki		n, Karuah Manning, bool Range, Peel, Hunter, Wyong and gion that is within 100 e outer edge of the	
Petaurus norfolcensis/	Spp		IBRA region			
Squirrel Glider	Petaurus norfolcensis/Squirrel G	lider	Any in NSW			
	Variation options					
	Kingdom	Any species w higher catego under Part 4 c shown below	ith same or IBRA regio ry of listing of the BC Act			



Fauna	Vulnerable	Hunter, Ellerston, Karuah Manning, Kerrabee, Liverpool Range, Peel, Tomalla, Upper Hunter, Wyong and Yengo.
		or Any IBRA subregion that is within 100 kilometers of the outer edge of the impacted site.
# **Appendix D – BAM Plot Datasheets**





BAM Site -	Field Survey F	orm			Site Sheet r	10:		
		Survey Name	Zone ID		Recorders BFRG WHYDE			
Date	31 01 22	LOUTH PACK	l	GILBER				
Zone 50	GDA 94	Plot ID	QOI	Plot dimensions	400m2	Photo #	~	
Easting 264146	Northing 6372039	IBRA region	SYD BASIN	Midline bearing from 0 m	012	-	Karaita m	
Vegetation Clas	55	HUNDER	MACHEDY	<b>DSF</b>	5	Con	fidence: M L	
Plant Community Type		1600 _m	0		EEC:	Y CH	fidence: )ML	

Record easting and northing at 0 m on midline. Dimensions (Shape) of 0.04 ha base plot.

BAM (400	Sum values	
	Trees	3
	Shrubs	4
Count of	Grasses etc.	1)
Richness	Forbs	9
	Ferns	1
	Other	2
	Trees	32
Sum of	Shrubs	6.7
of native	Grasses etc.	50.4
plants by growth form group	Forbs	2.8
	Ferns	0.2
	Other	1.1
High Threat	Weed cover	0.7

BAM Attribute (1000 m <sup>2</sup> plot)							
DBH	# Tree Stems Count	# Stems with Hollows					
80 + cm							
50 – 79 cm	ll ,						
30 – 49 cm							
20 – 29 cm							
10 – 19 cm							
5 – 9 cm	1						
< 5 cm		n/a					
Length of log (≥10 cm diamete >50 cm in length	s.(m) 11/1 111 - 8	M					

Counts apply when the **number of tree stems** within a size class is  $\leq$  10. Estimates can be used when > 10 (eg. 10, 20, 30..., 100, 200, 300...). For a **multi-stemmed tree** only the largest living stem is included in the count/estimate. **Tree stems must be living**.

For hollows, count only the presence of a stem containing hollows. For a multi-stemmed tree, only the largest stem is included in the count/estimate. Stems may be dead and may be shrubs.

BAM Attribute (1 x 1 m plots)	Litter cover (%)	Bare ground cover (%)	Cryptogam cover (%)	Rock cover (%)
Subplot score (% in each)	30 4060 6060.			
Average of the 5 subplots	50	/	/	

Litter cover is assessed as the average percentage ground cover of litter recorded from five 1 m x 1 m plots centred at 5, 15, 25, 35, 45 m along the plot midline. Litter cover includes leaves, seeds, twigs, branchlets and branches (less than 10 cm in diameter). Assessors may also record the cover of rock, bare ground and cryptogams.

### Physiography + site features that may help in determining PCT and Management Zone (optional)

Morphological	Landform	Landform	Microrelief
Type	Eloment	Pattern	
Lithology	Soil Surface	Soil	Soil
	Texture	Colour	- Depth
Slope	Aspect	Site Dranage	Distance to rearest

Plot Disturbance	Severity code	Age	Observational evidence:
Clearing (inc. logging)			MONFRATE CONPITION
Cultivation (inc. pasture)			- MANRE CANOAL
Soil erosion			CRARE SHADUR LAVER (DECEN)
Firewood / CWD removal			- SPACK STACES STAR ( actually
Grazing (identify native/stock)			- LOW WOODY DERNIS
Fire damage			
Storm damage			
Weediness			
Other			

Severity: 0=no evidence, 1=light, 2=moderate, 3=severa

Age: R=recent (<3yrs), NR=not recent (3-10yrs), D=old (>10yrs).

400 m <sup>2</sup>	plot: Sheet _ of _	Survey Name	Plot Identifier		R	ecorders		
Date	31 01 21	LOUTE! PARK	QOI	6110.	nr 1	2411	ne.	
GF Code	Top 3 native species in All other native and exo	each growth form group: F tic species: Full species na	ull species name mandatory ame where practicable	N, E or HTE	Cover	Abund	stratum	voucher
1	CORYMBIA	MACULATI	7	2	25	10		
*	EUCAYPNS	PANICULAT	A		5	5		
'S	AMIZZAD	ACULEATA		1	5	10		
S	ACACIA P	DRUPINNUL	A	N	0.2			
S	DAVIESIA	ULICIFOLIA		N	0.5	5		
9	PANICUM	SIMICE		N,	0.1	5		
9	ARISTIDA	RAMOSA		N	2	100		
6	LOWANDRY	FILIFORM	S	N	0.1	5		
6	ERAGROSA	1 BROW	NH	N	0.1	10		
4	CYMEOPOS	ON REFR	Acars	N	10	500	0	
G	MICROLAEN	A STIPPIN	DF (	N	10	500	0	
4	LOMANORA	MULTIFLO	RA	N	1	20		
4	DICHTELAEME	7 MICRANT	AHA	M	20	100	0	
4	ECHNOPOG	ON CHESP	LUZOTI	N	2	100	>	
¥	COMMELIAI	A CYNER	\	~	0.2	20		
¥	CYANTHILLIL	om cinfra	A	N	0.2	10		
F	LOBERIA P	URPURASCIEN.	1	N	0.5	20		
0	GLUCINE	TABACINA		N	1	50		
F	DIANFILLA	REVOLUTA		N	0.2	5		
0	GLYCME	CLANDESTIN	N	N	0.1	5		
F	CHRYSOCEPH	hum APICI	LATUM	N	0.5	100		
E	CITELLANTHE	es siran	21	N	0.2	10		
6	THEMEOR	AUSARALIS		N	5	1000		
F	ELYDAN W	AFATA		1	2.1	(0		
F	DICHONORA	REPENS		~	0.5	(00)		
	SETARIA	PARVIEURA		E	2	1001	0	
	PLANTAGO	LANCEULA	CA.	E	2	500		
-	SIDA RHON	OFOLIA	•	E	5	500		
-	HUPOCHARRI	S RADKATA	Ą	E	2	100		
-	VEBENA	BONARIENSI	3	E	0.5	50		
-	SENECIO	MADAGASCA	RIFNELS	(ATW	0.1	5		
_	LANTANA	CAMARA		1771	0.5	1		
_	BIDENS	PILOSA		ITW	0.1	z		
F	OXALIS F	ERRENANS		N	0.1	Z		
6	FIMBRISTYLUS	DICHOTO	mA	N	0.1	Z		
F	TRICORYNE	SIMPLEX		N	0.1	5		and the international
-	CYPERNS	BREN FOLIC	15	r.	0.1	1		
S	PIMOSPORUM	UNDUL	on	~	1	1		
1	EUCAYPAN	CREBRE		N,	2	ì		
	140			N				

**GF Code:** see Growth Form definitions in Appendix 1 N: native, E: exotic, HTE: high threat exotic GF - circle code if 'top 3'. **Cover:** 0.1, 0.2, 0.3, ..., 1, 2, 3, ..., 10, 15, 20, 25, ...100% (foliage cover); **Note:** 0.1% cover represents an area of approximately 63 x 63 cm or a circle about 71 cm across, 0.5% cover represents an area of approximately 1.4 x 1.4 m, and 1% = 2.0 x 2.0 m, 5% = 4 x 5 m, 25% = 10 x 10 m Abundance: 1, 2, 3, ..., 10, 20, 30, ... 100, 200, ..., 1000, ...

