

GEOTECHNICAL SITE INVESTIGATION

- PROJECT: 176 Wollombi Road, Farley, NSW 2320
- CLIENT: Bathla Group
- DATE: 9 September 2022
- **REPORT No.: NE1394**



GEOTESTA PTY LTD ABN 91 851 620 815 Unit 6, 20-22 Foundry Road, Seven Hills, NSW 2147 1300 852 216 info@geotesta.com.au geotesta.com.au

Table of Contents

1.	1. INTRODUCTION	4
2.	2. FIELD INVESTIGATION	5
3.	3. FINDINGS	
	3.1 Site Condition and topography	
	3.2 Site Geology	
	3.3 Soil/Rock Profile	7
	3.4 Site Classification	7
	3.5 Groundwater	
	3.6 Earthquake Design	
	3.7 Salinity and Aggressivity Assessment	
	3.7.1 Salinity Assessment	
	3.7.2 Aggressivity assessment	9
	3.7.3 Exposure Classifications for concrete and s	teel in Saline and sulfate soils10
4.	4. FOUNDATION RECOMMENDATIONS	
	4.1 Geotechnical Design Parameters	
	4.2 Strip Footing	11
	4.3 Bored or Screw Piles	
5.	5. EXCAVATION, EARTHWORKS, RETAINI	NG WALL &14
L	LATERAL EARTH PRESSURES	
	5.1 Site Preparation	
	5.2 Excavation	
	5.3 Engineered Fill	
	5.4 Temporary Cut Batters	
	5.5 Compaction Requirements	
	5.6 Retaining Walls	
6.	6. REFERENCES	

Table Index

Table 1: Summary of Sub-surface Materials	7	
Table 2: Summary of Soil Laboratory Test Results		
Table 3: Soil Salinity Test Results	9	
Table 4: Soil Aggressivity test results for concrete and steel piles	10	
Table 5: Estimated Geotechnical Design Parameters	11	
Table 6: Allowable Bearing Capacity for Strip Footings	11	
Table 7: Allowable Skin Friction and End Bearing Capacity	12	
Table 8: Excavation classes as per SANS 1200D	15	
Table 9: Retaining wall design parameters	17	
Figures		
Figure 1: Site Plan and Boreholes	5	
Figure 2: Geology Map of Site and surrounding area	6	
Appendixes		

Borehole Logs

Laboratory Test Results

1. INTRODUCTION

Geotesta was engaged by The Bathla Group to conduct a geotechnical site investigation at 176 Wollombi Road, Farley, NSW 2320. Based on the information received from the client, it is understood that the proposed development comprises of a residential subdivision with on-site roadways. This site investigation was carried out accordance with the fee proposal and scope of work provided to the client and approved on 1st August 2022.

The field work was carried out on 4th August 2022. This report presents the geotechnical investigation results including sub-surface soil profile with interpreted geotechnical properties of the assessed subsurface lithology and recommendations on the design parameters of footing/foundation, footing type, founding depth, geotechnical parameters including allowable bearing capacity, site classification, salinity assessment, and construction/excavation recommendations.

This assessment has been carried out in general accordance with the following guidelines:

- Australian Standard (AS1726) 2017: Geotechnical Site Investigation
- Australian Standard (AS2870) 2011: Residential Slabs and Footings
- Australian Standard (AS) 3600 (2009), Concrete Structures
- Salinity Code of Practice March 2003 (Amended January 2004)

2. FIELD INVESTIGATION

The investigation involves drilling of four (4) boreholes (BH1–BH4) to a maximum depth of 1.4m due to auger refusal. The boreholes' location was determined by Geotesta, according to site accessibility and the locations of underground services.

A site plan showing the borehole locations is presented in Figure 1. Borehole drilling was carried out using a Ute-mounted drilling rig using a solid flight auger method. Dynamic Cone Penetrometer Testings were performed next to boreholes to determine the strength of soil layers.

The fieldwork was performed in the presence of a Geotesta Geotechnical Engineer who positioned borehole, collected samples, nominated testing depths, and prepared borehole logs in accordance with AS1726 – 2017 "Geotechnical Site Investigations". All field observations and in-situ test results are presented in borehole logs attached in Appendix A of this report.



Figure 1: Site Plan and Boreholes

3. FINDINGS

3.1 Site Condition and topography

The investigation site at 176 Wollombi Road, Farley, NSW 2320 with an area of approximately 20,500 m² is located within Maitland Council. The site is bounded by Wollombi Road to the south, a residential dwelling to the east, and vacant lands to the north and the west. At the time of the investigation, a single-story residential dwelling is located at the southwest corner.

Regional topographic maps indicate that the site is approximately 29-40m AHD and slopes down towards the east with slope $< 4^{\circ}$.

3.2 Site Geology

The geological origin of the soil profile was identified from our visual examination of the soil samples, geotechnical experience, and reference to geological maps of the area. The Newcastle-Hunter Area 1:100 000, Coastal Quaternary Geology Map (2016) indicates the site is situated in Dalwood Group (Pda) comprising of sandstone, lithic sandstone, conglomerate, siltstone, and basalt. The geology map of the site and surrounding areas is shown in Figure 2.



Figure 2: Geology Map of Site and surrounding area

GEOTESTA PTY LTD | ABN 91851620815 | 6/20-22 Foundry Road, Seven Hills NSW 2147 Phone: 1300 852216 |email: info@geotesta.com.au 6

3.3 Soil/Rock Profile

Information gathered from the geotechnical fieldwork indicates that the site is overlain by topsoil/fill to a maximum depth of 0.4m followed by silty clay to the maximum depth of 1.2m. Very low strength, extremely weathered Sandstone bedrock was encountered during the investigation at all boreholes from a depth of 0.6m to 1.4m. The encountered soil profiles are presented in the borehole logs in Appendix A and tabulated in detail in Table 1. The boreholes ground surface elevation is also depicted in Table 1.

			Approxima	ate Depth r	ange of Unit (mBGL*)
Unit	Material	BH1	BH2	BH3	BH4	Description
1	TOPSOIL/FILL	0.0-0.3	0.0-0.4	0.0-0.2	0.0-0.2	Loose
2A	Silty CLAY	0.3-0.8	-	0.2-0.6	0.2-0.8	Firm to Stiff
2B	Silty CLAY	-	0.4-0.6	-	0.8-1.2	Very Stiff
3	SANDSTONE	0.8-1.0	0.6-0.8	0.6-0.8	1.2-1.4	Very low strength, Extremely Weathered

Table 1: Summary of Sub-surface Materials

*Measured from the existing ground surface

3.4 Site Classification

Three (3) soil samples were taken from the Silty CLAY soil at boreholes BH1, BH3, and BH4, and sent to Geotesta's laboratory, a NATA accredited laboratory for testing of index properties to assess the site reactivity and classification. The laboratory test results are summarised in Table 2.

Table 2: Summary of Soil Laboratory Test Results	

Bore No.	Depth (m)	Soil Type	LL %	PL %	PI%	LS %
BH1	0.6	Silty CLAY	56	20	36	11.5
BH3	0.5	Silty CLAY	49	18	31	11.0
BH4	1.2	Silty CLAY	48	17	30	12.0

Note: LL= Liquid Limit; PL=Plastic Limit; PI= Plasticity Index; LS= Linear Shrinkage

Atterberg limit test results indicate that the natural Silty CLAY at the site is a medium to high plasticity soil.

After considering the area geology, the soil profile encountered in the boreholes, the site is classified as **CLASS 'M'** with respect to foundation construction (Australian Standard 2870-2011 Residential Slabs and Footings). We assume topsoil/fill materials will be removed and natural Silty Clay (Unit 2) ground will be exposed.