BAM Site -	Field Su	rvey F	orm			Site SI	heet n	10:	
			Survey Name	Zone ID		Re	corders	3	
Date 31 01 22			LOUTH PAR	k 2	GILBERRE WHYTE				
Zone	Datu	im	Plot ID	Q02	Plot dimensions	400	me	Photo #	V
Easting 364280	North	ing 079	IBRA region	SYD BUIN	Midline bearing from 0 m	183	-		A PER
Vegetation Clas	55		HUNNER W	N'CLAEY (1	DARWED	GRASS	LANO		nfidence: M L
Plant Community Type			1600-6	-M			EEC:	V Coi	nfidence:

Record easting and northing at 0 m on midline. Dimensions (Shape) of 0.04 ha base plot.

BAM (400	Sum values	
	Trees	0
	Shrubs	0
Count of	Grasses etc.	5
Richness	Forbs	2
	Ferns	1
	Other	0
	Trees	0
Sum of	Shrubs	0
of native	Grasses etc.	11.7
plants by growth form group	Forbs	10.1
	Ferns	0.2
	Other	0
High Threat	51	

	BAM Attribute (1000 m	n² plot)
DBH	# Tree Stems Count	# Stems with Hollows
80 + cm	O	0
50 – 79 cm	0	0
30 – 49 cm	0	
20 – 29 cm	0	
10 – 19 cm	0	
5 – 9 cm	0	
< 5 cm	0	n/a
Length of log (≥10 cm diamete >50 cm in length	s (m) er. 1)	146.82

Counts apply when the **number of tree stems** within a size class is  $\leq$  10. Estimates can be used when > 10 (eg. 10, 20, 30..., 100, 200, 300...). For a **multi-stemmed tree** only the largest living stem is included in the count/estimate. **Tree stems must be living**.

For hollows, sount only the presence of a stem containing hollows. For a multi-stemmed tree, only the largest stem is included in the count/estimate. Stems may be dead and may be shrubs.

BAM Attribute (1 x 1 m plots)		Litter	cove	er (%)		Bare ground cover (%	) Cryptogam cover (%)	Rock cover (%)
Subplot score (% in each)	2	Z	2	2	2			
Average of the 5 subplots			2	2			//	

Litter cover is assessed as the everage percentage ground cover of litter recorded from five 1 m x 1 m plots centred at 5, 15, 25, 35, 45 m along the plot midline. Litter cover includes leaves, seeds, twigs, branchlets and branches (less than 10 cm in diameter). Assessors may also record the cover of rock, bare ground and cryptogams.

## Physiography + site features that may help in determining PCT and Management Zone (optional)

Morphological	Landform	Landform	Microrelief
Type	Element	Pattern	
Lithology -	Soil Surface	Sail	Sail
	Texture	Colour	Depth
Slope	Aspect	Site Drainage	Distance to nearest

Plot Disturbance	Severity code	Age code	Observational evidence:
Clearing (inc. logging)			CLEMED (MANAGEN)
Cultivation (inc. pasture)			
Soil erosion			
Firewood / CWD removal			
Grazing (identify native/stock)			
Fire damage			
Storm damage			
Weediness			-
Other			

Severity: 0=no evidence, 1=light, 2=moderate, 3=severe

Age: R=recent (<3yrs), NR=not recent (3-10yrs), O=old (>10yrs)

400 m <sup>2</sup> j	plot: Sheet _ of _	Survey Name	Plot Identifier		Re	ecorders		
Date	31 01 22	LOURY PARK	Qoz	GILB	err	KAY	PE	
GF Code	Top 3 native species in All other native and exo	each growth form group: Fuli tic species: Full species name	species name mandatory where practicable	N, E or HTE	Cover	Abund	stratum	voucher
4	CYMIBOPO	GON REFRA	ens.	N	10	1000	0	
6	DONRIOCH	LOA MAERA		N	1	100		
1	HYPERICUM	GRAMINEUM		2	0.1	5		
4	LOMANORA	LOMANORA MULITIFLERA				(		
6	CYNODON	7	0.5	200				
4	FIMBRISTY	us Dictoron	An	2	0.5	200	2	
E	CHERANN	VES SIEDERI	<b>`</b>	て	0.2	50		
1	CRATELLA	MSIMPLA		2	10	(0,0	000	
_	SOA R	HOMBIFILIA		5	2	1000	>	
	STERARIA	PARVINLORA		1E	5	(0,0	000	to and a literation
	SENECIO	MADAGASCA	LIENS 15	1ATW	(	200		
-	ERAGROST	CILIANE	2120	5	20	10,	000	>
-	VIERBENA	BONARIENSI	5	÷	0.5	100		
	Axonopus	FISSIFOLIU	1	HKW	50	(00)	,00	00
_	CONYZA	130N DRUSNSI	,	E	0.2	(0)		1
-	HUPOCHAF	RAPICA	ATA	¥	(	200	>	
-	PLANTAGO	LENCEOLA	TA	IE	1	200		
-	CYPERUS	BRENEGLING		4	0.1	2		
	19	Stelling Section.						
	24							
	12							
	22							
	23							
	28							
	1.5							
	20							
	.1					1		
	24							
-	121							
	- 72							
	- 27					1		
	1.54							
	100-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-							
	- P <sub>0</sub>							
	197							
	-12							
	348							
	+141							

**GF Code:** see Growth Form definitions in Appendix 1 N: native, E: exotic, **HTE:** high threat exotic **GF** – circle code if 'top 3'. **Cover:** 0.1, 0.2, 0.3, ..., 1, 2, 3, ..., 10, 15. 20, 25, ....100% (foliage cover); **Note:** 0.1% cover represents an area of approximately 63 x 63 cm or a circle about 71 cm across, 0.5% cover represents an area of approximately 1.4 x 1.4 m, and 1% =  $2.0 \times 2.0 \text{ m}$ , 5% =  $4 \times 5 \text{ m}$ , 25% =  $10 \times 10 \text{ m}$ **Abundance:** 1, 2, 3, ..., 10, 20, 30, ... 100, 200, ..., 1000, ...

BAM Site -	Field Survey F	orm			Site Sheet	no:	
		Survey Name	Zone ID		Recorde	rs	
Date	51 01 22	LOUTH PAG	k 3	GILIJA	RT WH	YTE	
Zone	40 A 94	Plot ID	Qoz	Plot dimensions	400 *	Photo #	~
Easting 36 4130	Northing 6572219	IBRA region	SYO BANH	Midline bearing from 0 m	015°	P	apriette <sup>-9</sup>
Vegetation Class	55	FORIESSIE	D LIETLA	NA.		CFF	nfidence: ML
Plant Communi	ity Type	1598 (1	HUNTER L-L	(unit)	2) EEC:	Y CO	nfidence: ML

Record easting and nuclhing at 0 m on midline. Dimensions (Shape) of 0.04 ha base plot.

BAM (400	Sum values	
	Trees	2
	Shrubs	4
Count of	Grasses etc.	6
Richness	Forbs	5
	Ferns	1
	Other	1
12 A.	Trees	62
Sum of	Shrubs	7.2
of native	Grasses etc.	63.2
plants by growth form group	Forbs	2
	Ferns	0.2
	Other	0.2
High Threat	Weed cover	0.4

BAM Attribute (1000 m <sup>2</sup> plot)					
DBH	# Tree Stems Count # Stems with Hollow				
80 + cm					
50 – 79 cm					
30 – 49 cm	/				
20 – 29 cm	/				
10 – 19 cm					
5 – 9 cm					
< 5 cm	/	n/a			
Length of logs (r (≥10 cm diameter, >50 cm in length)	n) Om	i Ny anara			

Counts apply when the number of tree stems within a size class is  $\leq$  10. Estimates can be used when > 10 (eg. 10, 20, 30..., 100, 200, 300...). For a **multi-stemmed tree**, only the largest living stem is induced in the count/estimate. Tree stems must be living.

For hollows, count only the presence of a stern containing hollows. For a multi-stemmed tree only the largest stem is included in the count/estimate. Stems may be dead and may be shrubs.

BAM Attribute (1 x 1 m plots)	Litter cover (%)	Bare ground cover (%)	Cryptogam cover (%)	Rock cover (%)
Subplot score (% in each)	60 40 10 10 10	0 7		
Average of the 5 subplots	26		/	

Litter cover is assessed as the average percentage ground cover of litter recorded from five 1 m x 1 m plots centred at 5, 15, 25, 35, 45 m along the plot midline. Litter cover includes leaves, seeds, twigs, branchlets and branches (less than 10 cm in diameter). Assessors may also record the cover of rock, bare ground and cryptogams.

### Physiography + site features that may help in determining PCT and Management Zone (optional)

Morphological Type	Landform Element	Landform Pattern	Microrelief	
Lithology	Soil Surface Texture	Solt Colour	Soll 2 Depth	
Slope	Aspect	Site Drainage	Eistance to nearest water and type	

Plot Disturbance	Severity code	Age code	Observational evidence:				
Cleaning (inc. logging)			C				
Cultivation (inc. pasture)			SMAR DRAINME CHANNEL FLOWING				
Soil erosion			NORAL INTO COLORIZED -				
Firewood / CWD removal			1 CONSTICUTED DAM				
Grazing (identify native/stock)			1011 10001 001 2010				
Fire damage			Lou wood presky.				
Storm damage							
Weediness							
Other							

Severity: 0=no evidence, 1=light, 2=moderate, 3=severe

Age: R=recent (<3yrs), NR=not recent (3-10yrs), O=old (>10yrs)

400 m <sup>2</sup>	plot: Sheet _ of _ Survey Name Plot Identifier		Re	corders	
Date	31 OI ZZ LOUAL PARK GOJ	GILB	RA1	KHYT	2
GF Code	Top 3 native species in each growth form group: Full species name mandatory All other native and exotic species: Full species name where practicable	N, E or HTE	Cover	Abund st	ratum voucher
T	EUCALYPINS TEREFICORMS	N	50	20	
X	FULAYPAN MOLLUCANA	2	2	L	
2	ACAEIN FOLCATA	N	0.5	5	
5	PIMOSPORUM UNDULATUM	N	2	2	
5	OZOTHAMMUS DIOSMIFOLIUS	N	0.5	20	
5	BREYNIA OBLONGINFOLD	2	0.2	1	
4	ENTOLASIA MARGINATA	N	0.Z	50.	
F	EINADIO 17ASTATA	N	0.1	1	
F	CYANTHILLIUM CINEREA	N	0.2	5	
F	LOBELLA PURPURASCENS	2	1	(00)	
F	WAHLENBERGIA GRACIUS	N	0.5	(10	
6	DICHELACHINE MICRANTHA	N	10	1000	<b>ə</b>
G	CYMBOPOGON REFRACTUS	N	10	1000	9
9	MICROLAGNA STIPOIDES	N	40	10,00	00
4	CYNOPON DACTYLON	4	1	500	
9	ERAGROSTS BROWNIL	て	2	1000	
0	GLYCIME CLANDESTINA	N	0.2	10	
E	CHERANMES SIRASEI	N	0.2	10	
F	DICHONARA REPIENS	2	0.2	50	
					long in manage
	- 19 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
	Exone Sprice As				
-	SUNCUS REFFUSUS	E	0.1	1	
-	ERAGROSAS CILIANENSIS	i	0.5	50	
-	PLANTAGU LANCOLATA	n	0-1	10	
-	HYPOCHPERIS RAPICAD	1é	0.2	20	
-	SEVECIO MADALASCARIENS	(+TL	0.2	5	
-	BIDENT PILOSA	HTW	0.2	5	
-	COMYZA BONALIENSIS	ń	(	20	

**GF Code:** see Growth Form definitions in Appendix 1 N: native, E: exotic, **HTE:** high threat exotic **GF** – circle code if 'top 3'. **Cover:** 0.1, 0.2, 0.3, ..., 1, 2, 3, ..., 10, 15, 20, 25, ....100% (foliage cover); **Note:** 0.1% cover represents an area of approximately 63 x 63 cm or a circle about 71 cm across, 0.5% cover represents an area of approximately  $1.4 \times 1.4 m$ , and  $1\% = 2.0 \times 2.0 m$ ,  $5\% = 4 \times 5 m$ ,  $25\% = 10 \times 10 m$ **Abundance:** 1, 2, 3, ..., 10, 20, 30, ... 100, 200, ..., 1000, ...

BAM Site -	Field Survey F	orm			Site Sheet n	0:
		Survey Name	Zone ID		Recorders	
Date	31 01 22	LOUTH PIRL	1609-2	GUDH	er wh	4712
Zone 56	GDA 94	Plot ID	Q04	Plot dimensions	4092	Photo #
Easting 264206	Northing 6372258	IBRA region	SUN BASIN	Midline bearing from 0 m	348	173 garder
Vegetation Class	55	HUMATER	MACLIZAY	DRF		Confidence:
Plant Communi	ity Type	1600 (1	·•~ )		EEC:	J Confidence: H M L

Record easting and northing at 0 m on midline. Dimensions (Shape) of 0.04 ha base plot.

BAM (400	Sum values	
	Trees	1
	Shrubs	1
Count of	Grasses etc.	5
Richness	Forbs	2
	Ferns	0
	Other	0
	Trees	2
Sum of	Shrubs	5
of native	Grasses etc.	28.1
plants by growth form group	Forbs	1.5
	Ferns	0
	Other	0
High Threat	2.5	

	BAM Attribute (1000	m²plot)
DBH	# Tree Stems Count	# Stems with Hollows
80 + cm	0	0
50 – 79 cm	0	0
30 – 49 cm		
20 – 29 cm		
10 – 19 cm	1	
5 – 9 cm	~	
< 5 cm		n/a
Length of logs (≥10 cm diamete >50 cm in length	s (m) () 7m	11.10 J.2.14

Counts apply when the number of tree stems within a size class is  $\le 10$ . Estimates can be used when  $\ge 10$  leg, 10, 20, 30..., 100, 200, 300...). For a multi-stemmed tree, only the largest living stem is included in the count/estimate. Tree stems must be living.

For hollows, count only the presence of a stem containing hollows. For a multi-stemmed tree, only the largest stem is included in the count/estimate. Stems may be dead and may be shrubs.

BAM Attribute (1 x 1 m plots)		Litte	r cov	er (%)	1	Bare ground cover (%)	Cryptogam cover (%)	Rock cover (%)
Subplot score (% in each)	2	2	2	2	2			
Average of the 5 subplots			2	-		/	/	

Litter cover is assessed as the average percentage ground cover of litter recorded from five 1 m x 1 m plots centred at 5, 15, 25, 35, 45 m along the plot midline. Litter cover includes leaves, seeds, twigs, branchlets and branches (less than 10 cm in diameter). Assessors may also record the cover of rock, bare ground and cryptogams.

Physiography + site features that may help in determining PCT and Management Zone (optional)

Morphological	Landform	Landform	Microrelief
Type	Element	Pattern	
Lithclogy	Soil Surface	Soil	Soil
	Toxturo	Colour	Depth
Slope	Aspect	Site Drainage	Distance to nearest water and type

Plot Disturbance	Severity code	Age code	Observational evidence	3		
Clearing (inc. logging)					Contraction of the second second	1
Cultivation (inc. pasture)			CLEPARD	AREA.	- MIX	NONE/EXONC
Soil erosion					/****	1 1 1 1
Firewood / CWD removal					CROL	MOCONSA
Grazing (identify native/stock)					412	nc.
Fire damage						
Storm damage						
Weediness						
Other						

Severity C=no evidence, 1=light, 2=moderate, 3-severe

Age: R=recent (<3yrs), NR=no: recent (3-10yrs), O=old (>10yrs)

.