It has been estimated that the Characteristic Surface Movement (ys) of the underlying natural soil material will be in the range of 20-40mm provided the building site is protected from "abnormal moisture conditions" and is drained as described in AS 2870.

It must be emphasized that the heave mentioned, and recommendations referred to in this report are based solely on the observed soil profile observed at the time of the investigation for this report, without taking into account any abnormal moisture conditions as defined in AS2870 – 2011, Clause 1.3.3 that might be created thereafter. With abnormal moisture conditions, distresses will occur and may result in "non-acceptable probabilities of serviceability and safety of the building during its design life," as defined in AS2870-2011, Clause 1.3.1. If these distresses are not acceptable to the builder, owner or other relevant parties then further fieldwork and revised footing recommendations must be carried out.

3.5 Groundwater

Groundwater was not encountered in any boreholes to 1.4m below the ground surface.

3.6 Earthquake Design

Australian Standard AS 1170.4 "Structural design actions, Part 4: Earthquake actions in Australia" outlines the relevant methods used in earthquake risk classification and design requirements, enabling the assessment of an earthquake design category for the structure to be determined. Based on the results of the geotechnical investigation and the soil data gathered from the boreholes, a site sub-soil class of Ce – shallow soil site can be adopted as per Section 4 of the above standard.

A hazard factor (Z) of 0.1 can be adopted for Maitland area based on information obtained from Table 3.2 and Figure 3.2(A) of AS 1170.4 – 2007.

3.7 Salinity and Aggressivity Assessment

Seven (7) soil samples were submitted to Eurofin MGT Laboratory, a NATA accredited laboratory, for chemical testings for the salinity and aggressivity assessment. The testings were carried out for aggressivity suit and to assess exposure classification for the proposed development.

Sampling was targeted to achieve a representative coverage of site conditions in line with assessed sub-surface profiles, proposed development, and the investigation scope. Laboratory test certificates are presented in Appendix B.

3.7.1 Salinity Assessment

Laboratory test results for salinity assessment are summarised in Table 3.

Sample ID	Conductivity (Ec) (1:5 Aqueous extract dS/m)	Ece ¹ (ds/m)	Salinity Assessment ²
S1-BH1	0.12	1.08	Non-Saline
S2-BH2	0.038	0.342	Non-Saline
S3-BH3	0.066	0.594	Non-Saline
S5-BH4	0.21	1.89	Non-Saline
S6-EBH101	0.07	0.63	Non-Saline
S7-EBH102	0.35	3.15	Slightly Saline
S8-EBH103	0.098	0.882	Non-Saline

Table 3: Soil Salinity Test Results

¹Based on EC to ECe multiplication factors in Department of Land and Water Conservation (2002) Guidelines (Table 6.1), a multiplication factor of 9 were applied to medium clays.

²Based on Table 6.2 of Department of Land and Water Conservation (2002) where ECe < 2dS/m = Non-saline; ECe = 2-4dS/m = slightly saline; ECe = 4-8dS/m = moderately saline; ECe = 8-16dS/m = very saline; ECe > 16dS/m = highly saline.

Referring to the above test results, the site is considered to be non-saline to slightly saline.

3.7.2 Aggressivity assessment

Sulphate and pH test results for aggressivity assessment are summarised in Table 4.

Sample ID	pH (1:5 Aqueous extract)	Sulphate (SO4) (mg/kg)	Chloride (mg/kg)	Aggressivity assessment ¹ concrete	Aggressivity assessment ¹ steel
S1-BH1	5.9	170	40	Non-aggressive	Non-aggressive
S2-BH2	6.1	32	10	Non-aggressive	Non-aggressive
S3-BH3	6.1	44	22	Non-aggressive	Non-aggressive
S5-BH4	5.6	200	200	Non-aggressive	Non-aggressive
S6-EBH101	6.0	67	51	Non-aggressive	Non-aggressive
S7-EBH102	5.9	190	380	Non-aggressive	Non-aggressive
S8-EBH103	5.9	47	77	Non-aggressive	Non-aggressive

Table 4: Soil A	Aggressivity test	results for	concrete and	steel piles
1 able 4. 5011 P	aggressivity test	results for	concrete and	steer pries

¹In accordance with AS2159 (2009)

Referring to the above test results, the site is considered non-aggressive to concrete and steel.

3.7.3 Exposure Classifications for concrete and steel in Saline and sulfate soils

The site soil is considered non-saline to slightly saline and non-aggressive to concrete and steel. An exposure classification of A1 for concrete in saline soils and an exposure classification of A1 for concrete and steel in sulphate soils should be adopted for preliminary design of proposed concrete structures.

4. FOUNDATION RECOMMENDATIONS

4.1 Geotechnical Design Parameters

The estimated geotechnical parameters of the soil materials encountered below the site is provided in Table 5:

Unit/ Soil Type	γ (kN/m3)	Su (kPa)	c' (kPa)	Φ'	E' (MPa)	ν'
Unit 1/ Topsoil/ Fill	18	-	-	22	10	0.3
Unit 2A/ Silty CLAY (Firm to Stiff)	19	50	5	26	15	0.3
Unit 2B/ Silty CLAY (Very Stiff)	20	100	8	28	30	0.3
Unit 3/ SANDSTONE (Class V)	24	-	100	30	300	0.3

Table 5: Estimated Geotechnical Design Parameters

4.2 Strip Footing

Strip footings can be founded on Unit 2 Silty CLAY or Unit 3 SANDSTONE. The strip footings should penetrate through any fill material and tree roots and founded at least 100mm into the recommended founding material.

All footings for the same structure should be founded on strata of similar stiffness to minimize the risk of differential movements. We recommend that the designing engineer refer to AS2870-2011 to ensure the tolerable limits for differential movement.

As a guide with information obtained from the boreholes and DCP tests, the allowable bearing capacity for strip footings founding on the natural Silty CLAY and SANDSTONE at the test locations should be as follow:

Capacity \ Materials	Unit 2A	Unit 2B	Unit 3
	Silty CLAY	Silty CLAY	SANDTONE
	(Firm to Stiff)	(Very Stiff)	(Class V)
Allowable Bearing Capacity (kPa)	70	180	700

Table 6: Allowable Bearing Capacity for Strip Footings

It should be noted that the soil/rock profile may vary across the site. The foundation depths quoted in this report are measured from the surface during our testing and may vary accordingly if any filling or excavation works are carried out. It is recommended

that a geotechnical engineer be engaged during footing excavation stage to confirm the founding depth and founding material.

Controlled fill is material that has been placed and compacted in layers by compaction equipment within a defined moisture range to a defined density requirement. Except as provided below, controlled fill shall be placed in accordance with AS 3798.

If more than 400mm of CLAY FILL or 800mm of SAND FILL, imported or site derived, including existing FILL material, is required, then the slab must be designed as a suspended slab and supported by a grid of beams founded through any fill material in accordance with the above edge beam recommendations.

The settlement of a footing is dependent on the load applied to the footing and the foundation conditions below the footing. However, it can be expected that the settlement of a strip footing designed using the parameters in Table 6 will be $\leq 1\%$ of the footing width.

4.3 Bored or Screw Piles

Bored or screw piles can be used to support the proposed development. The piles should be founded into SANDSTONE bedrock to gain high pile capacity and minimise absolute and differential settlements. It should be note that to minimise the risk of differential settlements, all piles in a building should be founded on strata of similar stiffness.

The piles should embed at least four (4) pile diameter into the founding material for the utilisation of adhesion for the embedment in the respective materials. The allowable end bearing capacities and allowable shaft adhesions of Silty CLAY and class V SANDSTONE were presented in Table 7.