400 m <sup>2</sup>	plot: Sheet _ of _	Survey Name	Plot Identifier	Recorders				
Date	31 01 22	LOUTH PORK	Q04	GILT	in	HU	YNE	
GF Code	Top 3 native species in All other native and exo	each growth form group: Full tic species: Full species nam	species name mandatory e where practicable	N, E or HTE	Cover	Abund	stratum	voucher
1	FUC FIBI	Sort		2	2	2		
Ś	ACACIA DI	ERISATA		4	5	5		
4	CYMROPUL	on <i>Refraqu</i>	J	N	20	(0,	00	6
6	SPOROBOL	US CREBISR	2	N	2	500	•	
6	THEMEDA	1 March 2 MA		7	5	(0 .	9	
F	TRICORYN	E SIMPLIEX		4	I.	56		
F	COMMELINA	CYMER		て	2.0	20.		
-	SIDA RI	tomnifolia		E	0.2	5		
-	GAMUCHAR	TA AMERICA	MA	E	0.2	10		
	ERAGROSTI	CILIANEN	sis	E	30	100	,00	0
-	SETARIA P	DRVIFLORD		E	5	100	8	
-	GOMPHREND	CELISOIDE	2	te .	0.2	5		
-	SENECIO	MADEADACAM	I ENSILI	How	0.5	20		
	CONYZA	BONARIENSI	2	E	0.5	20		
-	PLANTAO	LANCEOLA	NA	E	(	20		
-	DICITELACH	E CRIMATA		6	0.1	5		
-	Axonopus	FISSIFOLU	٤	Hil	2	50		
a	CYNODON	DACTYLOR	J	N	1	50		
	4.9							
	3					-		
	29							
	14							
	22				-			
	<u></u>							
	25							
	1							
			Construction of the state of th	-	-			
	39							
	100							
	33							
	32					-		
	- 57					-		
	34	14						
	13.				1			_
	5%							
	25							
	19	the second s			-			
	102							
	Re ([])					1		

**GF Code:** see Growth Form definitions in Appendix 1 N: native, E: exotic, **HTE:** high threat exotic **GF – circle code** if 'top 3'. **Cover:** 0.1, 0.2, 0.3, ..., 1, 2, 3, ..., 10, 15, 20, 25, ...100% (foliage cover); **Note:** 0.1% cover represents an area of approximately  $63 \times 63 \times cm$  or a circle about 71 cm across. 0.5% cover represents an area of approximately  $1.4 \times 1.4 m$ , and  $1\% = 2.0 \times 2.0 m$ ,  $5\% = 4 \times 5 m$ ,  $25\% = 10 \times 10 m$ **Abundance:** 1, 2, 3, ..., 10, 20, 30, ... 100, 200, ..., 1000, ...

BAM Site -	Field Surve	ey F	orm			Site Sheet r	no:		
			Survey Name	Zone ID		Recorder	'S		
Date	31 01.	ZZ	LOUTH PARI	Qos	GILBERT WHYTTE				
Zone 56	GDA 91	4	Plot ID	Qos	Plot dimensions	400m2	Photo #	V	
Easting 364263	Northing 63721	58	IBRA region	SHO BRIN	Midline bearing from 0 m	242°	1	S. HERVIN	
Vegetation Clas	is .		HUNNER	MACLEAY	PSE		Cor H	fidence: M L	
Plant Community Type			1600-	(man)		EEC:	Y Cor H	fidence. M L	

Record easting and northing at 0 m on midline. Dimensions (Shape) of 0.04 ha base plot.

BAM (400	Attribute m <sup>2</sup> plot)	Sum values
	Trees	2
	Shrubs	5
Count of	Grasses etc.	8
Native Richness	Forbs	7
	Ferns	1
	Other	3
	Trees	30
Sum of	Shrubs	6.2
of native	Grasses etc.	38.3
vascular plants by growth form group	Forbs	6.2
	Ferns	0.2
	Other	1.2

	BAM Attribute (1000 m	<sup>2</sup> plot)
DBH	# Tree Stems Count	# Stems with Hollows
80 + cm		
50 – 79 cm	1	
30 – 49 cm		
20 – 29 cm		
10 – 19 cm		
5 – 9 cm		
< 5 cm		n/a
Length of logs (≥10 cm diameter, >50 cm in length)	(m) 5m. +10	+20 - 35m

Counts apply when the number of tree stems within a size class is  $\leq$  10. Estimates can be used when  $\geq$  10 (eg. 10, 20, 30 ..., 100, 200, 300...). For a multi-stemmed tree, only the largest living stem is included in the count/estimate. Tree stems must be living.

For hollows, count only the presence of a stem containing hollows. For a multi-stemmed tree, only the largest stem is included in the count/estimate. Stems may be dead and may be shrubs.

BAM Attribute (1 x 1 m plots)		Litter	cove	r (%)		Bare g	round a	over (%)	Crypto	gam cover (%)	Ro	ock cover (%)
Subplot score (% in each)	5	5	5	2-	20	0			0.0		2	
Average of the 5 subplots			11				/		/		-	

Litter cover is assessed as the average percentage ground cover of litter recorded from five 1 m x 1 m plots centred at 5, 15, 25, 35, 45 m along the plot midline. Litter cover includes leaves, seeds, twigs, branchlets and branches (less than 10 cm in diameter). Assessors may also record the cover of rock, bare ground and cryptogams.

Physiography + site features that may help in determining PCT and Management Zone (optional)

Morphological	Landform	Landform	Microreliaf
Type	Element	Paltern	
Lithology	Sul Surface	Sail	Sui
	Texture	Calaar	Depth
Slope	Aspect	Site Drainage	Distance to nearest water and type

Plot Disturbance	Severity code	Age code	Observational evidence:
Clearing (inc. logging)			
Cultivation (inc. pasture)			LOW-LYING - DENSTE GRASS CONSE
Soil erosion			
Firewood / CWD removal			
Grazing (identify native/stock)			
Fire damage			
Storm damage			
Weediness			
Other			

Severity: 0=no evidence, 1=light, 2=moderate, 3=severe

Age: R=recent (<3yrs), NR=not recent (3-10yrs), O=old (>10yrs)

400 m <sup>2</sup>	plot: Sheet _ of _	Survey Name	Plot Identifier	Ι	Re	ecorders	te sharowe ta	
Date	31 01 22	LOUTH PARIC	Qos	GILT	AT	MHY	112	
GF Code	Top 3 native species in All other native and exc	each growth form group: Full s tic species: Full species name	species name mandatory where practicable	N, E or HTE	Cover	Abund	stratum	voucher
1	CORYMON	MACULATA		N	10	1		
T	EUCALYPI	US ACMIENOIC	ES	2	20	3		
S	ACACIA D	FALRATA		N	2	5		
S	PITTOSPORUM	A UNDULAGU	m	2	2	Z		
S	CASSINIA	ACULIFATA		N	2	5		
9	LOMPHORN	MULTIFLORD	•	N	0.2	Z	-	
S	BREYNA	OBLONGIFALIA	5	N	0.1	1		
0	GLYCIE	TABAEWA		~	0.2	10		
4	ECHNYPOG	ON CHESPIT	r.r.1	N	0.5	20		
4	TRICORYN	& SIMPLEX		N	0.2	10		
5	MICROLATES	UN STROID	NES	N	30	(00	,00	U
4	CYMBOPOG	IN REFEREN	ړن	N	5	1000	Ð	
F	CHRYSOCEPE	form porcul	ATUM	N	0.5	(00		
F	LODELLA	Purpurascen 1		2	0.5	50		
6	COMMELINA	CYNEA		ろ	2	100	0	
F	DICHONDRA	REPENS		5	Z	(00)	5	
F	SOLANUM	PRINOPHALLUR	~	2	0.5	Z		
E	CITELLAND	thes sinceri	RI	N	0.2	10		
0	GLYCIMA	CHANDESTINA		N	0.5	ro		
0	PANDOREA	PANDORANA		N	0.5	(0)		
-	BIDENJ	PILOSA		HTH	0.1	5		
-	SENECIO I	WOAL ASCALE	21210	HTN	0.2	10		
-	LAMTANA	CAMARA	n Courten	HTW	0.7	1		
6	CYNODON	DECTYLON		N	0.2	50		
4	CAREX IN	inises a		2	0.2	50		
S	LISSANATE	STRIGOGA		4	0.1			
4	THEMEDA	MS-RALIS		2	2	10		
-	17-1POCHARRI	S RADICATA		E	0.5	20		
	WATSONIA	MERIANA		E	0.1	1		
-	SETANA	PARVIFLORA	ŧ	F	Or	20		
-	COMPA	SUMATRIES	isis E	0.1	1			
-	PLANTAGO	LANCEULA	ra .	Æ	0.5	50		
-	FRUARKA	FRECTA	How	0.5	0.5	200		
-	(ONYZA 1	JONARIENOS	<b>x</b> 1 1 <b>x</b> 2	E	0.2	5		
-	SIDE RH	ONDIFULIA		6	15	1.00	0	
	WANTENRER	ALA GRACILI	s	N	0.5	10		
	FILMERI STUI	5 DICHOM	na	5	0.7	50		
	1	1/10/10				-		
1								
	Ju.					1		
	has a realized by the second s							( and the second se

**GF Code:** see Growth Form definitions in Appendix 1N: native, E: exctic, HTE: high threat exotic**GF - circle code** if 'top 3'.**Cover:**  $0.1, 0.2, 0.3, ..., 1, 2, 3, ..., 10, 15, 20, 25, ...100% (foliage cover); Note: 0.1% cover represents an area of approximately 63 x 63 cm or<br/>a circle about 71 cm across, 0.5% cover represents an area of approximately 1.4 x 1.4 m, and 1% = <math>2.0 \times 2.0 \text{ m}$ . 5% =  $4 \times 5 \text{ m}$ . 25% =  $10 \times 10 \text{ m}$ Abundance:1, 2, 3, ..., 10, 20, 30, ... 100, 200, ..., 1000, ...

1

Project: HBTO	- LOUTH PARK		1	
Assessor: Gilbert Whyte	e (BAAS18041)	TT		- Ale
Date: 0 23/03/27	Plot ID: Q 66		TTAT	
Bearing: O 1	Datum: GDA94		IIAI	the second
Test 7/1.248	1000 677 7674	ENVIRONMEN	FAL SERVI	CES
East. <u>104270</u>	North: 03 7 24 74			
Landscape Position: FL	ar	Weeds: BIDENS	5 OTHE	2.5
Soil/Geology: ALLUV	10m	Condition: poo -	6000	Υ.
Veg Structure: 60065	·7·			
			1 I I I I	
PCT: 159		EEC: YES		
Contre o 1		Veg Zone:		
SPOTINI GUN	n (nonoizy			
			T.	
Litter Cover	Tree Stems (DBH)	P Stem Count	Hollows	
			1	
			(	
			~	
		Length Logs (m):	0	
Po 40			-	
Average: 12	5-9cm	Predicted VI G	5	0.0000000000000000000000000000000000000
	<pre>&gt;&gt;cm</pre>	Actual VI:		
GF		an an the second state of the s		
Code Species			Cover	Abundance
T EVCA	LYPNS PANC	UNTA	10	5
T Evely	IPTVS PUNCT	rata	5	2
- (ori)	MILIA MACULA	TĄ	10	5
I PINO	sporum uno	ULAINM	15	5
S HCAC	ALA IMPCIEXA		S	Z
E CENT	TLA ATRAICA			10.000
S & THEM	ISCHOGON RA	PTCHON S	20	(0,000
G COOR	PRALING TOTAL	- 1	~	10,000
4 10 D	IDella CARILIA			10000
G H. Lasta	ACUT MICKI			10000
G 12 Curdo	DON DA	N S	2	1000
HTH 13 17. 2-	al plines	· C.		50
F S DICH	AMAR AGAR	~1	5.5	ron
O BAARA	NGA GRAMI	NEAL	1	2
FX 11 11 1/60	HARRIS RAMI-	ATA	0.1	50
G DILIAN	20110 DONV. KIN	10	1	500
Fx CONT	A RONDRISMA	( ×	i	500
EX VERRE	NA RONDRIENS		0.)	50
EX PLAMP	40 LANCEOLAT	A	0.1	100
G CUPER	UI GRACILI	8	0.7	50
E 22 Prepting	adum mil FF	iorm	0.1	10
FO 23 CIDEN	ANALES CUEROR	1	0.1	SO

Project:	Lound	PAK	
Date: 2	3/03/2	7 Plot ID: Q 07	



GF Code	Species	Cover	Abundance
4	24 FIMBRESTVUI DICHOTUMA	0.2	So
1472	SENECIO MADREASCALVENSUS	0.1	10
And	28. RRIZA MAJOR MAXIMA	0.2	50
1=	* TRICORYNG SIMPLEX	0.1	70
9	28 CARGY INVERSA	0.1	50
17×	23 SIDA RHUMBIFOLIA	0.5	100
S	ACACIA IRRORATA	0.1	(
9	COMANORA FILBORMUS	0.1	20
F.	32 LO DIANFILLA CATTULES VAR. PRODUCA	0 . Z	2
	33.		к 1
	34.		
	36.		
	36.		
	37.		
	38.		
	40.		
	A7.		
	42.		
	43.		
	48,	5	
	45.		
	46.		
	47.		
	43		
	43. Þá		
	543. ···		*
	59.		1.11
	52.	* 9	
	\$3. *	1. K	
	54.	¥	
	R5.		
	57.	1. 1. 1.	
	58.		
	59.		

Total Specie	s	Total Cover	
Trees	3	Trees	25.0
Shrubs	٦	Shrubs	20.1
Grasses	Ц	Grasses	62.6
Forbs	5	Forbs	1.9
Ferns	1.1	Ferns	0.1
Other	$A_{i}$	Other	\
	<u>8</u> .00	HTW	0.2

Data Check	
Site/ Date	~
Plot ID	7
Bearing/ Coordinates	v
Photos	し
Landscape Info	/
Litter/ Stems/ Logs	/
Species/ Cover/ Abund.	/