Capacity \ Materials	Unit 2A Silty CLAY (Firm to Stiff)	Unit 2B Silty CLAY (Very Stiff)	Unit 3 SANTONE (Class V)
Allowable Shaft Adhesion (kPa)	8	16	50
Allowable End Bearing Capacity (kPa)	-	-	800

Table 7: Allowable Skin Friction and End Bearing Capacity

*Minimum embedment depths of one (1) and three (3) pile diameters into the founding material are necessary to achieve these allowable design values for end bearing and adhesion, respectively

50% of the shaft adhesion in compression can be adopted for the shaft adhesion in tension.

For limit state design, AS2159-2009 "Piling - Design and Installation" specifies that the ultimate geotechnical pile capacity is multiplied by a geotechnical reduction factor

 (Φgb) . The geotechnical reduction factor is derived from an Average Risk Rating (ARR) that takes into account geotechnical uncertainties, redundancy of the foundation system, construction supervision, and quantity and type of pile testing.

The designer must determine the Average Risk Rating and the corresponding geotechnical strength reduction factor once all details of the design methods and installation requirements have been identified.

At this stage, we cannot accurately calculate the geotechnical strength reduction factor due to our lack of knowledge of design and installation factors. Our estimates of the Average Risk Rating and geotechnical strength reduction factor are based on the following assumptions:

- Designer has experience with similar foundations under similar geological conditions.
- The design method used is well established and soundly based.
- The use of in-situ test results is based on indirect measurements obtained during installation rather than static load tests.
- Detailed level of construction control with professional geotechnical supervision.
- Post-construction monitoring is not undertaken.
- The pile foundation of the proposed structure is assumed to be a high redundancy system.

Based on the above assumptions and our geotechnical knowledge, we estimate an ARR of 2.5 using Equation 4.3.2 and Table 4.3.2(A) of AS2159-2009. Thus, the overall risk category is Low resulting in a geotechnical strength reduction factor (Φ gb) of 0.64 for high redundancy systems can be adopted, as detailed in Table 4.3.2(C) of AS2159-2009.

5. EXCAVATION, EARTHWORKS, RETAINING WALL & LATERAL EARTH PRESSURES

5.1 Site Preparation

The depth of topsoil/fill varies across the site up to a depth of 0.4m. Any fill encountered during excavation should be treated as uncontrolled. The following measures should be adopted for the site preparation of the site:

- All topsoil from the construction area should be stripped to subgrade/foundation level and stockpiled on site for possible re-use. Topsoil not being re-used should be disposed of offsite following a waste classification report.
- Any evidence of contamination or asbestos containing materials found during excavation works should be brought to the attention of the Project Engineer immediately.

It is recommended that Geotesta be engaged to provide a site inspection during the early stage of construction to confirm that the ground conditions of the subgrade for the proposed construction are consistent with the assumptions/findings in this report.

Earthworks recommendations in this report should be read in conjunction with AS 3798-2007: 'Guidelines on Earthworks for Commercial and Residential Developments'. It is recommended that any uncontrolled fill material or soft spots encountered in the proposed subgrade be removed to reveal bedrock sandstone. The removed section of the subgrade shall be backfilled to the underside of the foundation level, in layers not exceeding 200 mm loose thickness with controlled fill or site derived clay material compacted to 98-102% of maximum dry density of standard compaction (AS 1289.5.1.1) with moisture condition at the equilibrium moisture content or -2% to +2% of the optimum.

5.2 Excavation

Based on the soil/bedrock profile and conditions encountered at the borehole locations, light excavation machinery should be adequate for the footing excavations into the Unit 1 and Unit 2 (Topsoil/Fill and Silty CLAY), should be comparable with Soft to Intermediate Excavation Classes as per SANS 1200D. Table 8 describes the excavation classes as per SANS 1200D. Excavations into the Unit 3 SANDSTONE (below 0.6m) will encounter Intermediate to Hard excavation conditions per SANS 1200D (see Table 8).

Excavation Class	Description
Soft	Excavation in material that can be efficiently removed by a back-acting
	excavator of flywheel power approximately 0.10kW per millimetre of
	tined-bucket width, without the use of pneumatic tools such as paving
	breakers
Intermediate	Excavation in material that requires a back-acting excavator of
	flywheel power exceeding 0.10 kW per millimetre of tined-bucket
	width or the use of pneumatic tools before removal by equipment
	equivalent to that specified for soft excavation.
Hard	Hard rock excavation shall be excavation in material (excluding
	boulder excavation) that cannot be efficiently removed without
	blasting or wedging and splitting.

Table 8: Excavation classes as per SANS 1200D

Based on the current investigation, the excavation is unlikely to encounter groundwater.

All topsoil from the construction area should be stripped to subgrade level and stockpiled on site for possible re-use. Topsoil not being re-used should be disposed of offsite following a waste classification report.

Any evidence of contamination or asbestos containing materials found during excavation works should be notified to the Project Engineer immediately.

5.3 Engineered Fill

Controlled or Rolled fill can be constructed in uniform layers to provide the required design level in accordance with the project foundation design requirements (if applicable). Controlled/Rolled fill must be well compacted in layers not exceeding 200 mm thick.

The fill material shall be uniformly compacted with the required moisture content to achieve satisfactory compaction and shall be graded and trimmed parallel to the designed finished surface.

Filling used to raise levels beneath foundations must be placed and compacted as per specifications for Controlled or Rolled fill.

All fill material is to be compacted to a minimum of 98% Standard Maximum Dry Density (SMDD) ratio determined in accordance with AS 1289.5.4.1.

Generally, the soils encountered on site will be suitable for reuse as engineered fill within the site. The natural clayey soils are best suited for bulk filling within site. The material should not contain any particle sizes greater than 150 mm. It is expected that bedrock of low strength or less (if applicable) should readily break down beneath the

weight of the rollers, however, bedrock of medium strength or higher may potentially need to be crushed using a rock crusher.

5.4 Temporary Cut Batters

Temporary unsupported excavation up to 1.5m deep within the existing Unit 2 Silty CLAY layer should be no steeper than 1.5H:1V; Temporary unsupported cut batters more than 1.5m up to 3.0m deep within the existing Unit 2 Silty CLAY should not be steeper than 2H:1V.

The above recommendations assume that there is no existing structure directly adjacent to the excavation area. It should be noted that following rainy periods, some degree of deterioration and minor slumping of unsupported cut batters is to be anticipated.

It is recommended that a geotechnical engineer be engaged during excavation stage to confirm/identify the material for the whole excavation depth.

The batter slope angles are recommended subjected to the following measures:

- The batters should be protected against erosion
- Permanent batters should be drained
- Temporary batters shall not be left unsupported for more than 2 months without further advice. Following heavy rains (raining more than 6 hours with the intensity of greater than 15 mm/day) should be inspected by a geotechnical engineer.
- A minimum offset distance of 1.5 m from the batter crest should be maintained for surcharge loads and the offset distance should be increased to match the maximum depth of excavation.

5.5 Compaction Requirements

Compaction of backfill material is required to ensure that excessive surface settlement does not occur. The required backfill density and minimum frequency of testing for compaction control as detailed in AS 3798 are summarised below:

- 1 test per layer per 500 m²; or
- 1 test per 100 m³ distributed reasonably evenly throughout full depth and area; or
- 3 tests per visit (whichever requires the most tests)

Testing should be undertaken in accordance with AS 1289, *Methods of testing for soil engineering purposes*. Tested layers that do not satisfy the outlined criteria are to be stripped, replaced, re-compacted and re-tested to achieve the minimum compaction requirement specified above.