400 m <sup>2</sup>	plot: Sheet _ of _	Survey Name	Plot Identifier		Re	ecorders		
Date	31 01 22	LOUTH PARK-	N/A	GILISA	25	L HYT	F	
GF Code	Top 3 native species in All other native and exo	each growth form group: Full tic species: Full species name	species name mandatory where practicable	N, E or HTE	Cover	Abund	stratum	voucher
	CORYMBIA	MACULATA		SENEC	Lu VI	LCAR	LIS	
	EUCAYPNS	PANICULATA		h ARA	ricum	OFF	ICIN	ME
	BURSARA !	SPINOSA		WATS	AINO	ME	RIAN	A
	ACACIN PAG	EVIPINNULA		BIDE	2 24	BAL	FRRN	AMA
	CASSINIA	ACULEATA		ImpE	RATE	CY	UNDR	ICA
	PIHOSPORUM	N UNDULAT	um	NOTE	LATEA	VE	Nort	
•	DAVIESIA	ULICIFOLIA		HARM	WB 220	VA V	IOLA	CRA
	BREYNIA C	RLONGIFULIA		PAND	OREA	PA	NOOR	ANA
	ACACIA 12	LONGATA		EUCA	YPNS	Fil	ROSI	
	OZONAAMNU	1 DIOSMIFOLI	-5	DLEA	Eur	RUPE	AC	USPITA
	DICHONORA	REPENS		DPUN	tra 1	AURA	NTIA	CA
-	MICROLAEN	A STIPOIDES	ł	INDIG	DESCRA	AU	SAR	us
	DICHELOCHNE	MICRAMHA	-1	PARSON	SA	STRAN	MNE	
	CYMBOPOGO~	REFRACUS		ERAC	ROSTIS	CIL	AENS	15
_	FIMDASTYLIS	NKHOTOMA		DIANT	KLA	REVO	LUTI	
	ARISTIDA VA	GANS		SPOR	Borns	CRE	enn	
*	ECHINOPOLION	~ CANESP Has	VM	9000	ENIA	RON	NOIF	ALIA
	CONDHORD	MULTIFLORA		CYAN	d// IUN	A CI	NEM	FA
_	PANICOM	EFFLSUM		LISSAN	THE	STRIC	A20	
	ENFOLDSIN	SALCIA		ACACI	h FD	ZCAT	A	
	LOBELIA	PURPURACE	<u>ר</u> אנ	CENT	EUA	ASIA	TCA	
	SOLANM	PRINOPHYLLY	~	GAMO	CANGAL	A	FRICA	AN
	COMMELINA	CUMEA		ACAC	AL	ONGI	FOUL	
	GLYCINE	TAJACINA		FHRYCH	WITEM	PIDES	no	NULIF
	GLYCINE	CLANDESTINA		EXOC	pear	CA	RESS	Fole
	ARTHOPODIN	m MILLIFLOR	UM	AXONO	PUS F	SIFE	LUS	
	CITEILANNE	s sirebar 1		HYPER	rum	GRA	MINE	ium
	THEMEOR	AUSARPLIS		NEPHR	LEPUS	COR	DIFOC	IA
	BIDENS P	1LOSA	-	BCNA	SFRA	ULATA	4	
	LANTANA	CAMPRA	(	tiky.	SOCEPI	ARUN	N	CLAR
	CONYZA _	PUMATRIENS!	2	printi	TZ A	RICTF	A.	
	VERIFENA	BONDERNERS		PRUM	IS SP.			
	GompitocARP	of FRUNKOSIS		ACAAF	DEF	XBA	A	
	SETAKIA 1	ARVIFLORA		ANGO	PHURA	PAX	ERI	
	SENECIO N	ADAGAS ARIENS	1.1	EUCAL	PNS	TER	RETR	CRNIS
	CHLORIS GI	AYANA		ACACI	a D	Fron	A	
	CYNODON	DACTYLON		ELEOU	HARIS	EC	iver	INA
	PRSPAUM	DILITATUN		SUN	us	EFF	isus	-
	PLANTAGO	LANC FOLDTA		CASU	ARINI	C	we.	A
	SIDA RI	tumB, FULLA		EUC	ALYDA	NO	nor	LUCA

**GF Code:** see Growth Form definitions in Appendix 1 N: native, E: exotic, **HTE:** high threat exotic **GF** – circle code if 'top 3'. **Cover:** 0.1, 0.2, 0.3, ..., 1, 2, 3, ..., 10, 15, 20, 25, ... 100% (foliage cover); **Note:** 0.1% cover represents an area of approximately 63 x 63 cm or a circle about 71 cm across, 0.5% cover represents an area of approximately 1.4 x 1.4 m, and  $1\% = 2.0 \times 2.0 \text{ m}$ ,  $5\% = 4 \times 5 \text{ m}$ ,  $25\% = 10 \times 10 \text{ m}$ **Abundance:** 1, 2, 3, ..., 10, 20, 30, ... 100, 200, ..., 1000, ...

400 m <sup>2</sup>	plot: Sheet _ of _	Survey Name Plot Identifier		R	ecorders		
Date	31 01 22	LOUTLY PARK ROAL	GILDE	er w	HYTE.		
GF Code	Top 3 native species in All other native and exo	each growth form group: Full species name mandatory tic species: Full species name where practicable	N, E or HTE	Cover	Abund	stratum	voucher
	MELALEUCA	QUINQUENERVIA					
	LEPTO SPERM	un polychlifolium					
	CALLSTEM	on linearis					
	ACACIA L	HCIFOLIA					
	LUDWIGIA	PEPLOIDES.					
	EUCALYPIUS	whiteh	Sain an sain sa				
	CORYMBIA	GUMMIFERA					
	EUCAYPNI	GRANNIS					
	PULTENATEA	RETUSA				-	
	ELCAYPNS	CAPITELLATA	1				
	FULLARA,	FPICATA					
	(SETACEA)	KIANTIONSPEREMA SP.					
						-	
		dination of the second s					
	14						
	10				-		
							- 08/76/77-02
	1.1				1		
	22				-		
	20						
	24						
	125	and the second	and the second second				
	39						
	128						
	29						
	BC						
	31			the second second sec	A CILEWAND		
	32						
	12						
	24						
	2						
	36						
and the second		2 					
	1						
	64						
	10)				Ne.		

**GF Code:** see Growth Form definitions in Appendix 1 N: native, **E:** exotic, **HTE:** high threat exotic **GF** – **circle code** if 'top 3'. **Cover:** 0.1, 0.2, 0.3, ..., 1, 2, 3, ..., 10, 15, 20, 25, ... 100% (foliage cover); **Note:** 0.1% cover represents an area of approximately 63 x 63 cm or a circle about 71 cm across, 0.5% cover represents an area of approximately 1.4 x 1.4 m, and  $1\% = 2.0 \times 2.0 \text{ m}$ ,  $5\% = 4 \times 5 \text{ m}$ ,  $25\% = 10 \times 10 \text{ m}$ **Abundance:** 1, 2, 3, ..., 10, 20, 30, ... 100, 200, ..., 1000, ...

# **Appendix E – Flora and Fauna Species Lists**





Form	Name	Q01	Q02	Q03	Q04	Q05	Q06	RM
Exotic	Bidens subalternans							х
Exotic	Briza maxima							
Exotic	Conyza bonariensis		0.2	1	0.5	0.2	1	
Exotic	Conyza sumatriensis					0.1		
Exotic	Cyperus brevifolius	0.1	0.1					
Exotic	Eragrostis cilianensis		20	0.5	30			
Exotic	Gamochaeta americana				0.2			
Exotic	Gomphocarpus fruticosis							х
Exotic	Gomphrena celosioides				0.2			
Exotic	Hypochaeris radicata	2	1	0.2		0.5	0.1	
Exotic	Juncus effusus			0.1				
Exotic	Nephrolepis cordifolia							х
Exotic	Plantago lanceolata	2	1	0.1	1	0.5	0.1	
Exotic	Prunus sp.							х
Exotic	Senecio vulgaris							х
Exotic	Setaria parviflora	2	5		5	0.5		
Exotic	Sida rhombifolia	5	2		0.2	15	0.5	
Exotic	Taraxacum officinale							x
Exotic	Verbena bonariensis	0.5	0.5				0.2	
Exotic	Watsonia meriana					0.1		
Fern	Cheilanthes sieberi	0.2	0.2	0.2		0.2	0.1	
Fern	Pellaea falcata							х
Forb	Arthropodium milleflorum						0.1	x
Forb	Centella asiatica		10				1	
Forb	Chrysocephalum apiculatum	0.5				0.5		
Forb	Commelina cyanea	0.2			0.5	2		
Forb	Cyanthillium cinerea	0.2		0.2				
Forb	Dianella caerulea var. producta						0.2	
Forb	Dianella revoluta	0.2						
Forb	Dichondra repens	0.5		0.2		2	0.5	
Forb	Einadia hastata	0.5		0.1				
Forb	Goodenia rotundifolia							х
Forb	Hypericum gramineum		0.1					
Forb	Lobelia purpurascens	0.5		1		0.5		
Forb	Oxalis perennans	0.1						
Forb	Solanum prinophyllum					0.5		
Forb	Tricoryne simplex	0.1			1	0.2	0.1	
Forb	Wahlenbergia gracilis			0.5		0.5		
Grass/Grass Like	Aristida ramosa	2						
Grass/Grass Like	Bothriochloa macra		1					
Grass/Grass Like	Carex inversa		-			0.2	0.1	
Grass/Grass Like	Cymbopogon refractus	10	10	10	20	5	20	
Grass/Grass Like	Cynodon dactylon		0.1	1	1	0.2	1	
Grass/Grass Like	Cyperus gracilis					=	0.2	
Grass/Grass Like	Dichelachne crinata				0.1			
Grass/Grass Like	Dichelachne micrantha	20		10			10	
Grass/Grass Like	Digitaria parviflora						1	