Testing of compaction density should be undertaken by a suitably qualified geotechnical testing company.

5.6 Retaining Walls

Any proposed retaining walls at the site should be engineer designed adopting the geotechnical parameters summarised in Table 9 below.

Unit/ Soil Type	γ (kN/m3)	K ₀	Ka	K _p /Ultimate passive earth pressure
Unit 1/ Topsoil/ Fill	18	0.63	0.45	2.22
Unit 2A/ Silty CLAY (Firm to Stiff)	19	0.56	0.39	2.56
Unit 2B/ Silty CLAY (Very Stiff)	20	0.53	0.36	2.78
Unit 3/ SANDSTONE (Class V)	24	0.43	0.27	200kPa

Table 9: Retaining wall design parameters

For construction methods which minimise deflection and where restraint is applied via struts, bracings or anchors, the temporary or short-term lateral earth pressure distribution should be approximated as a trapezoidal "at-rest" distribution for the site clay soils. For the at-rest condition, the maximum lateral earth pressure may be estimated by a trapezoidal distribution with a maximum lateral earth pressure of 9H kPa beginning at a depth of 0.20H to a depth of 0.80H and reducing linearly to zero (0) at the top and bottom of the wall. For basement walls where wall deflections are not critical (an "active" condition), the maximum lateral earth pressure of 5H kPa beginning at a depth of 0.30H to a depth of 0.80H and reducing linearly to zero (0) at the top and bottom of the wall.

It must be emphasised that where adjoining footings exist near the retaining walls, the "at rest" earth pressures must be maintained, and the active design condition is not appropriate.

Date	Version	Report Prepared By:	Report Reviewed by:
9 September	NE1394	Ngoc Thang Pham	Mohammad Hossein Bazyar
2022		BEng MSc PhD	BEng MEng Ph.D MIEAust CPEng NER
		Geological Engineer	Associate Geotechnical Engineer

DOCUMENT CONTROL

6. **REFERENCES**

- Australian Standard (AS1726) 2017: Geotechnical Site Investigation
- Australian Standard (AS2870) 2011: Residential Slabs and Footings
- Salinity Code of Practice March 2003 (Amended January 2004);
- Australian Standard (AS) 3600 (2009), Concrete Structures
- Australian Standard (2009), Piling Design and Installation (AS2159).
- Australian Standard (2002), Earth-retaining Structures (AS4678).
- Australian Standard (2004), Bridge Design Part 5: Concrete (AS5100.5).
- Pells, P.J.N., Mostyn, G., Walker, B.F. (1998) Design Loadings for Foundations on Shale and Sandstone in the Sydney Region.
- Troedson A.L. & Deyssing L. 2016. Newcastle-Hunter Area 1:100 000, Coastal Quaternary Geology Map Series. Geological Survey of New South Wales, Maitland.

Information about This Report

The report contains the results of Soil and water quality Assessment conducted for a specific purpose and client. The results should not be used by other parties, or for other purposes, as they may contain neither adequate nor appropriate information.

Test Hole Logging

The information on the test hole logs (boreholes, test pits, exposures etc.) is based on a visual and tactile assessment, except at the discrete locations where test information is available (field and/or laboratory results). The test hole logs include both factual data and inferred information.

Groundwater

Unless otherwise indicated, the water levels presented on the test hole logs are the levels of free water or seepage in the test hole recorded at the given time of measuring. The actual groundwater level may differ from this recorded level depending on material permeability (i.e. depending on response time of the measuring instrument). Further, variations of this level could occur with time due to such effects as seasonal, environmental and tidal fluctuations or construction activities. Confirmation of groundwater levels, pheratic surfaces or piezometric pressures can only be made by appropriate instrumentation techniques and monitoring programmes.

Interpretation of Results

The discussion or recommendations contained within this report normally are based on a site evaluation from discrete test hole data. Generalised, idealised or inferred subsurface conditions (including any geotechnical cross-sections) have been assumed or prepared by interpolation and/or extrapolation of these data. As such these conditions are an interpretation and must be considered as a guide only.

Change in Conditions

Local variations or anomalies in the generalised ground conditions do occur in the natural environment, particularly between discrete test hole locations. Additionally, certain design or construction procedures may have been assumed in assessing the soil-structure interaction behaviour of the site. Furthermore, conditions may change at the site from those encountered at the time of the geotechnical investigation through construction activities and constantly changing natural forces.

Any change in design, in construction methods, or in ground conditions as noted during construction, from those assumed or reported should be referred to GEOTESTA for appropriate assessment and comment.

Reproduction of Reports

Where it is desired to reproduce the information contained in our geotechnical report, or other technical information, for the inclusion in contract documents or engineering specification of the subject development, such reproductions should include at least all of the relevant test hole and test data, together with the appropriate standard description sheets and remarks made in the written report of a factual or descriptive nature. Reports are the subject of copyright and shall not be reproduced without the permission of Geotesta.

Appendix A

Borehole Logs

	2		BORE	BOREHOLE No: 1								
GEOT	ESTA								Page: 1	of 1		
Client	:	The B 176 W	athla Group /OLLOMBI ROAD, FARLEY	Drilling Co:	Geotesta Pty	Ltd		Eastin	g: See Fig	gure 1		
Projec	t:	NSW,	.2320	Driller:	M.A			Northi	ing: See Fig	gure 1		
Job No Locati	o: on:	NE13 176 W	94 /OLLOMBI ROAD, FARLEY	Rig Type: Inclination:	Ute Mounted Vertical	d		Grid R Collar	Ref: RL:			
Date I	Drilled:	04/08/	22	Bearing:	Vertical			Logge	d by: E	Checked by:	N	M.H.B
2 3	nou: AS	1289.6.3	.2-1997 & AS 1726-2017					-			su	rels
pth (n	tic Log	Symbo	MATERIAL	DESCRIPTION		sture	tency / ngth	CP 100mn	FIEI	D TESTS	g/Ru	ter Lev
D	Graph	Group	Type, colour, particle	e size and shape, structure		Moi	Consis Stre	D D	&	NOTES	amplir	Ma
0.00	-	FILL	TOPSOIL/FILL: Clayey SAND	D, dark grey, trace of s	gravel	М	L	2	As	@ 0.1m	Ś	0.00
		11LL		,			2	2	EBH	[1 @ 0.2m		_
		СН	Silty CLAY: high plasticity, gr	ey brown, trace sand	stone	М	F	3				-
0.50								1 2	At	t1 @ 0.6 1 @ 0.7		0.50
								2				-
1 00			SANDSTONE: medium grain	ed, extremely weathe	ered, very	М	VLS	20	DCP refusal	at 0.9m, 20 blow	5	1.00
1.00			Borehole terminated at 1.0m d	ue to auger refusal					It	actieu		1.00
_												-
1.50												1.50
												_
2.00												2.00
- .												
	199n											_
2.50	2111											2.50
	Ĩ											-
	8											-
3.00												3.00
												_
												-
3.50												3.50
												-
4 00												4 00
4.00												4.00
_												-
4.50												4.50
												_
5.00												5.00
consis	tency:	soft	relative density: moistur	re: Notes:								
s	soft		L loose M N	Aoist								
F	firm stiff		MD medium dense W W	Vet								
VST	very s	tiff	VD very dense water:		sampling / test	ing:						
H WC	hard well c	ompacte	d VLS: Very Low Strength	water level	intact sam	ple fro	om core		Standa	rd Penetration Tes	t	
soil cla	ssificati	i <mark>on:</mark> Lin acco	rdance with AS1726	level risen to	— 7				B Bulk sa Supp Su from	mple n Pocket Penetror	eter	
unless	otherwi	se noted	•	water inflow	T intact tube	e samp	le		Suv Su from	n Field Vane Shea	test	

GEOTESTA PTY LTD

BOREHOLE LOG										REHOLE N	o: 2	I
GEC	DTE	STA							Page: 1	of 1		
Clie	nt:		The B	athla Group	Drilling Co: Geotesta	Pty Ltd		Eastin	ig: See Fig	ure 1		
Proj	ect:		176 W NSW,	, 2320	Driller: M.A			North	ing: See Fig	ure 1		
Job	No:	n.	NE13	94 VOLLOMBLEOAD FARLEY	Rig Type: Ute Mou	inted		Grid F	Ref:			
Date	e Dr	rilled:	04/08/2	22	Bearing: Vertical			Logge	d by: E	Checked by:	N	И.Н.В
Test M	lethc	od: AS 1	1289.6.3	3.2-1997 & AS 1726-2017		1					1.0	s
Depth (m) 00.0	Drilling Method	Graphic Log	Group Symbol	MATERIAL D Type, colour, particle siz	ESCRIPTION e and shape, structure	Moisture	Consistency / Strength	DCP blows/100mm	FIEL) & N	D TESTS NOTES	Sampling / Runs	00.0 Depth (m)
			FILL	TOPSOIL/FILL: Sandy CLAY, da	rk grey, trace of gravel	М	L	1 1 1	AS2 EBH2	@ 0.1m 2 @ 0.2m		-
0.50			CI	Silty CLAY: medium plasticity, b	rown, sanstone fragments	М	F	5				0.50
-				SANDSTONE: medium grained,	pale grey, brown	М	VLS	2 20	S2 @	⊉0.7 m		-
-				Borehole terminated at 0.8m due	to auger refusal				DCP refusal a	t 0.7m, 20 blows		_
1.00												1.00
												_
1.50												1.50
-												_
2.00												200
2.00												2.00
-	ger											-
2 50	t Au											2 50
2.50	Fligh											
	olid											
3.00	S											3.00
												_
3.50												3.50
-												-
-												_
4.00												4.00
-												-
4.50												4.50
												_
5.00	iste	ncv:		relative density: moisture:	Notes:							5.00
VS		very s	oft	VL very loose D Dry								
S F		soft firm		L loose M Mois MD medium dense W Wat	it							
ST		stiff		D dense S Satur	rated							
VST H		very st hard	iff	VD very dense water:	water level intact	/ testing: t sample fr	om core		Standar	d Penetration Test		
WC	alac	well co	mpacte	d VLS: Very Low Strength	val ricon to				B Bulk	nnla		
soil	is cla	assified	in accor	rdance with AS1726	T intac	t tube sam	ole		Supp Su from	Pocket Penetrom	eter	
unle	ss of	therwis	e noted	Le wa	ater inflow		-		Suv Su from	Field Vane Shear	test	

GEOTESTA PTY LTD

	BOREHOLE LOG											BOREHOLE No: 3					
GEOT	EST	A										Page	e: 1	of	1		
Client	:	The 176	e Ba Wo	thla Group DLLOMBI ROAD, FA	RLEY	Drilling Co	o: Ge	otesta Pty	Ltd		Eastin	g:	See Figu	ure 1			
Projec	:t:	<u>NS</u>	W, 2	2320		Driller:	М.	А			North	ing:	See Figu	ure 1			
Job N Locati	o: ion:	NE 176	139 W0	4 DLLOMBI ROAD. FA	RLEY	Rig Type: Inclination	Ut Ut	e Mounteo rtical	1		Grid I Collar	Ref: RL:					
Date I	Drille	d: 04/0	8/2	2		Bearing:	Ve	rtical			Logge	d by:	Е	Checked	by:	Μ	I.H.B
Test Met	hod: A	15 1289.	6.3.2	2-1997 & AS 1726-2017												s	sls
pth (m	viemo c1 or	o qui v		MAT	ERIAL DES	CRIPTION			ture	ency / gth	P 00mm		FIELI	O TESTS		g/Run	er Lev
De	Tanhi	Soup	•	Type, colour	, particle size a	nd shape, struct	ure		Mois	Consist	DC lows/1		& N	IOTES		guildin	Wate
0.00				FORCOU /FILL Com do	CLAV Jul		6	-1		Ľ,	ءَ 1		462	@ 0.1m		Sa	0.00
		FII	.L	IOPSOIL/FILL: Sandy	CLAY, dark	grey, trace c	of grav	el	М	L	1		EBH5	@ 0.1m 5 @ 0.2m			_
		С	I	Silty CLAY: medium pl	asticity, bro	wn, mottled	grey, s	sandstone	М	F	3		S3 @ AS5	0.6 m @ 0.1m			-
0.50											2		Att3	@ 0.5 m			0.50
			-	SANDSTONE: medium	n grained, ex	tremely wea	athered	1	М	VLS	3						_
	-	_	1	Borehole terminated at	0.8m due to	auger refusa	ıl				20	DCP	refusal a	t 0.8m, 20 l	olows		-
1.00						0											1.00
																	_
																	-
1.50																	1.50
																	_
																	-
2.00																	2.00
	J.															Π	_
	Ĩ																-
2.50	lingi																2.50
	In																-
	R																2.00
3.00																	3.00
																	-
3 50																	3 50
5.50																	0.00
																	-
4 00																	4 00
																	-
4.50																	4.50
																	-
5.00																	5.00
consis	tency:		1	elative density:	moisture:	Note	es:									<u>. 1</u>	
vs s	ver soft	y soft	י ו	/L very loose L loose	D Dry M Moist												
F	firn	n	1	MD medium dense	W Wet												
ST VST	stif ver	f 7 stiff	1	D dense VD very dense	S Saturat water:	ed	san	npling / test	ing:				1				
Н	har	1	,	MCM I C		vater level		intact sam	ple fro	om core			Standard	d Penetratio	n Test		
soil cla	wel assific	ation:	.ted	vL5: very Low Stren	gın V level	risen to						в	Bulk san	nple			
soil is unless	classif other	ied in ac wise not	corc ed	lance with AS1726	L wate	r inflow	Т	intact tube	e samp	ole		Supp Suv	Su from	Pocket Pene Field Vane	etromet Shear †4	er est	
		1.01			- mate										(

GEOTESTA PTY LTD

GEOTESTA

Client:

Project:

Job No:

Ē

Depth (ling Metl

-

Location:

D 0.00

Date Drilled: 04/08/22

Log

Graphic I

BOREHOLE LOG

MATERIAL DESCRIPTION

Type, colour, particle size and shape, structure

FILL TOPSOIL/FILL: Clayey SAND, dark brown, trace of gravel

The Bathla Group 176 WOLLOMBI ROAD, FARLEY

176 WOLLOMBI ROAD, FARLEY

NSW, 2320

Test Method: AS 1289.6.3.2-1997 & AS 1726-2017

NE1394

Group Symbol

DLE LO	G		BOREHOLE No: 4									
					Page	e: 1	of	1				
Drilling Co:	Geotesta Pty	Ltd		Eastin	g:	See Fig	ure 1					_
Driller: Rig Type: Inclination: Bearing:	M.A Ute Mounted Vertical	đ		North Grid F Collar	ing: Ref: RL: d bw:	See Fig	ure 1	d bw			4 H R	-
Dearing.	verticai			Logge	u by.	L	Checke	u by.		19	1.11.0	
CRIPTION d shape, structure		Moisture	Consistency / Strength	DCP olows/100mm		FII 8	ELD TEST 2 NOTES	S		umpling / Runs	Water Levels	Depth (m)
			Ŭ	م						Sa	0.00)
brown, trace	of gravel	М	L	1 2		A				_		
n, mottled gre	y ered verv	M	F ST VST	4 2 2 3 4 5 6 6 14	DCP	CBR EB At	3 @ 0.4-1. H7 @ 0.7r t4 @ 0.9 n 64 @1.2m	1m n n			<u>0.50</u>	
		M		20		iusai at	1.511, 20	olows				_
nuger refusal											1.50)