Form	Name	Q01	Q02	Q03	Q04	Q05	Q06	RM
Grass/Grass Like	Echinopogon caespitosus	2				0.5		
Grass/Grass Like	Eleocharis equisitina							х
Grass/Grass Like	Entolasia marginata			0.2				
Grass/Grass Like	Eragrostis brownii	0.1		2				
Grass/Grass Like	Fimbristylis dichotoma	0.1	0.5			0.2	0.2	
Grass/Grass Like	Imperata cylindrica							х
Grass/Grass Like	Lomandra filiformis	0.1					0.1	
Grass/Grass Like	Lomandra multiflora	1	0.1			0.2		
Grass/Grass Like	Microlaena stipoides var. stipoides	10		40		30	5	
Grass/Grass Like	Panicum simile	0.1						
Grass/Grass Like	Rytidosperma fulva							х
Grass/Grass Like	Sporobolus creber				2		5	
Grass/Grass Like	Themeda australis	5			5	2	20	
HTW	Axonopus fissifolius		50		2			
HTW	Bidens pilosa	0.1		0.2		0.1	0.1	
HTW	Chloris gayana							х
HTW	Chrysanthemoides monolifera							х
HTW	Erharta erecta					0.5		
HTW	Lantana camara	0.5				0.2		
HTW	Ochna serrulata							х
HTW	Olea europaea subsp. cuspidata							х
HTW	Opuntia aurantiaca							х
HTW	Opuntia stricta							Х
HTW	Paspalum dilatatum							х
HTW	Senecio madagascariensis	0.1	1	0.2	0.5	0.2	0.1	
Other	Glycine clandestina	0.1		0.2		0.5		
Other	Glycine tabacina	1				0.2		
Other	Hardenbergia violacea							Х
Other								Х
Other	Ottelia ovalifolia subsp. ovalifolia							Х
Other	Pandorea pandorana					0.5		
Other	Parsonsia straminea						1	Х
Shrub	Acacia dealbata				5	2		
Shrub								х
Shrub				0.5				
Shrub							5	
Shrub	Acacia irrorata						0.1	
Shrub								х
Shrub	Acacia parvipinnula	0.2						
Shrub	Acacia ulicitolia							х
Shrub				0.2		0.1		
Shrub								x
Shrub		5				2		
Shrub		0.5						
Shrub								х
Shrub								Х
Shrub	Leptospermum polygalifolium							х
Shrub	Lissanthe strigosa					0.1		

Form	Name	Q01	Q02	Q03	Q04	Q05	Q06	RM
Shrub	Notelaea venosa							х
Shrub	Ozothamnus diosmifolium			0.5				
Shrub	Pittosporum undulatum	1		2		2	15	
Shrub	Pultenaea retusa							x
Tree	Angophora bakeri							х
Tree	Casuarina glauca							х
Tree	Corymbia gummifera							х
Tree	Corymbia maculata	25				10	10	
Tree	Eucalyptus acmenoides					20		
Tree	Eucalyptus capitellata							х
Tree	Eucalyptus crebra	2						
Tree	Eucalyptus fibrosa				2			
Tree	Eucalyptus grandis							х
Tree	Eucalyptus longifolia							х
Tree	Eucalyptus moluccana			2				
Tree	Eucalyptus paniculata	5					10	
Tree	Eucalyptus punctata						5	
Tree	Eucalyptus tereticornis			50				
Tree	Melaleuca quinquenervia							x

Common Name	Species Name	Conservation Status
Birds		
Australian Magpie	Gymnorhina tibicen	
Australian Raven	Corvus coronoides	
Eastern Rosella	Platycercus eximius	
Eastern Yellow Robin	Eopsaltria australis	
Grey-crowned Babbler	Pomatostomus temporalis temporalis	Vulnerable (BC Act)
King Parrot	Alisterus scapularis	
Laughing Kookooburra	Dacelo novaeguineae	
Noisy Friarbird	Philemon corniculatus	
Noisy Miner	Manorina melanocephala	
White-faced Heron	Egretta novaehollandiae	
Willy Wagtail	Rhipidura leucophrys	
Mammals		
Black Rat	Rattus rattus	
Brown Antechinus	Antechinus stuartii	
Brush-tailed Possum	Trichosurus vulpecula	
Chocolate wattled bat	Chalinolobus morio	
Eastern bent-wing bat	Miniopterus orianae oceanensis	Vulnerable (BC Act)
Eastern coastal free-tail bat	Micronomus norfolkensis	Vulnerable (BC Act)
Eastern Grey Kangaroo	Macropus giganteus	
Eastern horseshoe-bat	Rhinolophus megaphylus	
Feather-tail Glider	Acrobates pygmaeus	
Gould's wattled bat	Chalinolobus gouldii	
Little bent-wing bat	Miniopterus australis	Vulnerable (BC Act)
Ride's freetail bat	Ozimops ridei	
Southern Myotis	Southern Myotis	Vulnerable (BC Act)
Squirrel Glider	Petaurus norfolcensis	Vulnerable (BC Act)
Sugar Glider	Petaurus breviceps	
Unidentified long-eared bat	Nyctophilus spp.	
White-striped freetail bat	Austronomus australis	
Reptiles		
Lace Monitor	Varanus varius	
Amphibians		
Broad-palmed Frog	Litoria latopalmata	
Eastern Dwarf Tree Frog	Litoria fallax	
Striped Marsh Frog	Limnodynastes peronii	