		CI	Silty CLAY: medium plasticity brown, mottled grey	М	F	4		
						2		
0.50						2		0.50
						2	CBR3 @ 0.4-1.1m	-
					ST		Att4@09m	-
			Grades: with sandstone fragments		VCT	5	All4 @ 0.9 III	-
1.00			chades. with sufficient hughents		V31	6	S4 @1.2m	1.00
1.00						6	01011211	
						14		-
			SANDSTONE: medium grained, extremely weathered, very	М		20	DCP refusal at 1.3m, 20 blows	7 3
		-	low strength					
1.50			Borehole terminated at 1.4m due to auger refusal					1.50
								-
								-
2.00								2.00
			1.2					
	þ							
_4								
2.50 +								2.50
;	ĭ							-
								-
-3								-
3.00								3.00
								-
								-
3.50								3.50
								-
								-
								-
4.00								4.00
4.00								4.00
								-
								-
								-
4.50								4.50
								-
								-
= 00								- oo-
0.00	ency:		relative density - Motor				1	5.00
VC	voru c	.4	VI voru losso D Dru					
, 3 C	very st		L lange M Mg' t					
5	soft		L 100SE M MOIST					
F	tirm		MD medium dense W Wet					
ST	stiff		D dense S Saturated					
VST	very sti	11	VD very dense water: sampling / test	ing:			Chandrad Day 1 11 The	
H	nard		water level intact sam	ple fr	om core		Standard Penetration Test	
we	well co	mpacte	v Lo: very Low Strength				P Bull cample	
soil is s	ssincation lassified	лı: in accor	ievel risen to				D DUIK Sample	
son is dassined in actordatice with AS1/20 unless otherwise noted T intact tube sample Sur from Focker Penetrometer								
		. noted	- water hillow				out our nominetal valie offear test	

OTESTA PTY LTE

Appendix B

Laboratory Test Results



Geotesta Pty Ltd (NSW) Unit 6, 20/22 Foundry Road Seven Hills NSW 2147



NATA

NATA Accredited Accreditation Number 1261 Site Number 18217

Accredited for compliance with ISO/IEC 17025 – Testing NATA is a signatory to the ILAC Mutual Recognition Arrangement for the mutual recognition of the equivalence of testing, medical testing, calibration, inspection, proficiency testing scheme providers and reference materials producers reports and certificates.

Attention:

- Mohammad Hossein Bazyar

Report
Project name
Project ID
Received Date

912917-S 176 WOLLAMBI FARLEY NSW NE1394 Aug 09, 2022

Client Sample ID Sample Matrix			S1-BH1	S2-BH2	S3-BH3 Soil	S5-BH4
Eurofins Sample No.			S22- Au0020819	S22- Au0020820	S22- Au0020821	S22- Au0020823
Date Sampled			Aug 08, 2022	Aug 08, 2022	Aug 08, 2022	Aug 08, 2022
Test/Reference	LOR	Unit				
Chloride	10	mg/kg	40	10	22	200
Conductivity (1:5 aqueous extract at 25 °C as rec.)	10	uS/cm	120	38	66	210
pH (1:5 Aqueous extract at 25 °C as rec.)	0.1	pH Units	5.9	6.1	6.1	5.6
Resistivity*	0.5	ohm.m	84	260	150	47
Sulphate (as SO4)	10	mg/kg	170	32	44	200
% Moisture	1	%	22	14	23	21

Client Sample ID			S6-EBH101	S7-EBH102	S8-EBH103
Sample Matrix			Soil	Soil	Soil
Eurofins Sample No.			S22- Au0020824	S22- Au0020825	S22- Au0020826
Date Sampled			Aug 08, 2022	Aug 08, 2022	Aug 08, 2022
Test/Reference	LOR	Unit			
Chloride	10	mg/kg	51	380	77
Conductivity (1:5 aqueous extract at 25 °C as rec.)	10	uS/cm	70	350	98
pH (1:5 Aqueous extract at 25 °C as rec.)	0.1	pH Units	6.0	5.9	5.9
Resistivity*	0.5	ohm.m	140	29	100
Sulphate (as SO4)	10	mg/kg	67	190	47
% Moisture	1	%	20	17	22



Sample History

Where samples are submitted/analysed over several days, the last date of extraction is reported.

If the date and time of sampling are not provided, the Laboratory will not be responsible for compromised results should testing be performed outside the recommended holding time.

Description	Testing Site	Extracted	Holding Time
Chloride	Sydney	Aug 10, 2022	28 Days
- Method: LTM-INO-4270 Anions by Ion Chromatography			
Conductivity (1:5 aqueous extract at 25 °C as rec.)	Sydney	Aug 10, 2022	7 Days
- Method: LTM-INO-4030 Conductivity			
pH (1:5 Aqueous extract at 25 °C as rec.)	Sydney	Aug 10, 2022	7 Days
- Method: LTM-GEN-7090 pH by ISE			
Sulphate (as SO4)	Sydney	Aug 10, 2022	28 Days
- Method: In-house method LTM-INO-4270 Sulphate by Ion Chromatograph			
% Moisture	Sydney	Aug 09, 2022	14 Days

- Method: LTM-GEN-7080 Moisture

•	L.	C	Eurofins Env	ironment T	Testing Australia P	ty Ltd							Eurofins ARL Pty Ltd	Eurofins Environm	nent Testing NZ Ltd
web: w email:	ww.eurofins.com.au	tins s.com	ABN: 50 005 088 Melbourne 6 Monterey Road Dandenong Sou VIC 3175 Tel: +61 3 8564 NATA# 1261 Site	5 521 Get d 19/8 th Gro VIC 5000 Tel: e# 1254 NA	elong /8 Lewalan Street ovedale 2 3216 I: +61 3 8564 5000 .TA# 1261 Site# 1254	Sydney 179 Mago Girraweer NSW 214 Tel: +61 2 NATA# 12	owar Ro 5 2 9900 8 261 Site	ad 3400 2# 1821	Canb Unit 1 Mitche ACT 2 Tel: +	erra 2 Dacre Street 911 61 2 6113 8091	Brisbane 1/21 Smallwood Place Murarrie QLD 4172 Tel: +61 7 3902 4600 NATA# 1261 Site# 207	Newcastle 4/52 Industrial Drive Mayfield East NSW 2304 PO Box 60 Wickham 2293 Tel: +61 2 4968 8448 '94 NATA# 1261 Site# 25079	ABN: 91 05 0159 898 Perth 46-48 Banksia Road Welshpool WA 6106 Tel: +61 8 6253 4444 NATA# 2377 Site# 2370	NZBN: 942904602495 Auckland 35 O'Rorke Road Penrose, Auckland 1061 Tel: +64 9 526 45 51 IANZ# 1327	4 Christchurch 43 Detroit Drive Rolleston, Christchurch 7675 Tel: 0800 856 450 IANZ# 1290
Co Ad	mpany Name: dress:	Geotesta Pt Unit 6, 20/22 Seven Hills NSW 2147	y Ltd (NSW) 2 Foundry Ro	ad				Or Re Pr Fa	der N eport none: ix:	l o.: #: 912 130	2917 00852 216		Received: Due: Priority: Contact Name:	Aug 9, 2022 2:35 F Aug 16, 2022 5 Day - Mohammad Hoss	PM sein Bazyar
Pro Pro	oject Name: oject ID:	176 WOLLA NE1394	MBI FARLEY	/ NSW									Eurofins Analytical S	Services Manager :	: Asim Khan
		Sa	ample Detail				CANCELLED	Aggressivity Soil Set	Moisture Set						
Sydi	ney Laboratory	- NATA # 1261	Site # 18217	,			х	х	х						
Exte	rnal Laboratory	/	1												
No	Sample ID	Sample Date	Sampling Time	Matri	ix LAB	ID									
1	S1-BH1	Aug 08, 2022		Soil	S22-Au00	20819		х	х						
2	S2-BH2	Aug 08, 2022		Soil	S22-Au00	20820		х	Х						
3	S3-BH3	Aug 08, 2022		Soil	S22-Au00	20821		Х	Х						
4	S4-BH4	Aug 08, 2022		Soil	S22-Au00	20822	Х								
5	S5-BH4	Aug 08, 2022		Soil	S22-Au00	20823		Х	Х						
6	S6-EBH101	Aug 08, 2022		Soil	S22-Au00	20824		X	Х						
7	S7-EBH102	Aug 08, 2022		Soil	S22-Au00	20825		X	Х						
8	S8-EBH103	Aug 08, 2022		Soil	S22-Au00	20826		Х	Х						
Test	Counts						1	7	7						



Internal Quality Control Review and Glossary

General

- 1. Laboratory QC results for Method Blanks, Duplicates, Matrix Spikes, and Laboratory Control Samples follows guidelines delineated in the National Environment Protection (Assessment of Site Contamination) Measure 1999, as amended May 2013 and are included in this QC report where applicable. Additional QC data may be available on request.
- 2. All soil/sediment/solid results are reported on a dry basis, unless otherwise stated.
- 3. All biota/food results are reported on a wet weight basis on the edible portion, unless otherwise stated.
- 4. Actual LORs are matrix dependant. Quoted LORs may be raised where sample extracts are diluted due to interferences.
- 5. Results are uncorrected for matrix spikes or surrogate recoveries except for PFAS compounds.
- 6. SVOC analysis on waters are performed on homogenised, unfiltered samples, unless noted otherwise.
- 7. Samples were analysed on an 'as received' basis.
- 8. Information identified on this report with blue colour, indicates data provided by customer that may have an impact on the results.
- 9. This report replaces any interim results previously issued.

Holding Times

Please refer to 'Sample Preservation and Container Guide' for holding times (QS3001).

For samples received on the last day of holding time, notification of testing requirements should have been received at least 6 hours prior to sample receipt deadlines as stated on the SRA. If the Laboratory did not receive the information in the required timeframe, and regardless of any other integrity issues, suitably qualified results may still be reported.

Holding times apply from the date of sampling, therefore compliance to these may be outside the laboratory's control.

For VOCs containing vinyl chloride, styrene and 2-chloroethyl vinyl ether the holding time is 7 days however for all other VOCs such as BTEX or C6-10 TRH then the holding time is 14 days.

Units

mg/kg: milligrams per kilogram	mg/L: milligrams per litre	μg/L: micrograms per litre
ppm: parts per million	ppb: parts per billion	%: Percentage
org/100 mL: Organisms per 100 millilitres	NTU: Nephelometric Turbidity Units	MPN/100 mL: Most Probable Number of organisms per 100 millilitres

Terms

АРНА	American Public Health Association
сос	Chain of Custody
СР	Client Parent - QC was performed on samples pertaining to this report
CRM	Certified Reference Material (ISO17034) - reported as percent recovery.
Dry	Where a moisture has been determined on a solid sample the result is expressed on a dry basis.
Duplicate	A second piece of analysis from the same sample and reported in the same units as the result to show comparison.
LOR	Limit of Reporting.
LCS	Laboratory Control Sample - reported as percent recovery.
Method Blank	In the case of solid samples these are performed on laboratory certified clean sands and in the case of water samples these are performed on de-ionised water.
NCP	Non-Client Parent - QC performed on samples not pertaining to this report, QC is representative of the sequence or batch that client samples were analysed within.
RPD	Relative Percent Difference between two Duplicate pieces of analysis.
SPIKE	Addition of the analyte to the sample and reported as percentage recovery.
SRA	Sample Receipt Advice
Surr - Surrogate	The addition of a like compound to the analyte target and reported as percentage recovery.
ТВТО	Tributyltin oxide (bis-tributyltin oxide) - individual tributyltin compounds cannot be identified separately in the environment however free tributyltin was measured and its values were converted stoichiometrically into tributyltin oxide for comparison with regulatory limits.
TCLP	Toxicity Characteristic Leaching Procedure
TEQ	Toxic Equivalency Quotient or Total Equivalence
QSM	US Department of Defense Quality Systems Manual Version 5.4
US EPA	United States Environmental Protection Agency
WA DWER	Sum of PFBA, PFPeA, PFHxA, PFHpA, PFOA, PFBS, PFHxS, PFOS, 6:2 FTSA, 8:2 FTSA

QC - Acceptance Criteria

The acceptance criteria should be used as a guide only and may be different when site specific Sampling Analysis and Quality Plan (SAQP) have been implemented RPD Duplicates: Global RPD Duplicates Acceptance Criteria is 30% however the following acceptance guidelines are equally applicable:

Results <10 times the LOR: No Limit

Results between 10-20 times the LOR: RPD must lie between 0-50%

Results >20 times the LOR : RPD must lie between 0-30%

NOTE: pH duplicates are reported as a range not as RPD

Surrogate Recoveries: Recoveries must lie between 20-130% for Speciated Phenols & 50-150% for PFAS

PFAS field samples that contain surrogate recoveries in excess of the QC limit designated in QSM 5.4 where no positive PFAS results have been reported have been reviewed and no data was affected.

QC Data General Comments

- 1. Where a result is reported as a less than (<), higher than the nominated LOR, this is due to either matrix interference, extract dilution required due to interferences or contaminant levels within the sample, high moisture content or insufficient sample provided.
- 2. Duplicate data shown within this report that states the word "BATCH" is a Batch Duplicate from outside of your sample batch, but within the laboratory sample batch at a 1:10 ratio. The Parent and Duplicate data shown is not data from your samples.
- 3. pH and Free Chlorine analysed in the laboratory Analysis on this test must begin within 30 minutes of sampling. Therefore, laboratory analysis is unlikely to be completed within holding time. Analysis will begin as soon as possible after sample receipt.
- 4. Recovery Data (Spikes & Surrogates) where chromatographic interference does not allow the determination of recovery the term "INT" appears against that analyte.
- 5. For Matrix Spikes and LCS results a dash "-" in the report means that the specific analyte was not added to the QC sample.
- 6. Duplicate RPDs are calculated from raw analytical data thus it is possible to have two sets of data.