**Appendix F – Tree Retention Information** 





Tree ID	Tree Species	Tree Height (m)	Canopy Spread (m)	DBH (cm)	Age Class	Health	Habitat Tree	Hollows Diameter (cm)
1	Corymbia maculata (Spotted Gum)	25	15	110	Mature	Good	HBT	10,20
2	Corymbia maculata (Spotted Gum)	30	20	110	Mature	Good	HBT	15
3	Corymbia maculata (Spotted Gum)	25	20	100	Mature	Good	HBT	20 20
4	Corymbia maculata (Spotted Gum)	25	20	130	Mature	Good	HBT	15
5	Eucalyptus fibrosa (Broad-leaved Ironbark)	25	15	90	Mature	Good	Non-HBT	0
6	Corymbia maculata (Spotted Gum)	25	20	100	Mature	Good	Non-HBT	0
7	Corymbia maculata (Spotted Gum)	20	20	100	Mature	Good	Non-HBT	0
8	Corymbia maculata (Spotted Gum)	25	15	90	Mature	Good	HBT	10
9	Corymbia maculata (Spotted Gum)	15	8	40	Mature	Good	Non-HBT	0
10	Eucalyptus paniculata (Grey Ironbark)	12	8	40	Mature	Good	Non-HBT	0
12	Corymbia maculata (Spotted Gum)	12	6	40	Mature	Good	Non-HBT	0
13	Corymbia maculata (Spotted Gum)	15	10	40	Mature	Good	Non-HBT	0
14	Corymbia maculata (Spotted Gum)	15	8	40	Mature	Good	Non-HBT	0
15	Corymbia maculata (Spotted Gum)	15	20	80	Mature	Good	HBT	10 15
16	Eucalyptus fibrosa (Broad-leaved Ironbark)	25	15	80	Mature	Good	Non-HBT	0
17	Corymbia maculata (Spotted Gum)	25	25	100	Mature	Good	Non-HBT	0
18	Corymbia maculata (Spotted Gum)	25	15	90	Mature	Good	Non-HBT	0
20	Corymbia maculata (Spotted Gum)	25	15	100	Mature	Good	Non-HBT	0
21	Eucalyptus fibrosa (Broad-leaved Ironbark)	20	10	90	Mature	Good	Non-HBT	0
22	Corymbia maculata (Spotted Gum)	20	15	40	Mature	Good	Non-HBT	0
23	Corymbia maculata (Spotted Gum)	20	15	70	Mature	Good	Non-HBT	0
24	Eucalyptus fibrosa (Broad-leaved Ironbark)	25	15	90	Mature	Good	Non-HBT	0
25	Eucalyptus fibrosa (Broad-leaved Ironbark)	25	25	90	Mature	Good	Non-HBT	0
26	Eucalyptus fibrosa (Broad-leaved Ironbark)	20	20	60	Mature	Good	Non-HBT	0
27	Eucalyptus fibrosa (Broad-leaved Ironbark)	15	6	25	Mature	Good	Non-HBT	0
28	Eucalyptus fibrosa (Broad-leaved Ironbark)	15	6	25	Mature	Good	Non-HBT	0
29	Eucalyptus fibrosa (Broad-leaved Ironbark)	15	6	25	Mature	Good	Non-HBT	0

Tree ID	Tree Species	Tree Height (m)	Canopy Spread (m)	DBH (cm)	Age Class	Health	Habitat Tree	Hollows Diameter (cm)
31	Eucalyptus fibrosa (Broad-leaved Ironbark)	15	6	30	Mature	Good	Non-HBT	0
32	Eucalyptus fibrosa (Broad-leaved Ironbark)	15	6	30	Mature	Good	Non-HBT	0
33	Eucalyptus punctata (Grey Gum)	15	8	50	Mature	Good	Non-HBT	0
34	Eucalyptus eugenioides (Thin-leaved Stringybark)	15	8	40	Mature	Good	Non-HBT	0
35	Corymbia maculata (Spotted Gum)	20	8	40	Mature	Good	Non-HBT	0
36	Corymbia maculata (Spotted Gum)	20	15	70	Mature	Good	Non-HBT	0
37	Angophora costata (Smooth-barked Apple)	15	15	25	Mature	Good	Non-HBT	0
37	Corymbia maculata (Spotted Gum)	25	15	100	Mature	Good	HBT	5
38	Eucalyptus fibrosa (Broad-leaved Ironbark)	20	15	80	Mature	Good	Non-HBT	0
39	Eucalyptus fibrosa (Broad-leaved Ironbark)	10	10	40	Mature	Good	Non-HBT	0
40	Corymbia maculata (Spotted Gum)	20	20	90	Mature	Good	Non-HBT	0
41	Eucalyptus fibrosa (Broad-leaved Ironbark)	15	10	80	Mature	Good	Non-HBT	0
42	Eucalyptus fibrosa (Broad-leaved Ironbark)	10	10	50	Mature	Good	HBT	5
48	Corymbia maculata (Spotted Gum)	15	10	60	Mature	Good	Non-HBT	0
49	Eucalyptus fibrosa (Broad-leaved Ironbark)	20	15	30	Mature	Good	Non-HBT	0
51	Eucalyptus fibrosa (Broad-leaved Ironbark)	20	15	80	Mature	Good	Non-HBT	0
52	Eucalyptus fibrosa (Broad-leaved Ironbark)	15	15	100	Mature	Good	Non-HBT	0
54	Eucalyptus fibrosa (Broad-leaved Ironbark)	15	6	25	Mature	Good	Non-HBT	0
55	Eucalyptus fibrosa (Broad-leaved Ironbark)	15	6	25	Mature	Good	Non-HBT	0
56	Eucalyptus fibrosa (Broad-leaved Ironbark)	12	6	20	Mature	Good	Non-HBT	0
57	Eucalyptus fibrosa (Broad-leaved Ironbark)	15	6	30	Mature	Good	Non-HBT	0
58	Eucalyptus fibrosa (Broad-leaved Ironbark)	15	6	30	Mature	Good	Non-HBT	0
59	Eucalyptus fibrosa (Broad-leaved Ironbark)	15	6	30	Mature	Good	Non-HBT	0
60	Eucalyptus fibrosa (Broad-leaved Ironbark)	15	6	30	Mature	Good	Non-HBT	0
61	Eucalyptus fibrosa (Broad-leaved Ironbark)	15	6	30	Mature	Good	Non-HBT	0
62	Eucalyptus fibrosa (Broad-leaved Ironbark)	15	6	30	Mature	Good	Non-HBT	0
64	Eucalyptus fibrosa (Broad-leaved Ironbark)	20	15	100	Mature	Good	Non-HBT	0

Tree ID	Tree Species	Tree Height (m)	Canopy Spread (m)	DBH (cm)	Age Class	Health	Habitat Tree	Hollows Diameter (cm)
65	Eucalyptus fibrosa (Broad-leaved Ironbark)	20	15	60	Mature	Good	Non-HBT	0
68	Eucalyptus fibrosa (Broad-leaved Ironbark)	15	15	50	Mature	Good	Non-HBT	0
74	Corymbia maculata (Spotted Gum)	15	8	40	Mature	Good	Non-HBT	0
75	Corymbia maculata (Spotted Gum)	15	8	20	Mature	Good	Non-HBT	0
76	Corymbia maculata (Spotted Gum)	12	8	15	Mature	Good	Non-HBT	0
77	Corymbia maculata (Spotted Gum)	15	8	40	Mature	Good	Non-HBT	0
78	Corymbia maculata (Spotted Gum)	15	8	40	Mature	Good	Non-HBT	0
98	Corymbia maculata (Spotted Gum)	15	8	20	Mature	Good	Non-HBT	0
101	Corymbia maculata (Spotted Gum)	15	8	20	Mature	Good	Non-HBT	0
102	Corymbia maculata (Spotted Gum)	15	8	20	Mature	Good	Non-HBT	0
103	Corymbia maculata (Spotted Gum)	20	8	50	Mature	Good	Non-HBT	0
104	Corymbia maculata (Spotted Gum)	20	8	60	Mature	Good	Non-HBT	0
105	Corymbia maculata (Spotted Gum)	20	8	40	Mature	Good	Non-HBT	0
106	Corymbia maculata (Spotted Gum)	15	8	20	Mature	Good	Non-HBT	0
107	Corymbia maculata (Spotted Gum)	15	8	30	Mature	Good	Non-HBT	0
108	Corymbia maculata (Spotted Gum)	15	8	30	Mature	Good	Non-HBT	0
109	Corymbia maculata (Spotted Gum)	15	8	30	Mature	Good	Non-HBT	0
110	Corymbia maculata (Spotted Gum)	15	8	30	Mature	Good	Non-HBT	0
111	Corymbia maculata (Spotted Gum)	15	8	30	Mature	Good	Non-HBT	0
117	Corymbia maculata (Spotted Gum)	20	15	100	Mature	Good	Non-HBT	0
118	Eucalyptus fibrosa (Broad-leaved Ironbark)	20	20	90	Mature	Good	Non-HBT	0
119	Angophora costata (Smooth-barked Apple)	15	15	25	Mature	Good	Non-HBT	0
120	Angophora costata (Smooth-barked Apple)	15	15	30	Mature	Good	Non-HBT	0