Quality Control Results

Test	Units	Result 1			Acceptance Limits	Pass Limits	Qualifying Code		
Method Blank									
Chloride			mg/kg	< 10			10	Pass	
Conductivity (1:5 aqueous extract at	25 °C as rec.)		uS/cm	< 10			10	Pass	
Sulphate (as SO4)			mg/kg	< 10			10	Pass	
LCS - % Recovery									
Chloride			%	104			70-130	Pass	
Conductivity (1:5 aqueous extract at	25 °C as rec.)		%	100			70-130	Pass	
Resistivity*			%	100			70-130	Pass	
Sulphate (as SO4)			%	99			70-130	Pass	
Test	Lab Sample ID	QA Source	Units	Result 1			Acceptance Limits	Pass Limits	Qualifying Code
Spike - % Recovery									
				Result 1					
Chloride	S22-Au0020823	CP	%	120			70-130	Pass	
Sulphate (as SO4)	S22-Au0020823	CP	%	141			70-130	Fail	Q08
Test	Lab Sample ID	QA Source	Units	Result 1			Acceptance Limits	Pass Limits	Qualifying Code
Duplicate									
				Result 1	Result 2	RPD			
Chloride	R22-Au0018991	NCP	mg/kg	< 10	< 10	<1	30%	Pass	
Sulphate (as SO4)	R22-Au0018991	NCP	mg/kg	96	91	5.3	30%	Pass	
% Moisture	N22-Au0019831	NCP	%	15	14	1.2	30%	Pass	
Duplicate									
				Result 1	Result 2	RPD			
Conductivity (1:5 aqueous extract at 25 °C as rec.)	S22-Au0020821	СР	uS/cm	66	89	30	30%	Pass	
pH (1:5 Aqueous extract at 25 °C as rec.)	S22-Au0020821	СР	pH Units	6.1	5.9	<1	30%	Pass	
Resistivity*	S22-Au0020821	CP	ohm.m	150	110	30	30%	Pass	



Comments

Sample Integrity	
Custody Seals Intact (if used)	N/A
Attempt to Chill was evident	Yes
Sample correctly preserved	Yes
Appropriate sample containers have been used	Yes
Sample containers for volatile analysis received with minimal headspace	Yes
Samples received within HoldingTime	Yes
Some samples have been subcontracted	No

Qualifier Codes/Comments

Code

e Description

The matrix spike recovery is outside of the recommended acceptance criteria. An acceptable recovery was obtained for the laboratory control sample indicating a sample matrix unterference.

Authorised by:

Asim Khan Dilani Samarakoon Ryan Phillips Analytical Services Manager Senior Analyst-Inorganic Senior Analyst-Inorganic

Glenn Jackson General Manager

Final Report - this report replaces any previously issued Report

- Indicates Not Requested

* Indicates NATA accreditation does not cover the performance of this service

Measurement uncertainty of test data is available on request or please click here.

Eurofins shall not be liable for loss, cost, damages or expenses incurred by the client, or any other person or company, resulting from the use of any information or interpretation given in this report. In no case shall Eurofins be liable for consequential damages including, but not limited to, lost profits, damages for failure to meet deadlines and lost production arising from this report. This document shall not be reproduced except in full and relates only to the items tested. Unless indicated otherwise, the tests were performed on the samples as received.

GEOTES	Pla	Atte sticity Inde T	rberg Limit ex & Linear est Report	s Shrinka	ge	GEOTESTA Pty Ltd 9 Redwood Drive Notting Hill , Vic 3168 Ph: 1300 852216		
Laboratory	Geotesta	Project Type	Geotechnical Investi	gation (Client	Bathla Group		
Sample ID	S0854 SL1110-S0854 BH4@1.20m	Project No	NE 1394 176 Wollambi Farley	NSW	Address	- 7 Business Park Drive, Notting Hill VIC 3168		
Base Site:	9 Redwood Drive	e, Notting Hill, VIC 3	168	I	Branch Site:	6/20-22 Foundry Road, Seven Hills, NSW 2147		
Sample Des	cription	silty C	LAY, medium pla	sticity,brow	'n			
Sampling Me	ethod	CLIEN	IT					
Results				Prepara	tion			
Liquid limit		48 %	AS 1289-3.1.2	History of	of sample:	Oven-dried ≤ 50 ⁰C		
Plastic limit		17 %	AS 1289-3.2.1	Method	of preparatio	n: Dry Sieving		
Plasticity inde	ex	30 %	AS 1289-3.3.1					
Linear shrink	age	12.0 %	AS 1289-3.4.1	Linear s	hrinkage mou	ld: 250 mm		
		Curling						

Comments

Test methods: AS 1289, 2.1.1, 3.1.2, 3.2.1, 3.3.1, 3.4.1



NATA Accredited Facility No. 19167

Accredited for compliance with ISO/IEC 17025 - Testing

The results obtained in this report correspond exclusively to the sample(s) tested.

Report issued by: Date issued:



Chandana Liyanage 16/08/22

GEOTES	Pla	Atte sticity Inde T	rberg Limits ex & Linear S est Report	Shrinkage	GEOTESTA Pty Ltd 9 Redwood Drive Notting Hill , Vic 3168 Ph: 1300 852216		
Laboratory	Geotesta	Project Type	Geotechnical Investig	nation Client	Bathla Group		
Report No	S0853	Project No	NE1394	Client ID	-		
Sample ID	SL1110-S0853	Project	176 Wollambi Farley	NSW Address	7 Business Park Drive, Notting Hill VIC 3168		
Location ID	ocation ID BH3@0.50m						
Base Site:	9 Redwood Drive	e, Notting Hill, VIC 3	168	Branch Site	6/20-22 Foundry Road, Seven Hills, NSW 2147		
Sample Des	cription	silty C	LAY, medium plas	ticity,brown			
Sampling Me	ethod	CLIEN	IT				
Results				Preparation			
Liquid limit		49 %	AS 1289-3.1.2	History of sample:	Oven-dried ≤ 50 °C		
Plastic limit		18 %	AS 1289-3.2.1	Method of preparati	on: Dry Sieving		
Plasticity inde	ex	31 %	AS 1289-3.3.1				
Linear shrink	age	11.0 %	AS 1289-3.4.1	Linear shrinkage mo	puld: 250 mm		

Comments

Test methods: AS 1289, 2.1.1, 3.1.2, 3.2.1, 3.3.1, 3.4.1



NATA Accredited Facility No. 19167

Accredited for compliance with ISO/IEC 17025 - Testing

The results obtained in this report correspond exclusively to the sample(s) tested.

Report issued by: Date issued:

Chandana Liyanage 16/08/22

GEOTES	Pla	Atte sticity Inde T	rberg Limits ex & Linear S est Report	s Shrink	age	GEOTESTA Pty Ltd 9 Redwood Drive Notting Hill , Vic 3168 Ph: 1300 852216
Laboratory Report No	Geotesta S0852	Project Type Project No	Geotechnical Investig NE1394	gation	Client Client ID	Bathla Group -
Sample ID Location ID	SL1110-S0852 BH1@0.60m	Project	176 Wollambi Farley	NSW	Address	7 Business Park Drive, Notting Hill VIC 3168
Base Site:	9 Redwood Drive	e, Notting Hill, VIC 3	168		Branch Site	: 6/20-22 Foundry Road, Seven Hills, NSW 2147
Sample Desc	ription	silty C	LAY, high plasticit	ty,brown		
Sampling Me	ethod	CLIEN	T			
Results				Prepar	ration	
Liquid limit		56 %	AS 1289-3.1.2	History	of sample:	Oven-dried ≤ 50 ºC
Plastic limit		20 %	AS 1289-3.2.1	Method	d of preparatio	on: Dry Sieving
Plasticity inde	x	36 %	AS 1289-3.3.1			
Linear shrinka	age	11.5 %	AS 1289-3.4.1	Linear	shrinkage mo	ould: 250 mm
Shrinkage tvr	e (Curling				

Comments

Test methods: AS 1289, 2.1.1, 3.1.2, 3.2.1, 3.3.1, 3.4.1



NATA Accredited Facility No. 19167

Accredited for compliance with ISO/IEC 17025 - Testing

The results obtained in this report correspond exclusively to the sample(s) tested.

Report issued by: Date issued:



Chandana Liyanage 16/08/22